



Submit Article for JIKA

5 pesan

Paulus Sugianto <Paulus.sugianto@fk.unair.ac.id>
Kepada: jurnal.aisyah@gmail.com

21 November 2022 13.47

Dear Editor
Jurnal Aisyah: Jurnal Ilmu Kesehatan (JIKA)

We have read and used scientific articles published in JIKA.
The performance of the JIKA was excellent, and the published article helped us conduct research and write scientific reports.

I am Paulus Sugianto, representing other authors from Universitas Airlangga.
We have completed a scientific article entitled "**Correlation between Blood and Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF) Neutrophil-Lymphocyte Ratio With Bacterial Meningitis Prognosis Patient.**"

We hope this article can be published in the JIKA because exciting findings in the scientific report can become new treasures in science, especially health sciences.
We are willing to go through the review process following JIKA standards.

Best Regards,

Paulus Sugianto
Universitas Airlangga

 **JIKA_Article_Paulus.doc**

771K

Afif Zuhri Arfianto <jurnal.aisyah@gmail.com>
Kepada: Paulus Sugianto <Paulus.sugianto@fk.unair.ac.id>

26 November 2022 18.21

Dear
Paulus Sugianto, et al.
Universitas Airlangga.

The JIKA Editorial Board has received the team article. I appreciate the team's interest in JIKA.

The team article will be processed according to the publication standards at JIKA.
I beg you to be patient while waiting for the notification from the JIKA Editorial Board.

Always check email because the correspondence is only via email.

Best Regards,

--

Afif Zuhri Arfianto
Editorial Board JIKA
[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

28 November 2022 09.04

Paulus Sugianto <paulus.sugianto@fk.unair.ac.id>
Kepada: Afif Zuhri Arfianto <jurnal.aisyah@gmail.com>

Dear Editor
Jurnal Aisyah: Jurnal Ilmu Kesehatan (JIKA)

Thank you for your response.
We are happy to wait for the next stage.

Paulus Sugianto
Universitas Airlangga
[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Afif Zuhri Arfianto <jurnal.aisyah@gmail.com>
Kepada: Paulus Sugianto <paulus.sugianto@fk.unair.ac.id>

11 Desember 2023 17.27

Dear

Paulus Sugianto, et al.
Universitas Airlangga

Thank you for submitting the manuscript to JIKA.

We have completed our evaluation, and the reviewers recommend reconsidering the manuscript following minor revisions. Please resubmit the revised manuscript by January 21st, 2023, or ask whether an alternate date would be acceptable. We invite you to send it back after addressing the comments below.

When revising the manuscript, consider carefully all issues mentioned in the reviewers' comments: outline every change made in response to their comments and provide suitable explanations for any remarks not addressed. Please also note that the revised submission may need to be re-reviewed.

To submit a revised manuscript, please log in as an author at this email, and navigate to the "Revision" folder. JIKA values team contribution, and I look forward to receiving the revised manuscript.

Editor and Reviewer comments:

Reviewer #1:

1. The abstract should provide more specific information about the objectives of the study. Clearly state the primary research question and the specific goals of investigating the correlation between blood and cerebrospinal fluid neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio with bacterial meningitis prognosis.
2. It would be beneficial to include a brief explanation of why studying the neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) is relevant to bacterial meningitis prognosis. Highlight the potential mechanisms by which NLR could serve as a predictive factor for severity and prognosis in systemic inflammation.
3. Consider expanding the section on the methodology used in the study. Provide details on how the blood and cerebrospinal fluid samples were collected, the specific laboratory techniques employed to measure the neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio, and any statistical analyses performed to establish the correlation with bacterial meningitis outcome.
4. Clarify the significance of the findings. Explain how the identified correlation between blood and cerebrospinal fluid neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio with bacterial meningitis outcome contributes to the existing knowledge in the field. Discuss the implications of these findings for clinical practice and potential avenues for further research.
5. Lastly, consider revising the abstract to be more concise and structured. Ensure that all relevant information is included while maintaining clarity and readability. Avoid unnecessary repetition and ensure that the abstract effectively conveys the key points of the study concisely.

I hope you can make improvements as soon as possible and send the revised article again via this email.

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Paulus Sugiarto <paulus.sugiarto@fk.unair.ac.id>
Kepada: Afif Zuhri Arfianto <jurnal.aisyah@gmail.com>

Dear Editor
Jurnal Aisyah: Jurnal Ilmu Kesehatan (JIKA)

Thank you for the Editorial Board response to JIKA.
We have read the revised instructions from the Reviewers.
We will immediately correct the article according to the reviewers.

Best Regards,

Paulus Sugiarto
Universitas Airlangga
[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]



Revision Article for JIKA

4 pesan

21 Januari 2023 18.35

Paulus Sugianto <paulus.sugianto@fk.unair.ac.id>
Kepada: Afif Zuhri Arfianto <jurnal.aisyah@gmail.com>

Dear Editor
Jurnal Aisyah: Jurnal Ilmu Kesehatan (JIKA)

Thank you for the patience of the JIKA Editorial Board. We were waiting for the revision of our article.

We have made improvements according to the reviewer's instructions. I will be happy if there are still points that need to be improved again in the article.
The revised Article is Attached.

Best Regards,

Paulus Sugianto
Universitas Airlangga



Revision1_JIKA_Article_Paulus.doc
715K

Afif Zuhri Arfianto <jurnal.aisyah@gmail.com>
Kepada: Paulus Sugianto <paulus.sugianto@fk.unair.ac.id>

3 Februari 2023 16.23

Dear
Paulus Sugianto, et al.
Universitas Airlangga

Thank you for the team's efforts to make improvements to the article.
Based on the Reviewers' considerations, the article has, at some point, increased.
However, the team has to improve on a few more points. Hopefully are willing to do it.

Please follow the following revision instructions:

#Reviewer 2:

1. The introduction section could benefit from providing a more comprehensive overview of bacterial meningitis, including its epidemiology, etiology, and clinical manifestations. This would help contextualize the importance of studying prognostic factors and the relevance of the neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio in this particular disease.
2. Consider discussing the limitations of the study in the conclusion or discussion section. Address potential confounding factors, biases, or limitations in the sample size or study design. Acknowledging these limitations will provide a balanced interpretation of the findings and help guide future research directions.
3. It would be helpful to provide a clear description of the statistical methods used to determine the significance of the correlation between the neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio and bacterial meningitis outcome. Include information on the statistical tests performed, such as regression analysis or correlation coefficients, and provide the readers with the necessary information to assess the robustness of the findings.
4. Expand the discussion section to explore possible mechanisms that may explain the observed correlation between the neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio and bacterial meningitis prognosis. Discuss the potential biological rationale behind this correlation and relate it to existing knowledge in the field. This will enhance the scientific significance and understanding of the study's findings.
5. Lastly, consider including recommendations for clinical practice or future research directions. Discuss how the findings of this study could be applied in a clinical setting to improve patient outcomes and guide treatment decisions. Additionally, identify areas that require further investigation, such as the potential use of the neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio as a biomarker for monitoring treatment response or as a tool for risk stratification in bacterial meningitis patients.

Articles that have been revised and please send them back via this email.

--

Afif Zuhri Arfianto

Editorial Board
Jurnal Aisyah: Jurnal Ilmu Kesehatan (JIKA)

Paulus Sugiarto <paulus.sugiarto@fk.unair.ac.id>
Kepada: Afif Zuhri Arfianto <jurnal.aisyah@gmail.com>

15 Februari 2023 00.32

Dear Editor
Jurnal Aisyah: Jurnal Ilmu Kesehatan (JIKA)

Thank you for your response. We will be happy to revise the article according to these instructions.

Paulus Sugiarto
Universitas Airlangga
[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Paulus Sugiarto <paulus.sugiarto@fk.unair.ac.id>
Kepada: Afif Zuhri Arfianto <jurnal.aisyah@gmail.com>

24 Maret 2023 12.02

Dear Editor
Jurnal Aisyah: Jurnal Ilmu Kesehatan (JIKA)

Thank you for the patience of the JIKA Editorial Board. We were waiting for the revision of our article.

We have made improvements according to the reviewer's two instructions. We will be happy if there are still points that need further improvement in the article.

The revised Article is Attached.

Best Regards,

Paulus Sugiarto
Universitas Airlangga



Revision2_JIKA_Article_Paulus.doc
678K



Paulus Sugianto <Paulus.sugianto@fk.unair.ac.id>

ACCEPTANCE LETTER

1 pesan

Afif Zuhri Arfianto <jurnal.aisyah@gmail.com>

1 April 2023 09.32

Kepada: Paulus Sugianto <Paulus.sugianto@fk.unair.ac.id>

Dear Author(s)

Paulus Sugianto, Abdulloh Machin, Devi Ariani Sudibyo & Muhammad Hamdan

Warm Greetings!

ACCEPTANCE LETTER

It's a great pleasure to inform you that, after the peer review process, the "**Correlation between Blood and Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF) Neutrophil-Lymphocyte Ratio With Bacterial Meningitis Prognosis Patient**" team article has been accepted for publication in the JIKA Review Regular Issue 2023. Please make a payment publication fee.

Thank you for submitting the paper to this journal. We hope to receive it in the future too.

--

Afif Zuhri Arfianto

Editorial Board

Jurnal Aisyah: Jurnal Ilmu Kesehatan (JIKA)

**LoA_JIKA_Paulus.pdf**

178K



ACCEPTANCE CERTIFICATE OF SCIENTIFIC ARTICLE

Date : 8 May 2023

Manuscript Tittle : CORRELATION BETWEEN BLOOD AND CEREBROSPINAL FLUID (CSF) NEUTROPHIL-LYMPHOCYTE RATIO WITH BACTERIAL MENINGITIS PROGNOSIS PATIENT

Name of Author(s) : Paulus Sugianto, Abdulloh Machin, Devi Ariani Sudiby, Muhammad Hamdan

Dear Mr. Paulus Sugianto and colleague

Thank you very much for your submission to our journal. We are pleased to inform you that your paper (ID number #JIKA-1981) has been accepted for publication on Jurnal Aisyah: Jurnal Ilmu Kesehatan (JIKA) corresponding to Vol 8 Issue 2, June 2023. This letter is an official confirmation of acceptance of your research paper.

Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your research interests.

Yours sincerely,
Jurnal Aisyah: Jurnal Ilmu Kesehatan



Hamid Mukhlis, M.Psi., Psikolog
Managing Editor



Correlation between Blood and Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF) Neutrophil-Lymphocyte Ratio with Bacterial Meningitis Prognosis Patient

Paulus Sugianto*¹, Abdulloh Machin², Devi Ariani Sudiby³, Muhammad Hamdan⁴

¹⁻⁴ Department of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 21 January 2023
Accepted 1 April 2023
Published 10 June 2023

Keyword:

Bacterial Meningitis
Inflammation
Neutrophil-Lymphocyte Ratio
Mortality

ABSTRACT

Bacterial Meningitis is a bacterial infection of the central nervous system's protective membranes called the meninges. Bacterial Meningitis has a high disability and case fatality rate. This inflammatory process not only manifests in CSF but also systemically. The neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) can be a predicting factor of severity and prognosis in systemic inflammation. Only a few studies in Indonesia evaluate the neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio as a predictor of mortality in adult bacterial meningitis. This study also aimed to compare neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio in LCS and systemic as a predictor of mortality in patients with adult bacterial meningitis. This is an analytic cross-sectional study in Dr. Soetomo's general hospital—a total sample of 44 bacterial meningitis patients from the inpatient ward of Dr. Soetomo General Hospital Surabaya. The blood Neutrophil- Lymphocyte ratio, Glasgow Coma Scale upon admission, and Absolute lymphocyte count were significant with bacterial meningitis outcome with p -value < 0.05 . Early detection of bacterial meningitis patient prognosis could alert the healthcare provider to give careful monitoring and aggressive treatment.

This open access article is under the [CC-BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) license.



Kata kunci:

Meningitis Bakterial
Inflamasi
Rasio neutrophil-limfosit darah
Mortalitas

*) corresponding author

Dr. Paulus Sugianto, dr., Sp. N(K), FAAN

Department of Neurology, Faculty of
Medicine, Universitas Airlangga – Dr.
Soetomo General Academic Hospital,
Surabaya, Indonesia

Email: paulus.sugianto@fk.unair.ac.id

DOI: 10.30604/jika.v8i2.1993
Copyright 2023 @author(s)

ABSTRAK

Meningitis bacterial adalah inflamasi akibat bakteri di selaput otak dan sumsum tulang belakang bernama meningen. Meningitis merupakan penyakit dengan angka kematian dan angka kecacatan yang cukup tinggi walaupun sudah memberikan pengobatan yang tepat. Proses inflamasi ini terjadi tidak hanya pada system saraf pusat namun juga terjadi di seluruh tubuh. Rasio neutrophil-limfosit pada darah selama ini dapat menjadi tanda derajat keparahan dan prognosis pada kasus inflamasi sistemik. Hanya beberapa penelitian yang mencari tentang hubungan rasio limfosit dan neutrophil pada cairan serebrospinal apakah dapat menunjukkan derajat keparahan pada infeksi meningitis bakteri. Dalam penelitian ini juga ingin mencari rasio limfosit dan neutrophil darah apakah selaras dengan rasio di dalam cairan serebro spinalis. Penelitian ini merupakan studi retrospektif krosesional analitik. Total sampel dari populasi ini adalah 44 yang memenuhi kriteria inklusi dan eksklusi. Secara Statistik didapatkan rasio neutrophil-limfosit darah, tingkat Glassgow Coma Scale saat masuk, dan nilai limfosit absolut signifikan dalam menentukan prognosis pasien dengan meningitis bakteri dewasa ($P < 0.05$).

This open access article is under the [CC-BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) license.



INTRODUCTION

Bacterial meningitis is an acute bacterial infection attacking the meninges (Mentis et al., 2016). There were limited data about CNS infection in Indonesia. CNS infection has a high mortality rate but also a disability rate worldwide (Imran et al., 2019). It is rapidly growing due to an increase in the HIV population in developing countries (Imran et al., 2019; Mentis et al., 2016). The global incidence of bacterial meningitis is estimated to be 20 cases per 100,000 population, or 1.2 million people (Brouwer et al., 2010).

World health organization showed that 1 out of 10 people die in bacterial meningitis. The mortality rate could reach 15% with proper treatment and 70% without appropriate treatment within 1-2 days after the onset (Imran et al., 2019; S Saleh et al., 2020). Sequele in developing countries is higher at 50-65%. Following study results conducted in Yogyakarta, 65% of bacterial meningitis had neurological sequelae. (Widjaja et al., 2022) In Indonesia, the barrier to diagnostic and prompt treatment is not only caused by the severity of the disease but also by the facility and society's understanding of the disease.

Neutrophil lymphocyte ratio (NLR) is a biomarker of inflammation derived from leukocytes. The NLR calculation divides the absolute neutrophil count by the absolute lymphocyte count (Widjaja et al., 2022). A simple complete blood count could obtain this data. Having an accessible biomarker to predict the outcome of bacterial meningitis should be helpful in the decision of how bacterial meningitis should be treated (Imran et al., 2019). Studies of NLR as a predictor of mortality in adult bacterial meningitis have not been widely reported. This study is to find the role of NLR in adult bacterial meningitis patients as a predictor of mortality.

METHODS

This study was a retrospective cross-sectional conducted in April 2023. The population was all admitted patients in the neurology ward of Dr. Soetomo General Hospital diagnosed with bacterial meningoencephalitis from January

– December 2022. Using the sample size calculation formula, the minimum sample size was 44 subjects. Inclusion criteria were all adult patients diagnosed with bacterial meningitis according to the Indonesia neurological association guideline, complete blood count, positive bacteria culture from cerebrospinal fluid, age ≥ 18 years old, and complete medical record. Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows ver. 23.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, USA). Descriptive data were expressed as mean±standard deviation. Depending on the data distribution, each parameter will be calculated and evaluated using Shapiro-Wilk, independent t-test or Mann-Whitney test, and chi-square. Receiver operating characteristic curves were used to determine the NLR cut and analyze specificity and sensitivity. The study was conducted under the declaration of Helsinki. This study received approval from the Health Research Ethics Committee of Dr. Soetomo General Hospital (Ref. Number: 1209/LOE /301.4.2/IV/2023).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of 44 subjects in this study, 18(40.9%), died during admission, and 26 (59.1%) were discharged with neurological deficits. The youngest subject was 18 years old, and the oldest was 78 years old, with a mean age of 41 years old. The overall assessment from research consisted of descriptive statistics, and the NLR value test results for predicting the prognosis of bacterial meningitis patients in the inpatient ward of Dr. Soetomo general hospital was described in Table 1. Table 1 presents factors that probably contribute to the biomarker of blood inflammation and CSF, such as total white blood cells count, Absolute lymphocyte ratio (ALR), and absolute neutrophil count (ANC). The overall result showed 3 category correlates significant for predicting mortality in bacterial meningitis GCS entry (P = 0.036), Blood NLR (p= 0.001), and Absolute lymphocyte count (p= 0.003). Pathogen distribution of bacterial meningitis can be shown in Table 2. The most prevalent was 58.6% gram-positive coccus bacteria, and the least was gram-negative cocci with 6.2%.

Table 1
Descriptive Statistics For Age, Sex, blood test distribution

Variables	Survive	Death	P value*
Age	26 (40.5±14.4)	18(41.72±17.49)	-.09
% Female	7(26.92%)	9(50%)	0.118
Blood WBC**	26 (12019±5134)	18(14440±6901)	0.210
GCS entry**	26 (11.57±2.4)	18(9.67±3.2)	0.036
NLR**	26(9.67±12.01)	18(17.9±12.75)	0.001
ALC**	26(1446±712)	18(859±596)	0.003
ANC**	26(9676±4989)	18(12542±6654)	0.145
CSF WBC**	26(302.93±788)	18(157.39±262.5)	0.574
CSF NLR**	26(0.72±0.99)	18(1.4±2.58)	0.632

**Notes: WBC : White blood cell; GCS : Glasgow Coma Scale; NLR:Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio; ALC: absolute Lymphocyte count; ANC: absolute neutrophil count; CSF: Cerebrospinal Fluid.
 * p < .05

This study used the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve to calculate the optimal cut-off for NLR as a predictor of mortality in patients with adult bacterial meningitis. The optimal cut-off result is 10.5, with a

sensitivity of 72.2% and a specificity of 80.8%. NLR was statistically significant as a predictor of mortality in bacterial meningitis patients with p < 0.001 and RR 4.84 (Fig. 1).

Table 2
Pathogen distribution by the culture

Pathogen	Survive	Death
Gram Positive Cocci	25(53.8%)	56.8(61.1%)
Pseudomonas	2(7.7%)	3(16.7%)
Gram-negative Cocci	1(3.8%)	0(0%)
Atypical pathogen	2(7.7%)	1(5.6%)
Other	7(26.9%)	3(16.7%)

This study used the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve to calculate the optimal cut-off for NLR as a predictor of mortality in patients with adult bacterial meningitis. The optimal cut-off result is 10.5, with a sensitivity of 72.2% and a specificity of 80.8%. NLR was statistically significant as a predictor of mortality in bacterial meningitis patients with $p < 0.001$ and RR 4.84 (Fig. 1).




Figure 1. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for Neutrophil-Lymphocyte ratio

DISCUSSION

The result data showed male to female ratio was 1.75, with age distribution mostly around 41 years old. This ratio was similar to previous data in 2019 at Dr Sotemo general hospital, with value of 1.66

The following study in Dr. Soetomo general hospital showed male to the female ratio was 1.66, mostly occurring in the age of 40 (47.06%) (Andarsari et al., 2019). A more extensive bacterial meningitis Study in Taiwan also gives a similar ratio with age within the productive period. All studies showed males slightly at risk for bacterial meningitis compared to females (Tigabu et al., 2021).

Meningitis in the early era affected age groups of patients who had immature immune system, such as infants, elderly, and HIV patients (Marcus & Walter, 2022). After the introduction of the vaccination and early primary prevention for bacterial meningitis, there was a shifting of distribution age group and pathogens (K.S. et al., 2015). The incidence nowadays is shifting with the most prevalent in infants younger than 90 days, adults around 40 years old, and elderly above 65 years old (Koelman et al., 2021; Tigabu et al., 2021). The current study reported 56.8% gram-positive coccus and 11.4% positive Pseudomonas as adult bacterial meningitis causative agents (Hsieh et al., 2021). The most common germs obtained from CSF cultures were

Staphylococcus epidermidis, Staphylococcus haemolyticus, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa found in patients. Pseudomonas meningitis gram-negative bacillus is a rare cause of meningitis compared to coccus gram bacillus and mainly develops after having a history of neurosurgical procedures (Gallaher et al., 2017). The causative bacteria in our study were consistent with the general population of causative agents in adult bacterial meningitis (Andarsari et al., 2019; Hsieh et al., 2021). Streptococcus pneumoniae mainly affects patients 45 years old, while the younger age group 93% got Neisseria meningitidis (Sigurdardóttir, 1997).

In the following study, fewer patients died from bacterial meningitis (40.9%) than survived (59.1%). The majority pathogen with S. pneumoniae has a mortality rate 34% but the highest with pseudomonas infection due to multiple drug resistance (Rohmah et al., 2023). Other factors that increased the death rate in meningitis were immunocompromise, streptococcus pneumonia, treatment delay, and unclear vaccination status (Zainel et al., 2021). This study found that patients with NLR values above 10.5 died on average more (9.6) than patients with below cut-off. The NLR cut-off value for each disease is different. The normal range of NLR values in healthy subjects is 0.78-3.581 (Novariani, Herini, & Patria, 2016). Another Study with sepsis patients gives an NLR value of 5.68 locally and 11.78-13.16 systemically (Gurol et al., 2015).

The neutrophil-Lymphocyte ratio is a subclinical biomarker of inflammation status in the human biological system. It has recently been used as a marker of infection severity (Rathod et al., 2022). The previous study shows a strong correlation of NLR with sepsis. Further increase in NLR is associated with decreased apoptosis capability, inhibit neutrophils' cell-killing ability, and their anti-inflammatory role, resulting in SIRS and MOD (Zahorec, 2001). The NLR ratio will also increase significantly in bacterial infection, including bacterial meningitis and low viral infection. A higher NLR value indicates septicemia, leading to poorer bacterial infection outcomes (Naess et al., 2017). In Bacterial meningitis, almost 39% of mortality is contributed by septicemia (Sharew et al., 2020).

In addition to neutrophils and lymphocytes, the level of consciousness on admission to the hospital predicts poor outcomes in patients with bacterial meningitis. The most prominent early clinical features were fever, headache, and decreased consciousness (Teixeira et al., 2022). Previous data in Indonesia showed approximately a patient with CNS infection presented with decreased consciousness and headache in the hospital (Imran et al., 2018). In bacterial meningitis, a decrease of consciousness presents 15-20%. The level of consciousness when first undergoing treatment for bacterial meningitis can be related to the outcome that will be obtained. This is supported by other studies, which state that only 1 in 5 patients (20%) survive (Lucas et al., 2014). In this study, the level of GCS entry was statistically significant with the patient's outcome ($p = 0.001$). Poor GCS on admission is also caused by late admission to the hospital. In most cases in Indonesia, patients with bacterial meningitis will be admitted after the onset of more than 3 days of the first symptoms. Post-bacterial meningitis neurological complications could be present in almost 50% (Zainel et al., 2021). This includes seizure, cognitive impairment, hydrocephalus, and hearing loss. Neurological sequelae were not included in this study. However, other recent studies showed a significant correlation between NLR value and higher neurological sequelae (Gong et al., 2022).

The presence of the following NLR biomarkers can predict mortality prognosis so that it can be a preventive

measure for mortality due to bacterial meningitis. In addition, the average bacterial meningitis patient in the following health facilities is enrolled in health insurance or national health insurance, which has a middle to lower economy. Patients can easily reach NLR because the price is low but effective. There was no statistical correlation between CSF NLR and mortality in this study. However, there was less known about CSF NLR with prognosis in bacterial meningitis patients. A previous cohort study showed that CSF NLR can differentiate viral meningitis from bacterial meningitis in the pediatric population. We know that more sample size is needed with all the comorbidities data to improve our research, which can affect NLR value. This would be considered as our study limitations. Further studies with larger sample sizes and details need to confirm this finding.

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

The blood Neutrophil lymphocyte ratio is a statistically significant predictor of mortality in adult bacterial meningitis patients. This also can be used as a parameter to predict outcomes in patients with bacterial meningitis with cut-off value 5.22. As the healthcare provider high NLR value, patients need intensive monitoring and aggressive management to improve patient outcomes.

Acknowledgement

The Author, thank you to dr. Soetomo general hospital to permit data collection. We thank Cindy C., Jovian Philip S., and Kholifatul M. for assisting with this research.

ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Funding Statement.

The authors did not receive support from any organization for the submitted work.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The Author declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Andarsari, M. R., Hasmono, D., Samirah, S., Suharjo, S., Nabilah, D. A., & Sugianto, P. (2019). Drug Utilization Study of Antibiotics in Bacterial Meningitis (A Retrospective Study in Dr. Soetomo General Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia). *Jurnal Farmasi Dan Ilmu Kefarmasian Indonesia*, 4(2), 80. <https://doi.org/10.20473/jfiki.v4i22017.80-83>

Brouwer, M. C., Tunkel, A. R., & van de Beek, D. (2010). Epidemiology, Diagnosis, and Antimicrobial Treatment of Acute Bacterial Meningitis. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 23(3), 467–492. <https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00070-09>

Gallagher, C., Norman, J., Singh, A., & Sanderson, F. (2017). Community-acquired Pseudomonas aeruginosa meningitis. *BMJ Case Reports*, bcr-2017-221839. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2017-221839>

Gong, Z., Zhang, C., Li, Y., Jing, L., Duan, R., Yao, Y., Teng, J., & Jia, Y. (2022). NLRP3 in the Cerebrospinal Fluid as a Potential Biomarker for the Diagnosis and Prognosis of Community-Acquired Bacterial Meningitis in Adults. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2021.803186>

Guro, G., Ciftci, I. H., Terzi, H. A., Atasoy, A. R., Ozbek, A., & Koroglu, M. (2015). Are There Standardized Cut-off Values for Neutrophil-Lymphocyte Ratios in Bacteremia or Sepsis? *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 25(4), 521–525. <https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.1408.08060>

Hsieh, D.-Y., Lai, Y.-R., Lien, C.-Y., Chang, W.-N., Huang, C.-C., Cheng, B.-C., Kung, C.-T., & Lu, C.-H. (2021). Sex-based differences in bacterial meningitis in adults: Epidemiology, clinical features, and therapeutic outcomes. *Journal of Infection and Public Health*, 14(9), 1218–1225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2021.08.018>

Imran, D., Estiasari, R., Maharani, K., Sucipto, Lestari, D. C., Yunus, R. E., Yuniastuti, E., Karyadi, T. H., Oei, D., Timan, I. S., Wulandari, D., Wahyuningsih, R., Adawiyah, R., Kurniawan, A., Mulyadi, R., Karuniawati, A., Jaya, U. A., Safari, D., van Laarhoven, A., ... van Crevel, R. (2018). Presentation, etiology, and outcome of brain infections in an Indonesian hospital. *Neurology: Clinical Practice*, 8(5), 379–388. <https://doi.org/10.1212/CPJ.0000000000000517>

Imran, D., Satiti, S., Sugianto, P., Estiasari, R., Maharani, K., Pangeran, D., Andini, P. W., Munir, B., Mawuntu, A. H. P., Susilawathi, N. M., Ritarwan, K., Hartanto, O. S., Frida, M., Ganiem, A. R., Gunawan, D., Dian, S., Sudewi, A. A. R., & van Crevel, R. (2019). Barriers to diagnosis and management of CNS infections in Indonesia. *Neurology*, 92(2), 104–106. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.00000000000006732>

K.S., A., M.C., B., & D., van de B. (2015). Risk factors for community-acquired bacterial meningitis in adults. *Netherlands Journal of Medicine*, 73(2), 53–60. <http://www.embase.com/search/results?subaction=viewrecord&from=export&id=L602940133%0Ahttp://limo.libis.be/resolver?&id=EMBASE&issn=03002977&id=doi:&atitle=Risk+fac-tors+for+community-acquired+bacterial+meningitis+in+adults&stitle=Neth.+J.+Med.&title=Neth>

Koelman, D. L. H., van Kassel, M. N., Bijlsma, M. W., Brouwer, M. C., van de Beek, D., & van der Ende, A. (2021). Changing Epidemiology of Bacterial Meningitis Since Introduction of Conjugate Vaccines: 3 Decades of National Meningitis Surveillance in The Netherlands. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 73(5), e1099–e1107. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1774>

Lucas, M. J., Brouwer, M. C., van der Ende, A., & van de Beek, D. (2014). Outcome in patients with bacterial meningitis presenting with a minimal Glasgow Coma Scale score. *Neurology - Neuroimmunology Neuroinflammation*, 1(1), e9. <https://doi.org/10.1212/NXI.0000000000000009>

Marcus, R., & Walter, K. (2022). Bacterial Meningitis. *JAMA*, 328(21), 2170. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2022.21603>

Mentis, A.-F. A., Kyprianou, M. A., Xirogianni, A., Kesanopoulos, K., & Tzanakaki, G. (2016). Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in the differential diagnosis of acute bacterial meningitis. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases*, 35(3), 397–403. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-015-2552-1>

Naess, A., Nilssen, S. S., Mo, R., Eide, G. E., & Sjurseth, H. (2017). Role of neutrophil to lymphocyte and monocyte to lymphocyte ratios in the diagnosis of bacterial infection in patients with fever. *Infection*, 45(3), 299–307. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s15010-016-0972-1>

- Rathod, B. D., Amle, D., Khot, R. S., Prathipati, K. K., & Joshi, P. P. (2022). Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio as a Predictor of Disease Severity and Mortality in Coronavirus Disease 2019: Prospective Study From Central India. *Cureus*. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.23696>
- Rohmah, S. D., Andrajati, R., & Yudhorini, L. T. (2023). Qualitative Evaluation of Antibiotic Use in Bacterial Meningitis Patients using the Gyssens Method. *Jurnal Aisyah: Jurnal Ilmu Kesehatan*, 8(1), 169–178. <https://doi.org/10.30604/jika.v8iS1.1693>
- S Saleh, E., H Mhaibes, S., S Al-anbaki, M., & I Naser, S. (2020). Assessment of Some Inflammatory Biomarkers in Children with Febrile Seizure and Bacterial Meningitis. *Medico-Legal Update*, 20(4). <https://doi.org/10.37506/mlu.v20i4.1948>
- Sharew, A., Bodilsen, J., Hansen, B. R., Nielsen, H., & Brandt, C. T. (2020). The cause of death in bacterial meningitis. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 20(1), 182. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-020-4899-x>
- Sigurdardóttir, B. (1997). Acute Bacterial Meningitis in Adults. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 157(4), 425. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.1997.00440250077009>
- Teixeira, D. C., Diniz, L. M. O., Moreira, H. M. de A. S., Maia, M. M., Dornellas, L. H., Soares, M. L. C., & Romanelli, R. M. de C. (2022). Risk Factors for Severe Outcomes in Bacterial Meningitis. *Archives of Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 16(6). <https://doi.org/10.5812/archcid-110134>
- Tigabu, A., Jember, A., Nega, T., Wubishet, G., Misganaw, H., Goshu, T., & Negash, M. (2021). Bacterial Meningitis Among Adult Patients at University of Gondar Comprehensive Specialized Referral Hospital. *Infection and Drug Resistance*, Volume 14, 565–574. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S296792>
- Widjaja, H., Rusmawatiningtyas, D., Makrufardi, F., & Arguni, E. (2022). Neutrophil lymphocyte ratio as predictor of mortality in pediatric patients with bacterial meningitis: A retrospective cohort study. *Annals of Medicine & Surgery*, 73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2021.103191>
- Zahorec, R. (2001). Ratio of neutrophil to lymphocyte counts--rapid and simple parameter of systemic inflammation and stress in critically ill. *Bratislavské Lekárske Listy*, 102(1), 5–14. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11723675>
- Zainel, A., Mitchell, H., & Sadarangani, M. (2021). Bacterial Meningitis in Children: Neurological Complications, Associated Risk Factors, and Prevention. *Microorganisms*, 9(3), 535. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9030535>

