

Source details

Urologia Internationalis	CiteScore 2021 3.3	(j
Sconus coverage vears: from 1955 to Present		
Publisher: Karger	SJR 2021	Ō
ISSN: 0042-1138 E-ISSN: 1423-0399	0.539	U
Subject area: (Medicine: Urology)		
Source type: Journal	SNIP 2021 1.198	(j
View all documents > Set document alert Save to source list Source Homepage		

CiteScore CiteScore rank & trend Scopus content coverage

i Improved CiteScore methodology
 CiteScore 2021 counts the citations received in 2018-2021 to articles, reviews, conference papers, book chapters and data
 papers published in 2018-2021, and divides this by the number of publications published in 2018-2021. Learn more >



CiteScoreTracker 2022 ①

3.1 = 1,890 Citations to date 613 Documents to date Last updated on 05 April, 2023 • Updated monthly

Calculated on 05 May, 2022

CiteScore rank 2021 🛈

Category	Rank	Percentile	
Medicine Urology	#40/99	60th	

View CiteScore methodology ightarrow CiteScore FAQ ightarrow Add CiteScore to your site $\sigma^{
ho}$

Q

SIR

SCIMAGO INSTITUTIONS RANKINGS also developed by scimago:

JR	Scimago Journal & Country Rank						Enter Journal Title, ISSN or Publisher Name
		Home	Journal Rankings	Country Rankings Vi	z Tools H	lelp About Us	
							Ø×
	Rp 207,0 JT Beli Mobil P ^{OLK Indonesia}	asti Dapat Gar	Rp 118,0 JT ansi	Rp 89,0 J	г	Rp 155,0 JT	Rp

Urologia Internationalis

COUNTRY	SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY	PUBLISHER	H-INDEX
Switzerland	Medicine Urology	S. Karger AG	60
Universities and research institutions in Switzerland			
Media Ranking in Switzerland	24-26 May		
	2023		
	Homelife 2023 brings many Auto parts industry leaders to the show.		
	× ①		
PUBLICATION TYPE	ISSN	COVERAGE	INFORMATION
Journals	00421138, 14230399	1955-2022	Homepage
			How to publish in this journal
			uin@karger.com



SCOPE

x 🛈

Concise but fully substantiated international reports of clinically oriented research into the science and current management of urogenital disorders form the nucleus of original as well as basic research papers. These are supplemented by up-to-date reviews by international experts on the state-of-the-art of key topics of clinical unological practice. Essential topics receiving regular coverage include the introduction of new techniques and instrumentation as well as the evaluation of new functional tests and diagnostic methods. Special attention is given to advances in new surgical techniques and clinical oncology. The regular publication of selected case reports represents the great variation in urological disease and illustrates treatment solutions in singular cases.

 $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ Join the conversation about this journal

() ×

Beli Mobil Pasti Dapat Garansi

FIND SIMILAR JOURNALS

B

<

1 Central European Journal of Urology POL

> 93% similarity

BMC Urology GBR **91%** similarity

Total Documents

200

2

3 International braz j urol : official journal of the BRA



☆ ⊞

4 World Journal of Urology

DEU

90% similarity

Journal of Clinical Urology >

options

90% similarity

5

GBR



your own website

code:

Just copy the code below

and paste within your html

<a href="https://www.scimation.com"

tool.











Cited documents

1999 2002 2005 2008 2011 2014 2017 2020

print

J rologia Internationalis



S. Karger Medical and Scientific Publishers Basel - Freiburg - Hartford - Oxford Bangkok - Dubai - Kaala Lampur-Melbourne - Mexico City Moscow - New Delhi - Paris Shanghai - Tokyo



Official Scientific Journal Deutsche Gesellschaft für Urologie



Issues

Select Decado	2010 ~	
Select Year	2019 ~	
Issue	August - Volume 103, Issue 2, Pages 125 - 248	~

Urologia Internationalis 2019, Vol. 103, No. 2

Review

<u>Reconsideration on Clinical Benefit of Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection during Radical</u> <u>Prostatectomy for Clinically Localized Prostate Cancer</u> Free

Subject Area: O Further Areas

Naohiro Fujimoto; Masaki Shiota; Ikko Tomisaki; Akinori Minato; Katsuya Yahara Urol Int (2019) 103 (2): 125–136. doi: https://doi.org/10.1159/000497280

Abstract V 🔋 View article 🗳 PDF

Original Paper

Adherence to the European Association of Urology Guidelines Regarding the <u>Therapeutic Indications for the Treatment of Urinary Lithiasis: A Spanish Multicenter</u> <u>Study</u>

Subject Area: O Further Areas

Gonzalo García Fadrique; Alberto Budía; Laura Climent; José Luis Palmero; José Morera; José Antonio Galán; Jesús Gil; Dolores Montoya; Pedro García; Ana Montoliu; Francisco Pastor; Daniel Gallego

Urol Int (2019) 103 (2): 137-142. doi: https://doi.org/10.1159/000501286

Abstract 🗸 🛛 🖺 View articl	e 🗟 PDF
----------------------------	---------

Predictors and Results of Negative Ureteroscopy for Treatment of Consecutive Ureteric Stones Done as a Primary Procedure: Prospective Outcomes from a University Hospital

Subject Area: O Further Areas

Sarah Prattley; Patrick Rice; Amelia Pietropaolo; Robert Geraghty; Omikunle Babawale; Bhaskar K. Somani

Urol Int (2019) 103 (2): 143-148. doi: https://doi.org/10.1159/000501658

Abstract V 🔋 View article 🗳 PDF

Does Body Mass Index Impact the Outcomes of Ultrasound-Guided Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy?

Subject Area: O Further Areas

Wei Jin; Yan Song; Xiang Fei

Urol Int (2019) 103 (2): 149–155. doi: https://doi.org/10.1159/000501809

Abstract V 🖺 View article 🗳 PDF

Association between Dyslipidemia and Nephrolithiasis Risk in a Chinese Population

Subject Area: O Further Areas

<u>Qi Ding; Jun Ouyang; Bo Fan; Cheng Cao; Zhijiang Fan; Li Ding; Feng Li; Wenjian Tu; Xiaohua</u> Jin; Jing Wang; Ying Shi

Urol Int (2019) 103 (2): 156–165. doi: https://doi.org/10.1159/000496208

Abstract V 🔋 View article 🗳 PDF

Only Size Matters in Stone Patients: Computed Tomography Controlled Stone-Free Rates after Mini-Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy

Subject Area: O Further Areas

Dmitriy Kokov; Lukas Manka; Andreas Beck; Alexander Winter; Holger Gerullis; I	<u>Pierre I.</u>
Karakiewicz; Peter Hammerer; Jonas Schiffmann	
<i>Urol Int</i> (2019) 103 (2): 166–171. doi: https://doi.org/10.1159/000497442	

Abstract 🗸 📱 View article 🗳 PDF

A Prediction Model of Operation Efficacy Using Protruding Prostate Lobe Volume in Patients Who Are Candidates for Transurethral Resection of Prostate

Subject Area: O Further Areas

Arif Demirbas; Kadir Omur Gunseren; Murat Bagcioglu; Mehmet Ozgur Yucel Urol Int (2019) 103 (2): 172–179. doi: https://doi.org/10.1159/000501175

Abstract V 🖺 View article 🗳 PDF

The Effect of Intravesical Prostatic Protrusion in Patients with Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia: Controlled, Clinical Study

Subject Area: O Further Areas

Mustafa Kadihasanoglu; Mustafa Aydin; Mehmet Taskiran; Muammer Kendirci Urol Int (2019) 103 (2): 180–186. doi: https://doi.org/10.1159/000499437

Abstract 🗸 🔋 View article 🗳 PDF

Comparisons of Therapeutic Efficacy and Safety of Solifenacin versus Tolterodine in Patients with Overactive Bladder: A Meta-Analysis

Subject Area: O Further Areas

Hai-Tao Wang; Ming Xia Urol Int (2019) 103 (2): 187–194. doi: https://doi.org/10.1159/000497311

Abstract V 🖺 View article 🗳 PDF

Real-Life Data on Mirabegron in Neurogenic Bladder Dysfunction

Subject Area: O Further Areas

Mohammad Ayodhia Soebadi; Lukman Hakim; Frank Van der Aa; Dirk De Ridder Urol Int (2019) 103 (2): 195–201. doi: https://doi.org/10.1159/000500349

Abstract 🗸 🔋 View article 🗳 PDF

Editorial Board

Editors

Maximilian Burger – *University of Regensburg*, Regensburg, Germany Oliver W. Hakenberg – *Klinikum der Universität*, Rostock, Germany Bernd Wullich – *Urologisches Universitätsklinikum Erlangen*, Erlangen, Germany

Editorial Committee

Shin Egawa – Jikei University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan Stephan Madersbacher – Kaiser-Franz-Josef Spital, Vienna, Austria Vicenzo Mirone – Naples, Italy Anthony Richard Mundy – University College London Hospitals, London, UK Massimo Porena – Policlinico Monteluce, Perugia, Italy Jens J. Rassweiler – SLK Kliniken Heilbronn GmbH, Heilbronn, Germany Arnulf Stenzl – Eberhard-Karls-University, Tübingen, Germany Jens-Uwe Stolzenburg – University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany Yinghao Sun – Shanghai Changhai Hospital of SMMU, Shanghai, China

Board of Reviewing Editors

Marek Babjuk – *Charles University in Praha*, Prague, Czech Republic Gustavo B. Baretton – *Universitätsklinikum Carl-Gustav-Carus*, Dresden, Germany Pierfrancesco Bassi – *Policlinico Universitario "A. Gemelli"*, Rome, Italy Noor N.P. Buchholz – *Sobeh's Vascular & Medical Center Dubai*, Dubai, UAE Elisabetta Costantini – *University of Perugia*, Perugia, Italy Anne K. Ebert – *Universitätsklinikum Ulm*, Ulm, Germany Margit Fisch – *University Medical Center*, Hamburg, Germany

Paolo Fornara – Universitätsklinikum der Martin-Luther-Universität, Halle (Saale), Germany Bruno Frea – Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Udine, Udine, Italy Michael Fröhner – Zeisigwaldkliniken Bethanien Chemnitz, Chemnitz, Germany Susanne Füssel – Universitätsklinikum Carl Gustav Carus, Dresden, Germany John P. Gearhart – Brady Urological Institute, Baltimore, MD, USA Matthias Hammon – University Hospital Erlangen, Erlangen, Germany Tomas Hanus - General Teaching Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic John Heesakkers - Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, The Netherlands Wolfgang Horninger - University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria Johannes Huber - TU Dresden, Dresden, Germany Günter Janetschek – Salzburg, Austria Sabine Kliesch – University of Münster, Münster, Germany Steffen F. Krause - Kepler Universitätsklinikum Linz, Linz, Austria John N. Krieger - VA Puget Sound Health Care System, Seattle, WA, USA Frank Kunath - University Hospital Erlangen, Erlangen, Germany Andreas Manseck – Klinikum Ingolstadt, Ingolstadt, Germany Vilis R. Marshall – Mintaro, SA, Australia Manuel Mas - University of La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain Matthias May - St. Elisabeth-Klinikum, Straubing, Germany Luigi Mearini - University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy Suks Minhas - University College Hospital, London, UK Emanuele Montanari - Azienda Ospedaliera S. Paolo, Milan, Italy Giuseppe Morgia – University of Catania, Catania, Italy Giulio Nicita – Università d. Studi di Firenze, Sesto Fiorentino, Italy Timothy R.D. Oliver – St. Bartholomew's Hospital, London, UK Stefan Propping – Universitätsklinikum Dresden, Dresden, Germany Chris Protzel – Univ.-Klinikum Rostock, Rostock, Germany Peter N. Schlegel - New York Presbyterian Hospital, New York, NY, USA Stefanie Schmidt – Deutsche Gesellschaft für Urologie (DGU), Berlin, Germany B.J. Schmitz-Dräger – Euromed Clinic, Fürth, Germany Salvatore Siracusano – University of Verona, Verona, Italy Nikolaos Sofikitis - Ioannina University, Ioannina, Greece Walter Ludwig Strohmaier – Klinikum Coburg GmbH, Coburg, Germany Helge Taubert – Friedrich-Alexander University, Erlangen, Germany Hans-Göran Tiselius – Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden Levent Türkeri - Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey François Verrey - University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland Florian Wagenlehner – Justus-Liebig-University, Giessen, Germany Alessandro Zucchi – University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy

Original Paper



Urol Int 2019;103:195–201 DOI: 10.1159/000500349 Received: November 16, 2018 Accepted after revision: April 12, 2019 Published online: May 16, 2019

Real-Life Data on Mirabegron in Neurogenic Bladder Dysfunction

Mohammad Ayodhia Soebadi^{a, b} Lukman Hakim^a Frank Van der Aa^b Dirk De Ridder^b

^aDepartment of Urology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga/Dr Soetomo General Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia; ^bDepartment of Urology, KU Leuven and University Hospitals, Leuven, Belgium

Keywords

Multiple sclerosis · Incontinence · Neurogenic detrusor overactivity · Overactive bladder · Persistence

Abstract

Aims: To determine factors for treatment persistence in a real-life cohort of adult neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction. Methods: We reviewed records of patients with neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction and mirabegron prescriptions. Exclusion criteria were indwelling urethral or suprapubic catheters and implanted neurostimulators. We extracted demographic data, indication for prescription, concomitant use of other agents with possible anticholinergic effect, beta blockers, duration of treatment and reason of discontinuation. Results: We included 110 subjects in this study. Neurologic diagnoses included multiple sclerosis, Parkinson's disease, and other diagnoses (dementia, paraplegia, and tetraplegia). Previous usage of antimuscarinics was found in 78 patients (71%). Mirabegron was combined with antimuscarinics in 15 patients (14%). Drugs with any anticholinergic activity were taken by 94 subjects (86%). Mirabegron was taken for a median of 497 days and 60 patients discontinued the medication within the study period. Main

KARGER

© 2019 S. Karger AG, Basel

E-Mail karger@karger.com www.karger.com/uin reasons of discontinuation were lack of effect (44/110), side effects (10/110), and non-reimbursement (6/110). There were no differences in mirabegron discontinuation by neurological disease, beta blocker usage, or anticholinergic burden. **Conclusions:** Mirabegron is continued in more than half of patients with neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction for more than 6 months. Further research is needed to identify eventual predictive factors. © 2019 S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Adult neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction (ANLUTD) often leads to overactive bladder symptoms such as frequency, urgency, urgency incontinence, and nocturia [1, 2]. Oral medical treatment can offer symptomatic relief to patients with multiple sclerosis (MS), stroke, Parkinson's disease, and dementia. ANLUTD underlying these symptoms is mainly treated with antimuscarinics [3]. These agents have high discontinuation rates in idiopathic overactive bladder as well as neurogenic etiology, 57.8% and 32.5% at 1 year, respectively [4].

ich, E-Medien - 1/7/2020 4:33:00 AM

Dirk De Ridder, MD, PhD, FEBU Department of Urology University Hospitals KU leuven Herestraat 49, BE-3000 Leuven (Belgium) E-Mail dirk.deridder@uzleuven.be

Mirabegron is the first beta-3 agonist that has been approved since 2013 as treatment for overactive bladder [5]. The difference in mechanism of action results in a lower rate of dry mouth, which is the most prevalent adverse effect. Mirabegron improves cystometric capacity and bladder compliance and reduces maximum detrusor pressure in neurogenic bladders [6-8]. A recent placebocontrolled randomized trial of mirabegron in ANLUTD reported that mirabegron treatment improves patientreported symptoms and quality of life at the end of 4 weeks therapy [8]. Improved patient compliance and persistence with mirabegron have been reported compared to other medications for overactive bladder [9-12]. However, longer durations of observation have not been reported for patients with neurogenic etiology. Data are important as ANLUTD require lifelong treatment for the prevention of potentially life-threatening complications. The aim of this study is to report longterm real-life data of mirabegron in patients with neurological disease and to identify factors for treatment persistence.

Materials and Methods

This is a retrospective study of tertiary care patients of a single urological department. The study protocol was approved by the hospital Ethics Committee. We included all patients aged ≥ 18 years with neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction who were prescribed mirabegron 50 mg between 2013 and 2017. Individual patient records were reviewed to determine eligibility. We excluded patients with indwelling urethral and suprapubic catheters, implanted neurostimulators and no follow-up visits.

The primary outcome of this study was time to discontinuation of mirabegron, which was defined as number of days between the first date of prescription and final record of mirabegron intake in medical record from any health care provider. Explicit stop or absence in medication list is coded as mirabegron discontinuation. Data extracted were patient demographics, neurogenic disease, comorbidities, medication history, prescribing indication, symptom improvement, and duration of mirabegron treatment. At followup visits, symptom improvement was assessed by the question "When compared to the time you started the treatment, how would you rate your symptoms now?" Drugs with anticholinergic side effect were recorded according to the Anticholinergic Cognitive Burden scale [13] and a list compiled from a systematic review of anticholinergic risk scales [14].

Statistical analysis was done using Graphpad Prism 7.0. Continuous variables were expressed as the mean \pm SD, and categorical data were expressed as absolute number and percentages in parentheses. Continuous variables were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical variables were compared using Fisher's exact test. Differences in survival curves were determined by log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test. A 2-sided value of p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.



Fig. 1. Flow diagram of subjects.

Results

Searching of electronic medical record database identified 287 patients with adult lower urinary tract dysfunction and indication for mirabegron in the medical record. After applying exclusion criteria, 16 patients had no record of neurologic disease, 24 patients had indwelling catheters and implanted stimulators. Mirabegron was not prescribed to 109 patients and 33 patients were lost to follow-up. We included 110 subjects for final analysis as detailed in Figure 1.

Patient characteristics are described in Table 1. The most frequent neurologic diagnoses were MS (42/110 [38.2%]) and Parkinson's disease (27/110 [24.5%]). Prescribing indications were treatment failures with antimuscarinics, specifically persistent urgency (40/110), persisting incontinence (52/110) and intolerance to side effects (37/110). A minority of patients also complained of frequency (27/110), nocturia (27/110), and stress urinary incontinence (19/110). All patients with residual urine of more than 150 mL (10/110) were treated with intermittent catheterization. Mirabegron was prescribed in combination with antimuscarinics in 15 out of 110 patients (13.6%). Patients were concurrently taking one or more medications with possible anticholinergic side effects in 94 out of 110 (85.4%).

Mirabegron was discontinued by 60 out of 110 patients (median duration of intake of 497 days, minimum-maximum 3–1,540 days). The reason of discontinuation was lack of effect in 44 out of 110 patients, side effects in 10 out of 110, and non-reimbursement in 6 out of 110. We **Table 1.** Distribution of patient characteristics by discontinuation of mirabegron

	Continued mirabegron (n = 50)	Discontinued mirabegron (<i>n</i> = 60)	Significant	Total (<i>n</i> = 110)
Gender, <i>n</i> (%)				
Female	29 (58)	33 (55)	0.85	62 (56)
Male	21 (42)	27 (45)		48 (44)
Age, years, median (IQR)	62 (42-73)	68.5 (54-74.5)	0.1	66 (47.5–74)
Neurogenic disease, <i>n</i> (%)				
MŠ	21 (42)	21 (35)	0.4	42 (38)
Parkinson's	10 (20)	17 (28)		27 (25)
Dementia	6 (12)	9 (15)		15 (14)
Spinal cord disease	9 (18)	5 (8)		14 (13)
Other	4 (8)	8 (13)		12 (11)
Reason to start mirabegron [†] , n (%)				
Persisting urgency	14 (28)	26 (43)	0.11	40 (36)
Persisting incontinence	22 (44)	30 (50)	0.57	52 (47)
Intolerance to side effects of antimuscarinics	17 (34)	20 (33)	0.99	37 (34)
Pretreatment symptoms [†] , n (%)				
Urgency	46 (92)	53 (88)	0.75	99 (90)
Urgency incontinence	42 (84)	41 (68)	0.08	83 (76)
Frequency	10 (20)	17 (28)	0.38	27 (25)
Nocturia	10 (20)	17 (28)	0.38	27 (25)
Stress incontinence	5 (10)	14 (23)	0.08	19 (17)
PVR >150 mL	6 (12)	4 (7)	0.33	10 (9)
Concomitant therapy [†] , n (%)				
Previous treatment with antimuscarinics	34 (68)	44 (73)	0.84	78 (71)
Combination treatment with antimuscarinics	8 (16)	7 (12)	0.58	15 (14)
Any drug with anticholinergic effects	44 (88)	50 (83)	0.59	94 (86)
Anticholinergic burden score, median (IQR)	1.5(0-3)	1 (0-3)	0.95	2 (0-3)
Number of drugs with anticholinergic effect, median (IQR)	2(1-3)	2(1-3)	0.78	2(1-3)
Beta blocker treatment	8 (16)	17 (28)	0.17	25 (22.7)
Symptom response, $n(\%)$			< 0.01	
Improved symptoms	38 (76)	16 (27)		54
No effect or worsening symptoms	12 (24)	44 (73)		56

[†] More than one option is possible.

IQR, interquartile range; PVR, post void residual; MS, multiple sclerosis.

could not relate to any serious side effects resulting in hospitalization or need for intervention to the intake of mirabegron in this study population.

Any symptom improvement is associated with higher continuation of mirabegron intake (p < 0.0001). There were trends toward a higher rate of urgency and stress incontinence in patients continuing mirabegron, although this difference was not enough to be statistically significant. There were no differences in discontinuation based on the type of neurogenic disease (p = 0.61) or beta blockers (p = 0.23; Fig. 2). We found no difference in discontinuation by anticholinergic burden both as defined by Anticholinergic Cognitive Burden scale (p = 0.75) nor total number of drugs with anticholinergic effect (p = 0.74, data not shown).

Figure 3 is an example of cystometry results pre- and post-treatment. Urodynamic study was performed prior to mirabegron initiation in 44 patients. Maximum cystometric capacity was not statistically different between those continuing and discontinuing mirabegron (329 ± 174 vs. 284 ± 185 mL, p = 0.46). A similar outcome was found for the first contraction (226 ± 189 vs. 197 ± 130 mL, p = 0.6). On follow-up, there was no difference in discontinuation between patients who performed urodynamics and those who did not (p = 0.19).



Fig. 2. Kaplan-Meier plots of time to discontinuation of mirabegron by (**a**) neurogenic disease (Mantel-Cox log-rank test p = 0.61), (**b**) anticholinergic burden score (p = 0.75), (**c**) beta-blocker usage (p = 0.23). MS, multiple sclerosis; ACB, Anticholinergic Cognitive Burden.



Fig. 3. Urodynamic examination in patient with NLUTD due to spinal cord injury (**a**) pre-treatment with mirabegron and (**b**) under combination treatment of mirabegron and solifenacin. (*Figure continued on next page.*)

Downloaded by: Universität Zürich, E-Medien 130.60.206.40 - 1/7/2020 4:33:00 AM



Discussion/Conclusion

Pves

Pabd

Pdet

Pura,

mL

IH₂O,

mL

Vinf,

mL

b

This study revealed that in patients with ANLUTD mirabegron was continued for more than 1 year in 41% of patients. These were patients who did not benefit from oral antimuscarinic therapy either due to lack of efficacy or side effects (Table 1). The most frequent reason for discontinuation was lack of effect and side effects. Both of these characteristics were similar to antimuscarinic treatment [4, 15].

We assessed whether patient factors can predict the discontinuation of treatment, including neurologic disease, total anticholinergic effect of all medications taken, and intake of beta blockers. There is no difference in discontinuation between the different types of neurologic pathology. Patients enrolled in this study have neurogenic etiology, which frequently necessitates concomitant medications with varying anticholinergic effect. Longerterm clinical data has shown that anticholinergics initially alleviate symptoms; however, a subset of patients subsequently discontinue with lack of efficacy being the most frequent reason [4]. In elegant animal studies, chronic administration of anticholinergics show similar initial reduction in voids, which normalized at 4 weeks along with reduced expression of M3 receptors [16, 17]. We hypothesized that overall anticholinergic effects of all medications taken in our patient population may determine response to mirabegron treatment. Two separate methods for quantifying anticholinergic use were used but no correlation with mirabegron treatment persistence was identified by either method [13, 14]. Finally, theoretical interference of beta-blockers such as metoprolol on the beta-3 receptor was considered. However, we found no statistically significant difference in persistence with mirabegron treatment (p = 0.23) [18].

The second most frequent reason for discontinuation was the prevalence side effects [19]. The favorable side effect profile of mirabegron compared to antimuscarinic agents has been demonstrated both in an idiopathic as well as in a neurogenic overactive bladder [5, 8, 9]. Lastly, discontinuation due to improvement of symptoms has also been reported [19]. Fluctuating symptoms is a feature of certain types of MS, which was the most frequent condition found in our study [20].

The cost of medication is an important factor in treatment choice and decision for discontinuing overactive

Jniversität Zürich, E-Medien 130.60.206.40 - 1/7/2020 4:33:00 AM

bladder medications [19]. Within the national health care system, no oral medications are reimbursed for overactive bladder. In cases of neurogenic etiology, botulinum toxin is reimbursed. Cost to patients for mirabegron is similar to M-3 selective antimuscarinics and twice the price of nonselective antimuscarinics.

Currently available treatment options for overactive bladder symptoms have similar limitations in long-term results. Oral pharmacotherapeutic options are characterized by low long-term persistence of <40% at 12 months for antimuscarinics [15, 21, 22]. The discontinuation rate of mirabegron is reported as similar or lower compared to antimuscarinics, irrespective of previous antimuscarinic treatment [23]. As alternative to oral treatment, intradetrusor injection of botulinum toxin is efficacious in patients willing to perform intermittent catheterization [24]. In our previously reported experience, 55% of patients treated with onabotulinumtoxin-A discontinued with a mean follow-up of 35 months [25]. Separately, Joussain et al. [26] reports the persistence of botulinum toxin treatment as high as 80.6% at 3 years and 60.8% at 7 years. Patients failing to benefit from one treatment option may still benefit from another agent with the same or a different mechanism of action. These observations point to possible subpopulations that are not identifiable by current investigation methods.

Our study has several strengths. First, patients included have characteristics that commonly exclude them from previously available clinical trial outcomes data. Second, longer term follow-up is important in the care of chronic disease and prevention of possibly lifethreatening complications. Finally, the electronic medical record system was connected to other hospitals within the community network. However, we acknowledge the limitations of our study. We excluded 33 patients due to lack of follow-up, which may result in the overestimation of the persistence rate. Symptom assessment was not standardized but was based on history taking by the urologist. Invasive urodynamic examinations were not standard for our patient cohort. If uroflow and postvoid residual did not show significant abnormalities, cystometry was not routinely performed. Repeat urodynamic studies were performed in cases where patients considered botulinum toxin or other invasive treatments. Despite these limitations, we believe that this group of patients demonstrated long-term benefit from mirabegron treatment. Further research should focus to identify predictive factors for treatment benefit and possible role of mirabegron as first-line alternative to antimuscarinic therapy.

In conclusion, Mirabegron is a long-term treatment option for patients with neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction not suitable for antimuscarinics. Based on available characteristics, it is not possible to predict which patients will continue treatment.

Acknowledgment

Gigi Vos assisted in initial data collection.

Statement of Ethics

The study protocol has been approved by the Ethical Commission of UZ/KU Leuven.

Disclosure Statement

M.A.S. received non-financial support from Allergan. L.H. reports personal fees from Astellas. F.V.A. reports grants and personal fees from Astellas, grants and personal fees from Allergan. D.D.R. reports grants from Astellas, Ferring, and Medtronic, all outside the submitted work.

Funding Sources

M.A.S. acknowledges support from the Indonesian Endowment Fund for Education (LPDP).

Author Contribution

M.A.S. was responsible for data collection and management, data analysis, and writing of article; L.H. contributed to study design, data analysis, and interpretation; F.V.A. was in charge of protocol development, data acquisition, and analysis; D.D.R. was involved in protocol development, seeking ethical approval, data collection, management, and analysis, manuscript writing and editing.

References

- 1 Gajewski JB, Schurch B, Hamid R, Averbeck M, Sakakibara R, Agrò EF, et al. An International Continence Society (ICS) report on the terminology for adult neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction (ANLUTD). Neurourol Urodyn. 2018 Mar;37(3):1152–61.
- 2 Ruffion A, Castro-Diaz D, Patel H, Khalaf K, Onyenwenyi A, Globe D, et al. Systematic review of the epidemiology of urinary incontinence and detrusor overactivity among patients with neurogenic overactive bladder. Neuroepidemiology. 2013;41(3–4):146–55.

- 3 Drake MJ, Apostolidis A, Cocci A, Emmanuel A, Gajewski JB, Harrison SC, et al. Neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction: Clinical management recommendations of the Neurologic Incontinence committee of the fifth International Consultation on Incontinence 2013. Neurourol Urodyn. 2016 Aug; 35(6):657–65.
- 4 Tijnagel MJ, Scheepe JR, Blok BF. Real life persistence rate with antimuscarinic treatment in patients with idiopathic or neurogenic overactive bladder: a prospective cohort study with solifenacin. BMC Urol. 2017 Apr; 17(1):30.
- 5 Chapple CR, Cardozo L, Nitti VW, Siddiqui E, Michel MC. Mirabegron in overactive bladder: a review of efficacy, safety, and toler-ability. Neurourol Urodyn. 2014 Jan;33(1): 17–30.
- 6 Kamei J, Furuta A, Akiyama Y, Niimi A, Ichihara K, Fujimura T, et al. Video-urodynamic effects of mirabegron, a β 3 -adrenoceptor agonist, in patients with low-compliance bladder. Int J Urol. 2015 Oct;22(10):956–61.
- 7 Wöllner J, Pannek J. Initial experience with the treatment of neurogenic detrusor overactivity with a new β -3 agonist (mirabegron) in patients with spinal cord injury. Spinal Cord. 2016 Jan;54(1):78–82.
- 8 Krhut J, Borovička V, Bílková K, Sýkora R, Míka D, Mokriš J, et al. Efficacy and safety of mirabegron for the treatment of neurogenic detrusor overactivity-Prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Neurourol Urodyn. 2018 Sep;37(7):2226–33.
- 9 Kinjo M, Sekiguchi Y, Yoshimura Y, Nutahara K. Long-term Persistence with Mirabegron versus Solifenacin in Women with Overactive Bladder: Prospective, Randomized Trial. Low Urin Tract Symptoms. 2018 May;10(2):148– 52.
- 10 Sussman D, Yehoshua A, Kowalski J, Lee W, Kish J, Chaudhari S, et al. Adherence and persistence of mirabegron and anticholinergic

therapies in patients with overactive bladder: a real-world claims data analysis. Int J Clin Pract. 2017 Mar;71(3-4):e12824.

- 11 Wagg A, Franks B, Ramos B, Berner T. Persistence and adherence with the new beta-3 receptor agonist, mirabegron, versus antimuscarinics in overactive bladder: early experience in Canada. Can Urol Assoc J. 2015 Sep-Oct;9(9–10):343–50.
- 12 Chapple CR, Nazir J, Hakimi Z, Bowditch S, Fatoye F, Guelfucci F, et al. Persistence and Adherence with Mirabegron versus Antimuscarinic Agents in Patients with Overactive Bladder: A Retrospective Observational Study in UK Clinical Practice. Eur Urol. 2017 Sep; 72(3):389–99.
- 13 Boustani M, Campbell N, Munger S, Maidment I, Fox C. Impact of anticholinergics on the aging brain: a review and practical application. Aging Health. 2008;4(3):311–20.
- 14 Salahudeen MS, Duffull SB, Nishtala PS. Anticholinergic burden quantified by anticholinergic risk scales and adverse outcomes in older people: a systematic review. BMC Geriatr. 2015 Mar;15(1):31.
- 15 Veenboer PW, Bosch JL. Long-term adherence to antimuscarinic therapy in everyday practice: a systematic review. J Urol. 2014 Apr;191(4):1003–8.
- 16 Uvin P, Boudes M, Menigoz A, Franken J, Pinto S, Gevaert T, et al. Chronic administration of anticholinergics in rats induces a shift from muscarinic to purinergic transmission in the bladder wall. Eur Urol. 2013 Sep;64(3): 502–10.
- 17 Birder L, Andersson KE. Urothelial signaling. Physiol Rev. 2013 Apr;93(2):653–80.
- 18 Baker JG. The selectivity of beta-adrenoceptor antagonists at the human beta1, beta2 and beta3 adrenoceptors. Br J Pharmacol. 2005 Feb;144(3):317–22.
- 19 Benner JS, Nichol MB, Rovner ES, Jumadilova Z, Alvir J, Hussein M, et al. Patient-reported reasons for discontinuing overactive blad-

der medication. BJU Int. 2010 May;105(9): 1276-82.

- 20 Phé V, Chartier-Kastler E, Panicker JN. Management of neurogenic bladder in patients with multiple sclerosis. Nat Rev Urol. 2016 May;13(5):275–88.
- 21 Weckx F, Tutolo M, De Ridder D, Van der Aa F. The role of botulinum toxin A in treating neurogenic bladder. Transl Androl Urol. 2016 Feb;5(1):63–71.
- 22 Giannantoni A, Mearini E, Del Zingaro M, Porena M. Six-year follow-up of botulinum toxin A intradetrusorial injections in patients with refractory neurogenic detrusor overactivity: clinical and urodynamic results. Eur Urol. 2009 Mar;55(3):705–11.
- 23 Wagg AS, Foley S, Peters J, Nazir J, Kool-Houweling L, Scrine L. Persistence and adherence with mirabegron vs antimuscarinics in overactive bladder: retrospective analysis of a UK General Practice prescription database. Int J Clin Pract. 2017 Oct;71(10): e12996.
- 24 Peyronnet B, Castel-Lacanal E, Roumiguie M, Even L, Marque P, Soulié M, et al. Intradetrusor injections of onabotulinum toxin A (Botox[®]) 300 U or 200 U versus abobotulinum toxin A (Dysport[®]) 750 U in the management of neurogenic detrusor overactivity: A case control study. Neurourol Urodyn. 2017 Mar; 36(3):734–9.
- 25 De Ridder DJ, Muilwijk T, Weckx F, Vanden Broecke PJ, Tutolo M, Van Der Aa F. 1093 Real life discontinuation rate of botulinum toxin treatment in 100 patients with NDO. Eur Urol Suppl. 2015;14(2):e1093– e1093a.
- 26 Joussain C, Popoff M, Phé V, Even A, Bosset PO, Pottier S, et al. Long-term outcomes and risks factors for failure of intradetrusor onabotulinumtoxin A injections for the treatment of refractory neurogenic detrusor overactivity. Neurourol Urodyn. 2018 Feb;37(2): 799–806.