



mochamad yusuf <yusuf_505@fk.unair.ac.id>

Decision has been reached on your submission to Journal of Medical Case Reports - JMCR-D-21-00805R3 - [EMID:8228a0e4e6c3aa0a]

1 message

Journal of Medical Case Reports Editorial Office <em@editorialmanager.com>

Wed, Aug 17, 2022 at 2:01

AM

Reply-To: Journal of Medical Case Reports Editorial Office <mary.macalalad@springer.com>

To: Mochamad Yusuf Alsagaff <yusuf_505@fk.unair.ac.id>

JMCR-D-21-00805R3

Isolated Posterior STEMI: The Necessity of Routine 15-lead ECG (Case Report)

Mochamad Yusuf Alsagaff; Rizki Amalia; Budi Baktijasa Dharmadjati; Yolande Appleman

Journal of Medical Case Reports

Dear dr Alsagaff,

I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript "Isolated Posterior STEMI: The Necessity of Routine 15-lead ECG (Case Report)" (JMCR-D-21-00805R3) has been accepted for publication in Journal of Medical Case Reports.

Before publication, our production team will check the format of your manuscript to ensure that it conforms to the standards of the journal. They will be in touch shortly to request any necessary changes, or to confirm that none are needed.

Your article will undergo thorough copyediting by our in-house production team and the also by the Editor. This may take a little time to complete to ensure all articles published in the Journal of Medical Case Reports are of a consistently high quality.

Articles in this journal may be held for a short period of time prior to publication.

If you have any concerns please contact the journal.

Any final comments from our reviewers or editors can be found, below. Please quote your manuscript number, JMCR-D-21-00805R3, when inquiring about this submission.

We look forward to publishing your manuscript and I do hope you will consider Journal of Medical Case Reports again in the future.

Best wishes,

Itzhak Brook

Journal of Medical Case Reports

<https://jmedicalcasereports.biomedcentral.com/>

Comments:

Reviewer #3: 1. Do you believe the case report is authentic?

Yes

2. Do you have any ethical concerns? Please consider if local Institutional Review Board approval or ethical approval was obtained (if appropriate) and if the patient (or their parent or guardian in the case of children under 18) gave written, informed consent to publish this case and any accompanying images. A statement to this effect should appear in the manuscript.

Comments:

No

3. Does the Introduction explain the relevance of the case to the medical literature?

Yes

4. Does the article report the following information? Where information is missing, please specify.

a. The relevant patient information, including:

- De-identified demographic information (age, gender, ethnicity)

- Main symptoms of the patient
- Medical, family and psychosocial history
- Relevant past interventions and their outcomes

Yes to all

b. The relevant physical examination findings

Yes

c. Important dates and times in this case (if appropriate, organized as a timeline via a figure or table); if specific dates could lead to patient identification, consider including time relevant to initial presentation, i.e. initial presentation at T = 0, follow up at T = 1 month.

Yes

d. Diagnostic assessments, including:

- Diagnostic methods
- Challenges (e.g., financial, language/cultural)
- Reasoning and prognostic characteristics (e.g., staging), where applicable

Yes

e. Types and mechanism of intervention

Yes

f. A summary of the clinical course of all follow-up visits

Not available to authors

Comments:

5. Is the interpretation (discussion and conclusion) well balanced and supported by the case presented?

Comments:

Yes

6. Is the anonymity of the patient protected? Please consider any identifying information in images such as facial features or nametags, whether the patient is named etc. If not, please detail below.

Yes

7. Is the Abstract representative of the case presented?

Comments:

Yes

8. Does the case represent a useful contribution to the medical literature?

Comments:

Yes

9. Additional comments for the author(s)?

Reviewer #4: 1. Do you believe the case report is authentic?

Yes

2. Do you have any ethical concerns? Please consider if local Institutional Review Board approval or ethical approval was obtained (if appropriate) and if the patient (or their parent or guardian in the case of children under 18) gave written, informed consent to publish this case and any accompanying images. A statement to this effect should appear in the manuscript.

Comments: no

3. Does the Introduction explain the relevance of the case to the medical literature?

Yes, the introduction does explain the relevance of the case to medical literature and the prior concerns have been addressed.

4. Does the article report the following information? Where information is missing, please specify.

a. The relevant patient information, including:

- De-identified demographic information (age, gender, ethnicity): yes
- Main symptoms of the patient: yes
- Medical, family and psychosocial history: yes

- Relevant past interventions and their outcomes: yes

b. The relevant physical examination findings: yes

c. Important dates and times in this case (if appropriate, organized as a timeline via a figure or table); if specific dates could lead to patient identification, consider including time relevant to initial presentation, i.e. initial presentation at T = 0, follow up at T = 1 month.

Yes important dates and times were mentioned and concerns mentioned previously were adequately addressed.

d. Diagnostic assessments, including:

- Diagnostic methods

- Challenges (e.g., financial, language/cultural)

- Reasoning and prognostic characteristics (e.g., staging), where applicable

Yes, concerns documented previously were addressed.

e. Types and mechanism of intervention

Yes, and well labelled and described.

f. A summary of the clinical course of all follow-up visits

Comments: no, not available

5. Is the interpretation (discussion and conclusion) well balanced and supported by the case presented?

Comments: yes it is.

6. Is the anonymity of the patient protected? Please consider any identifying information in images such as facial features or nametags, whether the patient is named etc. If not, please detail below.

Yes

7. Is the Abstract representative of the case presented?

Comments: yes the abstract is representative of the case.

8. Does the case represent a useful contribution to the medical literature?

Comments: I think the case presents a good learning tool for medical students, residents, cardiologists and physicians of the caveats when assessing a patient with chest pain in the ER and when to go beyond a 12 lead ECG especially in issues as sensitive as myocardial infarction.

9. Additional comments for the author(s)?

--

Please also take a moment to check our website at <https://www.editorialmanager.com/jmcr/l.asp?i=489535&l=R2DTGORE> for any additional comments that were saved as attachments. Please note that as Journal of Medical Case Reports has a policy of open peer review, you will be able to see the names of the reviewers.

This letter contains confidential information, is for your own use, and should not be forwarded to third parties.

Recipients of this email are registered users within the Editorial Manager database for this journal. We will keep your information on file to use in the process of submitting, evaluating and publishing a manuscript. For more information on how we use your personal details please see our privacy policy at <https://www.springernature.com/production-privacy-policy>. If you no longer wish to receive messages from this journal or you have questions regarding database management, please contact the Publication Office at the link below.

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/jmcr/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.