

ISSN-0973-9122 (Print) • ISSN-0973-9130 (Electronic)

Volume 15

Number 4

October-December 2021



Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology

Website: www.ijfmt.com

Official Organ of Indian Association of Medico-Legal Experts (Regd.)

[Home](#) / [Archives](#) / Vol. 15 No. 4 (2021): Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology

Vol. 15 No. 4 (2021): Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology



DOI: <https://doi.org/10.37506/ijfmt.v15i4>

Published: 2021-09-05

Articles

Effectiveness of Empowerment Programme on Stress and Care Giving Burden among Care Givers of Chronically Ill Patients Admitted in MMIMS&R Hospital, Mullana Ambala, Haryana

Shalini Youssouf, Jyoti Sarin, Eenu, Bindu Joseph

1-8



Study of Various Patterns of Azygos Venous System and its Clinical Significance

Aarti Rohilla, Monika Rathee, Kamal Singh, Suresh Kanta Rathee

9-13



Fetal Ilium as a Tool For Sex Determination: Discriminant Functional Analysis

Aarti, Luv Sharma, Kamal Singh

14-21



2036-2042

**Deleted**

2043-2047

Qari (Male Voice) Increases Higher Expression of Synapsin 1 than Qariah (Female Voice) in the Cerebrum Newborn Rattus Norvegicus

Nur Laila Faizah, Hermanto Tri Joewono, Widjiati

2048-2052

**Evaluation of Root Canal Morphology of Maxillary 2ndPremolars Using Cone Beam Computed Tomography in Chennai Population**

Nur Qistina Binti Ahmad Fauzi, Mahesh R

2053-2059

**Application of Berry's Index in the Analysis of Bite Mark**

Nur Qistina Binti Ahmad Fauzi, Gheena S

2060-2066

**Mesiodistal Width of Mandibular Central Incisors between Different Genders in Chennai Population Using Cone Beam Computed Tomography**

Nur Qistina Binti Ahmad Fauzi, Mahesh R

2067-2072

**Predispositions Factors Affecting Quality of Life in Children with T1DM During the Coronavirus Disease of 2019 Pandemic**

Nur Rochmah, Muhammad Faizi, Yuni Hisbiyah, Ike Wahyu Triastuti, Garindra Wicaksono, Anang Endaryanto, Soetjipto

2073-2079

**The Effect of Collagen-Chitosan-Sodium Hyaluronates Intrastromal Implantation on Corneal Clarity and Transforming Growth Factor (TGF- β) (Experimental Study On New Zealand Rabbit)**

Nurul Fitri Shabrina, Reni Prasetyani, Prihatini Widiyanti

2080-2089

 Pdf

Review on Mobile Apps for Toxicovigilance

2090-2100

 Pdf

Stimulation of Male Voice during Pregnancy Results in Higher Expression of Brain Derived Neurotrophic Factor in Cerebellum of Newborn Rattus norvegicus

Nurvy Alief Aidillah, Hermanto Tri Joewono, Widjiati

2101-2106

 Pdf

Effect of Thunbergia Laurifolia Lindl. on Oxidative Stress and Blood Cholinesterase in farm Workers Exposed to Pesticides in the Mae Chai District of Phayao Province

Orapin Insuan, Padchane Sangthong, Pennipat Nabheerong, Surachat Buddhisa, Peerawan Kengsangunsit, Suntorn Prompao, Benchaluk Thongchuai

2107-2113

 Pdf

Dental Panoramic Radiographs as Early Signs of Osteoporosis in Pre and Post Menopausal Women – An Observational Study

P.E. Chandra Mouli, Madhavan Prasad, T. Gopalakrishnan

2114-2120

 Pdf

Administrative Factors and Organization Climate Affecting the Performance of Health Personnel at the Emergency Department in Community Hospital

Padcharin Phomdonko, Prachak Boupphan, NuttapolYotha, Surachai Phimha

2121-2126

 Pdf

Awareness and Attitude of Forensic Odontology among Undergraduate Dental Students in Kabul University of Medical Sciences, Afghanistan

Palwasha Seraj, Mohammad Hassan Hamrah, Farhat Homayoun, Ali Maisam, ElahaSomaya Ghafary, Sepideh Hosseini, Maryam Khosrozadeh

2127-2134

 Pdf

Menstrual Blood Stem Cell Preservation

Parul Saini, Bharti Sachdeva

[Home](#) / Editorial Team

Editorial Team

Editor in Chief

Prof S K Dhattarwal

Forensic Medicine, PGIMS, Rohtak, Haryana

E-mail: editor.ijfmt@gmail.com

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

1. Prof Sudhir K Gupta, Head, FMT. AIIMS, New Delhi , India
2. Prof Mete Gulmen ,Cukurova University, TURKEY
3. Prof. Leandro Duarte De Carvalho , Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, BRAZIL
4. Dr. Valery Gunas, National Pirogov Memorial Medical University,Vinnitsya, UKRAINE
5. Dr. Rahul Pathak Forensic Science, Dept of Life Sciences ,Anglia Ruskin University, Cambridge, UNITED KINGDOM
6. Prof Emilo Nuzzalese, University of Turin , Italy
7. Dr Noha A. Magdy Elrafie, Forensic Toxicology, Ain Shams University, Cairo, EGYPT
8. Dr Rituja Sharma, Associate Prof, Law, Banasthali Vidyapeeth Jaipur
9. Dr Shankar Bakkanwar (*Associate Professor*) Forensic Medicine, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal, Karnataka
10. Dr K. Ravikumar , Raksha Shakti University, Ahmedabad, Gujrat.
11. Dr C. Behera (*Addl. Prof*) Dept of FMT, AIIMS, New Delhi
12. Dr. Kanak Lata Verma, Deputy Director, Toxicology ,RFSL, Chanakyapuri New Delhi
13. Dr. Asha Srivastava (*Senior Scientific Officer*) Forensic Psychology,Central Forensic Science Laboratory, CBI, Delhi
14. Dr. Raghvendra Kumar Vidua, (Associate Prof), FMT, AIIMS Bhopal
15. Dr. Vaibhav Saran (*Asst.Prof.*) School of Forensic Science, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture Technology & Sciences,Allahabad
16. Ms Aparna R. Asst. Prof. Forensic Serology & Biology, Jain University, Bengaluru
17. Dr. Deepali Jain, Asst Prof, Forensic Science ,BB Ambedkar University, Lucknow
18. Prof. NK Aggrawal Forensic Medicine, UCMS, Delhi
19. Prof. Manoj Kumar Mohanty, Forensic Medicine, AIIMS, Bhubneshwar, Odisha
20. Prof. Amar Jyoti Patowary, Forensic Medicine, NEIGRIHMS, Shillong, Meghalaya
21. Prof S. Venkata Raghava , Forensic Medicine, Banglore Medical College, Bengaluru
22. Prof. Shalini Gupta Oral Pathology and Microbiology, Faculty of Dental Sciences, King George Medical University, Lucknow
23. Prof. Virender Kumar Chhoker Forensic Medicine, Santosh Medical College, Ghaziabad, UP

24. Prof. Dayanand G Gannur , Forensic Medicine , Shri BM Patil Medical College, Hospital & Research centre, Bijapur, Karnataka
25. Prof Praveen Arora, Forensic Medicine, SAIMS, Indore, MP
26. Prof Barkha Gupta , Saraswathi Institute of Medical Sciences Hapur, Uttar Pradesh India
27. Prof M Prashant Apollo Medical College Hyderabad
28. Prof Dimple Patel , Anatomay, AMC MET Medical College , Ahmedabad , Gujarat
29. Dr Mohammed Nader Shalaby, Associate Professor of Biological Sciences and Sports Health Department, Faculty of Physical Education, Suez Canal University, Egypt

30 Dr. Avinash Harishchandra Waghmode

Professor and Head, Dept of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology, BKL Walawalkar Rural
Medical College Chiplun Ratnagiri

31 Dr. Anita Yadav Assistant Professor Forensic Science, SBAS Galgotias University, Greater
Noida, UP

32 Dr. Risha Jasmine Nathan *Lecturer in Forensic Chemistry Anglia Ruskin University*

East Road, Cambridge, CB1 1PT England, United Kingdom

Current Issue

ATOM 1.0

RSS 2.0

RSS 1.0

[Make a Submission](#)

Browse

[Open Journal Systems](#)

Information

[For Readers](#)

[For Authors](#)

Predispositions Factors Affecting Quality of Life in Children with T1DM During the Coronavirus Disease of 2019 Pandemic

Nur Rochmah^{1,2}, Muhammad Faizi^{1,2}, Yuni Hisbiyah^{1,2} Ike Wahyu Triastuti², Garindra Wicaksono²,
Anang Endaryanto^{1,2}, Soetjipto^{1,3}

¹Post Graduate Program, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia; ²Faculty of Medicine, Department of Child Health, Dr. Soetomo General Hospital, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia; ³Department of Medical Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia

Abstract

Background: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic affects many aspects of society, including the quality of life (QoL) of children with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM). This study analyzed predisposing factors that affect QoL of children with T1DM during the COVID-19 pandemic, mainly the socioeconomic factors.

Methods: Cross-sectional study was conducted in the Pediatric Endocrine Outpatient Clinic of Dr. Soetomo General Hospital, Indonesia, from March to October 2020. Children with T1DM were assessed using Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory 3.2 diabetes module. Socioeconomic characteristics were presented; correlation between variables were analyzed using Pearson and Spearman tests and $p < 0.05$ was statistically significant.

Conclusion: This study included 33 subjects (18 boys and 15 girls; mean age, 11.97 ± 2.91 years). Worry and treatment barrier for the child report correlated to the parent's educational background ($r=0.35; p=0.022$) and income ($r=0.29; p=0.049$). Worry, treatment barrier, and diabetes aspects for parents' report correlated to age group ($r=-0.38; p=0.014$), parents' educational background ($r=0.37; p=0.015$) and income ($r=0.29; p=0.049$). There's correlation between diabetes aspect of parent's report and age group ($r=-0.38; p=0.014$).

Keywords: children, COVID-19, HRQOL, type 1 diabetes mellitus

Introduction

The World Health Organization Emergency Committee declared the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic as a global health emergency¹. Its existence affects not only the health aspect but also the social and global economic aspects. In just 4

weeks, the lockdown conducted in New York cost an estimated \$1.1 billion, which is 1% of the country's gross domestic product². In Indonesia, the losses incurred during the first quarter of 2020 amounted to 320,000,000,000 rupiahs³. Separately, the occurrence of social restrictions, independent isolation, restrictions on long-distance travel, dismissal, and closed schools led to multidimensional crisis^{2,4}.

Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) is the most common chronic disease, with approximately 70,000 children diagnosed each year worldwide. The incidence was reported to be lower in Asia⁵. This century, the

Corresponding author:

Nur Rochmah

Mayjend Prof. Dr. Moestopo No. 6-8, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia, 60286

Tel +6281703501118

Email nur-r@fk.unair.ac.id

prevalence of diabetes mellitus worldwide is increasing⁶. In 2002–2014, there were 70 children with T1DM with 40% increment lately in 2020 in Surabaya, Indonesia⁶. As of August 2020, the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention reported 2143 infections in children aged below 18 years⁷. Moreover, a report from the COVID-19 task force in Indonesia indicated that the infection rate in children is 11.4%, with 3.2% of deaths. This mortality rate is relatively the highest compared with other countries⁸.

A multidisciplinary approach was very important in children with T1DM. Previous studies have reported that individuals with low socioeconomic status (SES) tend to have inadequate treatment; thus, they are at risk to develop complications^{9–11}. Alfian et al. stated that patient's low compliance may result in a lower QoL¹². In addition, the International Society for Pediatric and Adolescent Diabetes (ISPAD) guidelines said that depression and anxiety affect patients with T1DM, resulting in bad glycemic control.

Parent education, parent income, and parent employment may influence the QoL of patients with T1DM, mainly because of the COVID-19 pandemic^{10,11}. The reduced capacity for contact with physicians owing to fear of going to the hospital may lead to a further decrease in QoL of patients with T1DM in pandemic conditions¹³. This study aimed to analyze factors that affect the quality of life (QoL) of children with T1DM during the COVID-19 pandemic; the components assessed were diabetes, treatment barrier, treatment adherence, worry, and communication.

Material and Methods

Sample

This study involved children and adolescents diagnosed as having T1DM according to the ISPAD guidelines registered at the Pediatric Endocrinology Outpatient Clinic of Dr. Soetomo General Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia, and their parents. The inclusion criteria were patients aged 5–18 years, who were diagnosed as having T1DM, and who already started

their treatment. A total of 33 patients aged between 5 and 18 years (18 boys and 15 girls) were included in this study.

Socioeconomic factor

Socioeconomic factors such as parents' education, parents' income, child's age, and children's education were obtained. The educational background was classified based on the government program of 9-year compulsory education; hence, we divided it into 3 groups: <9 years, 9–12 years, and >12 years. Based on the regional minimum wage, we classified SES into 3 groups: low, medium, and high. The ages of children were then grouped into 5–7 years, 8–12 years, and 13–18 years.

Nutritional status

Nutritional status was classified according to the World Health Organization (body mass index for age) values defined for children and adolescents (5–18 years old): severe malnutrition ($z < -3$), moderate malnutrition ($-3 < z < -2$), normal ($-2 < z \leq 1$), overweight ($1 < z < 2$), and obesity ($z > 2$). We grouped the subjects into severe malnutrition, moderate malnutrition, normal, and overweight or obese.

Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory (PedsQL)

The patient's QoL was assessed using the PedsQL 3.2 diabetes module by Varni et al. for children based on the patient's age¹⁴. The PedsQL questionnaire that was used to assess the QoL of the children with T1DM has been translated into Bahasa Indonesia by a credible sworn translator. It assessed the aspects of diabetes, which assessed the diabetes symptoms (15 items); treatment barriers, which evaluated the obstacles or problems during treatment (treatment I) (5 items); treatment adherence (treatment II) (6 items); worry (3 items); and communication (4 items) with the items reverse scored. A 5-point scale (0 [never], 1 [almost never], 2 [sometimes], 3 [often], and 4 [almost always]) and a 3-point scale (0 [not at all], 2 [sometimes], and 4 [a lot]) were used for the Child Report for Young Children (ages 5–7 years).

These scores were transformed to a 0–100 scale: 0 = 100, 1 = 75, 2 = 50, and 4 = 0. Lower scores indicate more diabetes symptoms and management problems. In a study by Varni et al., the validity and reliability were tested in this PedsQL, showing good results¹⁵. Validity is assessed based on predicting the morbidity and disease burden of the patient and his parents. Reliability indicates consistent results from several repetitions of the test¹⁴. Moreover, the validity and reliability of the PedsQL questionnaire have been tested by the Statistics of Universitas Airlangga. The questionnaire was shared online to the participants by the same team during the study.

Evaluation of data

A comparison test was used to analyze our data using the SPSS 17.0 software (IBM SPSS). For the baseline and clinical characteristics, the mean and standard deviation of each element were used. Normality test was done for each data using the Shapiro-Wilk test because the study

sample was >50, the correlation test was done using the Pearson test for parametric data and the Spearman test for nonparametric data, and the chi-square contingency was used for the nominal data to test their correlation. We considered *p* < 0.05 as statistically significant.

Ethical permission

This study’s ethical approval was granted by the ethics committee overseeing health research team at the Dr. Soetomo General Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia (ref. no:0123/LOE/301.4.2/IX/2020).

Results and Discussion

This cross-sectional study involved 33 children with T1DM (18 boys and 15 girls; age, 11.97 ± 2.91 years). The socioeconomic characteristics of the patients with T1DM are presented in Table 1. Most of them have a good nutritional status (90.9%) and are active in teaching and learning activities. Most of the parents’ income was in the group of middle income (54.5%). The parent’s educational background was mostly 9–12 years (63.6%).

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the patients with T1DM

Characteristics	N (%)
Age (years)	
5–7 years old	3 (9)
8–12 years old	17 (51.5)
13–18 years old	13 (39.9)
Gender	
Boys	18 (54.5)
Girls	15 (45.5)
Nutritional status	
Severe malnutrition	1 (3)
Moderate Malnutrition	1 (3)
Normal	30 (90.9)
Overweight or obese	1 (3)
Socioeconomic status	
Low	26 (78.8)
Medium	5 (15.2)
High	2 (6.1)
Parents’ Educational Background	
<9 years	3 (9.1)
9–12 years	21 (63.6)
>12 years	9 (27.3)

The average data of each aspect on the QoL assessment results of children and parents are presented in Fig. 1. The best value was obtained in the adherence treatment, whereas the worst was the worry score.




Fig 1. Means of each aspect's QoL results in children and parents.

The results of the correlation between socioeconomic factors and QoL are presented in Tables 2 and 3. The correlation test revealed significant results in parent's education with child's worry ($r = 0.35; p = 0.022$) and parent's worry ($r = 0.37; p = 0.015$). Moreover, the age group had a significant value on the diabetes score of the parents ($r = -0.38; p = 0.014$). Parent's income significantly correlated to the treatment barrier ($r = 0.29; p = 0.049$).

Table 2. Correlation study between children QoL and socioeconomic factors

Socioeconomic factors	Correlation coefficient (p-value)					
	Diabetes	Treatment barrier	Treatment adherence	Worry	Communication	Mean
Child's education	($r = -0.16; p = 0.183$)	($r = -0.12; p = 0.251$)	($r = 0.03; p = 0.424$)	($r = -0.22; p = 0.103$)	($r = 0.05; p = 0.393$)	($r = -0.10; p = 0.287$)
Age group	($r = -0.22; p = 0.108$)	($r = -0.01; p = 0.475$)	($r = 0.04; p = 0.409$)	($r = -0.18; p = 0.149$)	($r = -0.02; p = 0.466$)	($r = -0.13; p = 0.222$)
Parent's education	($r = 0.11; p = 0.256$)	($r = 0.09; p = 0.293$)	($r = 0.19; p = 0.142$)	($r = 0.35; p = 0.022*$)	($r = 0.15; p = 0.207$)	($r = 0.25; p = 0.080$)
Parent's income	($r = 0.18; p = 0.152$)	($r = 0.29; p = 0.049*$)	($r = 0.05; p = 0.385$)	($r = 0.14; p = 0.217$)	($r = 0.09; p = 0.304$)	($r = 0.22; p = 0.115$)

* $p < 0.05$

Table 3. Correlation study between parents' QoL and socioeconomic factors

Socioeconomic	Correlation coefficient (p value)					
	Diabetes	Treatment barrier	Treatment adherence	Worry	Communication	Mean
Child education	(r = -0.13; p = 0.235)	(r = -0.11; p = 0.272)	(r = -0.05; p = 0.388)	(r = -0.10; p = 0.282)	(r = 0.12; p = 0.237)	(r = -0.10; p = 0.287)
Age group	(r = -0.38; p = 0.014*)	(r = -0.15; p = 0.206)	(r = -0.06; p = 0.373)	(r = -0.19; p = 0.142)	(r = 0.05; p = 0.378)	(r = -0.13; p = 0.222)
Parent education	(r = 0.06; p = 0.360)	(r = -0.12; p = 0.251)	(r = 0.27; p = 0.067)	(r = 0.37; p = 0.015*)	(r = 0.06; p = 0.368)	(r = 0.25; p = 0.080)
Parent income	(r = 0.28; p = 0.054)	(r = 0.25; p = 0.075)	(r = 0.06; p = 0.374)	(r = 0.16; p = 0.176)	(r = 0.23; p = 0.099)	(r = 0.21; p = 0.115)

**p* < 0.05

Our study findings from the constituent components of SES indicated that parent education significantly correlates to the worry aspect of QoL in both parent and child. This finding is consistent with the findings of Costa and Vieira, who reported that parental education correlated with QoL, especially in the worry's domain¹¹. In particular, it was reported that patients who have parents with higher education backgrounds will have better QoL and lower levels of anxiety and depression than those who have parents with lower educational backgrounds.¹⁶

This study also found that parental income significantly correlates to treatment barriers. This finding consistent with the study of Costa and Vieira, who reported that parent's income has a role in better QoL results in the satisfaction component and worries, which was also linked to happiness, which can then play a role in better compliance and self-management^{11,17}. T1DM is a disease that is closely related to the environment and lifestyle, in which lifestyle and environment are related to the SES of a family, which consists of parents'

education, parents' income, and parents' occupation^{18,19}. Other studies also reported that QoL was better in males than females^{20,21}.

Another finding indicated that older children with T1DM had a lower QoL, especially in the diabetes aspect. This can happen because when children are getting older, they have more personal opinions, and it becomes more difficult to control their treatment^{22,23}. This finding is also consistent with that of Abdul-Rasoul's study, which showed that the 13–18 age group had the lowest QoL compared with the younger age group¹⁰.

In this study, most of the subjects aged 8–12 years (51.5%), were male (54.5%), and had normal nutritional status (90.9%). This study is consistent with that of Abdul-Rasoul et al., who reported that most of the age group is 8–12 years^{10,24}.

Nevertheless, there is a limitation in this study. It was conducted at a referral hospital in East Indonesia, where the number of T1DM cases was lower than in Caucasians. Moreover, because of limited resources, the

management of T1DM is quite complicated in Indonesia. Besides maintaining routine control in the Pediatric Endocrine Outpatient Clinic, some factors, such as the socioeconomic and educational background of parents, can affect QoL.

We could not conclude whether there is any different T1DM QoL before and during the pandemic. There are no studies that evaluated factors affecting QoL during the COVID-19 pandemic. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study on children with T1DM that evaluated the determining factors that affect QoL during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Conclusion

This study included 33 subjects (18 boys and 15 girls; mean age, 11.97 ± 2.91 years). Worry and treatment barrier for the child report correlated to the parent's educational background ($r = 0.35$; $p = 0.022$) and income ($r = 0.29$; $p = 0.049$). Worry, treatment barrier, and diabetes aspects for parents' report correlated to age group ($r = -0.38$; $p = 0.014$), parents' educational background ($r = 0.37$; $p = 0.015$) and income ($r = 0.29$; $p = 0.049$). There's correlation between diabetes aspect of parent's report and age group ($r = -0.38$; $p = 0.014$).

Acknowledgement: The author reports no conflicts of interest in this work

Source of Funding: This research has no funding sources

References

1. Velavan TP, Meyer CG. The COVID-19 epidemic. *Trop Med Int Heal*. 2020;25(3):278–80.
2. Nicola M, Alsafi Z, Sohrabi C, Kerwan A, Al-Jabir A, Iosifidis C, et al. The socio-economic implications of the coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19): A review [Internet]. Vol. 78, *International Journal of Surgery*. IJS Publishing Group Ltd; 2020. 185–193 p. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijssu.2020.04.018>
3. CNBC. Segini Kerugian Indonesia Akibat Pandemi COVID-19 [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 12]. Available from: <https://www.cnbcindonesia.com/news/20200603112626-8-162683/segini-kerugian-indonesia-akibat-pandemi-covid-19>
4. Aljazeera. Coronavirus: Travel restrictions, border shutdowns by country [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 12]. Available from: <https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2020/6/3/coronavirus-travel-restrictions-border-shutdowns-by-country>
5. Park Y. Why is type 1 diabetes uncommon in Asia? *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2006;1079:31–40.
6. Rochmah N, Fiasry D, Faizi M, Ontoseno T. Correlation between metabolic control, serum electrolyte levels, duration of illness and qt interval length parameters in children with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *SAJCH South African J Child Heal*. 2020;14(1):30–3.
7. Dong Y, Dong Y, Mo X, Hu Y, Qi X, Jiang F, et al. Epidemiology of COVID-19 among children in China. *Pediatrics*. 2020;145(6).
8. Gugus Tugas Percepatan Penanganan COVID-19. Data Sebaran Kasus COVID-19 2020. 2020.
9. Secrest AM, Costacou T, Gutelius B, Miller RG, Songer TJ, Orchard TJ. Associations Between Socioeconomic Status and Major Complications in Type 1 Diabetes: The Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complication (EDC) Study. *Ann Epidemiol*. 2011;21(5):374–81.
10. Abdul-Rasoul M, Alotaibi F, Abdulla A, Rahme Z, Alshawaf F. Quality of life of children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes in Kuwait. *Med Princ Pract*. 2013;22(4):379–84.
11. da Costa LMFC, Vieira SE. Quality of life of adolescents with type 1 diabetes. *Clinics*. 2015;70(3):173–9.
12. Alfian SD, Sukandar H, Lestari K, Abdulah R. Medication Adherence Contributes to an Improved Quality of Life in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Diabetes Ther*. 2016;7(4):755–64.
13. Delamater AM, de Wit M, McDarby V, Malik JA, Hilliard ME, Northam E, et al. ISPAD Clinical Practice Consensus Guidelines 2018: Psychological care of children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes. *Pediatr Diabetes*. 2018;19:237–49.
14. Varni JW, Burwinkle TM, Jacobs JR, Gottschalk M, Kaufman F, Jones KL. The PedsQL™ in Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2003;26(3):631–7.
15. Varni JW, Seid M, Kurtin PS. PedsQL™ 4.0:

- Reliability and Validity of the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory™ Version 4.0 Generic Core Scales in Healthy and Patient Populations. *Med Care*. 2001;39(8):800–12.
16. Lawrence JM, Yi-Frazier JP, Black MH, Anderson A, Hood K, Imperatore G, et al. Demographic and clinical correlates of diabetes-related quality of life among youth with type 1 diabetes. *J Pediatr*. 2012;161(2).
 17. Rasoul AM, Jalali R, Abdi A, Salari N, Rahimi M, Mohammadi M. The effect of self-management education through weblogs on the quality of life of diabetic patients. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak*. 2019;19(1):1–12.
 18. Söderström U, Åman J, Hjern A. Being born in Sweden increases the risk for type 1 diabetes - A study of migration of children to Sweden as a natural experiment. *Acta Paediatr Int J Paediatr*. 2012;101(1):73–7.
 19. Wang J, Geng L. Effects of socioeconomic status on physical and psychological health: Lifestyle as a mediator. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;16(2).
 20. Hoey H, Aanstoot HJ, Chiarelli F, Daneman D, Danne T, Dorchy H, et al. Good metabolic control is associated with better quality of life in 2, 101 adolescents with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2001;24(11):1923–8.
 21. Nansel TR, Weisberg-Benchell J, Wysocki T, Laffel L, Anderson B. Quality of life in children with Type 1 diabetes: A comparison of general and diabetes-specific measures and support for a unitary diabetes quality-of-life construct. *Diabet Med*. 2008;25(11):1316–23.
 22. Gillespie KM, Gale EAM, Bingley PJ. High familial risk and genetic susceptibility in early onset childhood diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2002;51(1):210–4.
 23. Kalyva E, Malakonaki E, Eiser C, Mamoulakis D. Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) of children with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM): Self and parental perceptions. *Pediatr Diabetes*. 2011;12(1):34–40.
 24. Huang GH, Palta M, Allen C, LeCaire T, D'Alessio D. Self-rated Health among Young People with Type 1 Diabetes in Relation to Risk Factors in a Longitudinal Study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2004;159(4):364–72.