Psychological dominant stressor modification to an animal model of depression with chronic unpredictable mild stress

by Lisa Pangemanan

Submission date: 06-Aug-2023 03:10PM (UTC+0800)
Submission ID: 2141916215
File name: 02._Psychological_dominant_stressor.pdf (977.73K)
Word count: 5139
Character count: 26605

Veterinary World, EISSN: 2231-0916
Available at www.veterinaryworld.org/Vol.16/March-2023/21.pdf

RESEARCH ARTICLE
Open Access

Psychological dominant stressor modification to an animal model of depression with chronic unpredictable mild stress

Lisa Pangemanan[1,2], Irwanto Irwanto[3], and Margarita M. Maramis[4]

1. Doctoral Program of Medical Science, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia;
2. Department of Child Health, Faculty of Medicine, Widya Mandala Catholic University, Surabaya, Indonesia;
3. Department of Child Health, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia;
4. Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia.

Corresponding author: Irwanto Irwanto, e-mail: irwanto@fk.unair.ac.id
Co-authors: LP: lisa.pangemanan-2020@fk.unair.ac.id, MMM: margarit@fk.unair.ac.id
Received: 10-12-2022, **Accepted:** 09-02-2023, **Published online:** 24-03-2023

doi: www.doi.org/10.14202/vetworld.2023.595-600 **How to cite this article:** Pangemanan L, Irwanto I, and Maramis MM (2023) Psychological dominant stressor modification to an animal model of depression with chronic unpredictable mild stress, *Veterinary World*, 16(3): 595–600.

Abstract

Background and Aim: Chronic unpredictable mild stress (CUMS) is a protocol widely used to create an animal model of depression with food deprivation, water deprivation, and physical-dominant stressors as routine procedures. However, human depression mainly involves psychological stressors and does not always involve a lack of food and water; thus, CUMS procedures should be modified accordingly. Therefore, this study aimed to create an animal model of depression, mainly focusing on a psychologically dominant stressor without food and water deprivation.

Materials and Methods: The CUMS and control groups, respectively, received CUMS modification (psychologically dominant stressors without food and water deprivation) for 21 days. A 24-h sucrose preference test (SPT) was used to assess the successful creation of an animal model of depression. Daily food intake measurements, weekly weight monitoring, and weight gain calculations were performed. Either an independent sample t-test or the Mann–Whitney test was used.

Results: Of the 42 rats included, 39 completed the study. Chronic unpredictable mild stress procedures for 21 days significantly reduced the SPT ($p < 0.05$), mean body weight ($p < 0.05$), and weekly weight gain ($p < 0.05$) in the CUMS group compared to the control group. However, the weekly average food intake did not statistically differ between the two groups.

Conclusion: Psychological dominant CUMS modification to an animal model of depression resulted in lower SPT, body weight, and weekly weight gain in the CUMS group than in the control group.

Keywords: body weight, chronic unpredictable mild stress, modification, psychological, rat, sucrose preference test.

Introduction

Major depression is an increasing mental problem during adolescence [1–4]. Anhedonia and weight loss without diet, among others, are depression criteria based on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental disorders (DSM)-V [3]. An animal depression model is needed to investigate the symptomatology, pathophysiology, and treatment of depression [5, 6]. Chronic unpredictable mild stress (CUMS) is widely used to create a reliable animal depression model [7, 8]. It consisted of repeated, unpredicted, and uncontrollable stressors lasting for weeks [9]. A lower weight gain [8] and anhedonia (decreased sucrose preference test [SPT]) that can be reversed by antidepressant treatment is found in an animal depression model [9–12]. Katz first developed chronic stressors to create an animal depression model using strong stressors such as physical-dominant stressors, psychological stressors, food deprivation, and water deprivation [13]. Willner modified these protocols using a milder stressor still incorporated with food and water deprivation [14]. Water/food deprivation periods can lead to weight loss [15]. Most human stressors are psychological, and the resulting weight loss is not related to diet [16–19]. As various stressors will elicit different manifestations [20, 21], a suitable animal model of depression with stressors mimicking human stressors is needed [22, 23]. Modified CUMS protocols should be designed if a psychologically dominant stressor without food and water deprivation is needed.

This study aimed to develop an animal depression model using psychologically dominant CUMS modification.

Materials and Methods

Ethical approval

The protocols were reviewed and approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia with the number: 2.KE.120.10.2021. All efforts were conducted to ensure the minimal number of animals used and minimize suffering.

Study period and location

The study was conducted from January to March 2022 in the experimental animal laboratory (LPHC),

Table-1: Chronic unpredictable mild stress.

No.	Stressor	Definition	Type
1	Immobilization for 2 h	Rats were individually restrained in a plastic cylinder for 2 h.	Psychological
2	Cold swimming for 3 min	Rats were placed in a cylindrical clear plastic container filled with 4°C water for 3 min.	Physical
3	No bedding for 24 h	Rats were placed in a cage without bedding for 24 h.	Psychological
4	Bright light 300–400 lux for 45 min, 2 times	Rats were given white bright light 300–400 lux for 45 min, 2 times.	Psychological
5	Tail tied for 1 h	Rats' tail was tied to restrict their movement for 1 h.	Psychological
6	Foot shocks for 10 min	Rats were given 1.5 mA, 35 volt, 4 times for 30 s with 120 s interval foot shock.	Physical
7	Forced swimming for 5 min	Rats were placed in a cylindrical clear plastic container filled with 24°C water for 5 min.	Physical
8	Isolation in a narrow dark space for 4 h	Rats were placed in a narrow dark space for 4 h.	Psychological
9	Predator exposure for 4 h	Rats were exposed to a cat along with a recording of angry cat's sound (80 dB) for 4 h.	Psychological
10	Tail pierced for 1 h	Rats' tail was pierced 1cm apart from the base for 1 h.	Physical
11	Wet bedding for 24 h	Rats were placed in a cage with 200 mL water in 100 g sawdust bedding for 24 h.	Psychological
12	Continuous light for 24 h	Rats were given 24 h of light.	Psychological

Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Brawijaya, Malang, Indonesia.

Animals

A total of 42 male Wistar rats were obtained from PT. Indoanilab (Bogor, Indonesia). The inclusion criteria were male 12-week-old rats, whereas the exclusion criteria were physical illness and disability (assessed by a veterinarian) and death. All animals were group-housed, 2/cage, isolated by a wire mesh separator, and weighed weekly. Subsequently, food, water, and sucrose 1.5% were given *ad libitum* daily every morning, and the leftovers were measured the next day. Fresh water and sucrose solutions were provided daily in separate bottles. A normal 12:12-h light/dark cycle was initiated with lights on at 6:00 am. Laboratory conditions were kept at 23°C ± 2°C with humidity of 40%–70%. After 1 week of the acclimatization period, the animals were randomly assigned to the CUMS and control groups.

The chronic unpredictable mild stress procedure

The CUMS was performed for 21 days based on the previously established protocols (including cold swimming, foot shock, forced swimming, and tail piercing) with psychologically dominant stressor modifications (including immobilization, no bedding, bright light, tail tied, isolation in a narrow dark space, predator exposure, wet bedding, and continuous light) [24–26]. Stressors were randomly initiated once or twice daily. It consists of psychological dominant and physical stressors, as presented in Table-1. The same stressors were not repeated for two consecutive days. Day-by-day protocols are indicated in Table-2, whereas detailed procedures are shown in Figure-1.

Test procedures

The body weight of each rat was measured weekly with an electronic scale. Weekly average meals, weekly weight gain, and SPT were assessed and recorded. The weekly weight gain was defined as the difference in the current minus the prior week. The consumed meal was calculated from the total food given minus the leftovers. The weekly average meal is the mean food consumed by rats in 1 week. An SPT was used to assess the animal depression model and carried out twice, that is, before and 22 days after the CUMS procedure. The preference test was calculated as follows: sucrose percentage (%) = sucrose consumption (mL)/sucrose consumption (mL) + water

Table-2: Chronic unpredictable mild stress procedure day by day.

Day	Stressor
1	Immobilization for 2 h
2	No bedding for 24 h
3	• Cold swimming for 3 min • Bright light 300–400 lux for 45 min, 2 times
4	• Tail tied for 1 h • Forced swimming for 5 min
5	• Isolation in a narrow dark space for 4 h • Foot shocks for 10 min
6	Predator exposure for 4 h
7	Tail pierced for 1 h
8	Wet bedding for 24 h
9	Continuous light for 24 h
10	Cold swimming for 3 min
11	• Bright light 300–400 lux for 45 min, 2 times • Foot shocks for 10 min
12	• Isolation in a narrow dark space for 4 h • Tail pierced for 1 h
13	• Continuous light for 24 h • Tail tied for 1 h
14	Immobilization for 2 h
15	Predator exposure for 4 h
16	Wet bedding for 24 h
17	No bedding for 24 h
18	Forced swimming for 5 min
19	Cold swimming for 3 min
20	Immobilization for 2 h
21	Tail pierced for 1 h
22	SPT, FST for 15 min (day 1) Bright light 300–400 lux for 45 min, 2 times
23	FST for 5 min (day 2)

SPT=Sucrose preference test, FST=Forced swim test

consumption (mL) × 100%. A forced swimming test was assessed on days 0 and 22; however, due to technical problems, the result cannot be interpreted.

Statistical analysis

Data were presented as mean ± standard deviation and the difference between variables was analyzed using the independent sample t-test or Mann–Whitney test (non-parametric). $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. The data analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics software version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

A total of 42 rats were included in this study (33 and nine rats in CUMS and control groups, respectively). Three rats in the CUMS group were excluded

Figure-1: (A) immobilization for 2 h, (b) isolation in a narrow dark space for 4 h, (c) no bedding for 24 h, (d) cold swimming for 3 min, (e) continuous light for 24 h, (f) forced swimming for 5 min, (g) predator exposure for 4 h, (h) tail pierced for 1 h, (i) tail tied for 1 h, (j) wet bedding for 24 h (k) foot shocks for 10 min, and (l) bright light 300–400 lux for 45 min, 2 times.

Table-3: Mean weight of the rats.

Time	Weight (g) mean ± SD		p-value
	CUMS (n = 30)	Control (n = 9)	
Pre CUMS	168.467 ± 21.591	170.555 ± 14.170	0.787[a]
Week 1 post CUMS	177.800 ± 21.385	192.667 ± 16.658	0.064[a]
Week 2 post CUMS	190.133 ± 22.227	212.889 ± 20.907	0.010*[a]
Week 3 post CUMS	197.333 ± 21.037	226.222 ± 24.030	0.001**[a]

[a]t-test, *significant with $p < 0.05$, **significant with $p < 0.01$. CUMS=Chronic unpredictable mild stress, SD=Standard deviation

Table-4: Weekly weight gain of the rats.

Time	Weekly weight gain (g) mean ± SD		p-value
	CUMS (n = 30)	Control (n = 9)	
Week 1 post CUMS	9.333 ± 9.076	22.111 ± 7.688	0.000**[a]
Week 2 post CUMS	12.333 ± 8.125	20.222 ± 9.615	0.019*[a]
Week 3 post CUMS	7.200 ± 7.522	13.333 ± 6.041	0.032*[a]

[a]t-test, *significant with $p < 0.05$, **significant with $p < 0.01$. CUMS=Chronic unpredictable mild stress, SD=Standard deviation

(two died during the swimming procedure and another one due to illness). Finally, 39 rats completed the study. The weight of the rats, weekly weight gain, and average meal were normally distributed; thus, t-test was used for statistical analysis. The results indicated that the mean body weight on day 22 ($p < 0.01$) after CUMS procedures significantly differed from that of the control group, as presented in Table-3. Weekly weight gain between groups showed a significant difference ($p < 0.01$) in the 1st week and persisted until the 3rd week (Table-4). However, no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) was observed in the weekly average meals between groups (Table-5). Non-parametric tests were used in non-normally distributed SPT data, whereas T-tests were applied in normally distributed data. The 24-h SPT revealed significant differences between the CUMS ($p < 0.01$) and control groups (Table-6).

Discussion

A good animal model should have adequate face, construct, and predictive validity [5]. CUMS is one of the available protocols to create an animal depression model with good validity [5, 9, 27]. It is a well-validated method to model a depressive-like behavior that develops gradually and naturally over time [14], consisting of exposure to various chronic and unpredictable mild stressors [7]. Anhedonia (marked by decreased SPT) is the core symptom of depression found in an animal depression model [5, 12, 28].

Stressors that are commonly found in CUMS procedures include periods of food and water deprivation, shock, cold swim, heat stress, shaker stress, continuous lighting, cage tilt, paired housing, soiled cage, reduced temperature exposure, stroboscopic lighting, novel odors, intermittent white noise (85dB), and presence of foreign objects [14]. Different types of stressors will elicit various responses [29–32]. Multiple and more severe stressors will change the CUMS phenotype [8]. Social stressors are the major of stressors that lead to psychopathology in humans [1, 33]. Since the original CUMS protocols are physically dominant and involve food and water deprivation, modifications to mimic human depression should be performed.

Environmental lighting changes, with the bright light of 300–400 lux for 45 min twice and continuous light for 24 h, will affect mood and result in depression [28, 34–37]. Inescapable foot shock will also create learned helplessness, anhedonia, and despair [7, 38–41]. Foot shock will increase learned helplessness [42, 43]. Restraining stressors such as immobilization for 2 h as well as tail tied for 1 h and isolation in a narrow dark space for 4 h lead to learned helplessness [44, 45]. Predator exposure is a type of psychological stressor that can elicit depressive-like behavior [7, 46]. Cold swimming has also been identified as a cause of learned helplessness [47, 48]. Social isolation is part of CUMS procedures, where the rat is single-housed [8]. Tail pierced, tail tied, foot shock, isolation in narrow dark spaces, and predator exposure were performed based on Maramis *et al.*'s [24] study. Meanwhile, immobilization, no bleeding, bright light, and cold and forced swimming were used in the procedures by He *et al.* [48] with some modifications. Depressive-like behavior can be observed after 21 days of CUMS [49]. In this study, mild stressors with psychological dominant stressors were administered 1–2 stressors daily, with random time to administer for 21 days to make it unpredictable. A total of 12 stressors were chosen to be included in this experiment to obtain the dominant psychological stressor, resulting in learned helplessness and anhedonia. Water and food deprivation was not included as it decreases body weight. Psychologically dominant stressors were carried out to mimic human stressors.

A significant decrease in SPT compared to the control group is a marker of the successful creation of an animal depression model [24, 50]. Additional symptoms of human depression, such as weight/appetite change and psychomotor alteration can be easily assessed in animals [7, 51–53]. Lower weight and reduced weight gain than controls are found in the animal depression model [12, 49, 54]. Weight loss in depression was caused by decreased peripheral leptin [55]. In this study, decreased SPT occurred gradually and became statistically significant after 21 days of CUMS procedures. No significant differences were observed in terms of appetite changes and the amount of food consumed between groups.

Table-5: Weekly average meal of the rats.

Time	Average meal (g) mean ± SD		p-value
	CUMS (n = 30)	Control (n = 9)	
Week 1	56.015 ± 6.743	59.981 ± 6.309	0.125[a]
Week 2	54.675 ± 8.753	57.299 ± 6.867	0.415[a]
Week 3	53.094 ± 7.873	55.822 ± 7.109	0.358[a]

[a]t-test. CUMS=Chronic unpredictable mild stress, SD=Standard deviation

Table-6: Sucrose preference test of the rats.

Time	Sucrose preference test (%) mean ± SD		p-value
	CUMS (n = 30)	Control (n = 9)	
Pre CUMS	69.627 ± 14.008	68.500 ± 15.230	0.837[a]
Week 1 post CUMS	71.152 ± 13.746	69.908 ± 18.171	0.907[b]
Week 2 post CUMS	75.929 ± 16.405	74.876 ± 25.059	0.604[b]
Week 3 post CUMS	57.526 ± 14.870	82.622 ± 13.107	0.000*[a]

[a]t-test, [b]Mann–Whitney test* significant with $p < 0.01$. CUMS=Chronic unpredictable mild stress, SD=Standard deviation

However, the body weight and weekly weight gain were significantly different between the groups.

This modification protocol can be used if other researchers need an animal depression different with psychologically dominant stressors without food and water deprivation. There are some limitations in this study. First, corticosterone levels were not measured before and after CUMS. Second, neurotransmitter analysis was not performed before and after CUMS. Third, the response to an antidepressant after creating the animal depression model was not analyzed.

Conclusion

Psychological dominant CUMS modification resulted in an animal depression model with decreased SPT, body weight, and weekly weight gain in the CUMS group compared to the control group. This model resembles psychologically dominant stressors in humans.

Recommendations

This protocol can be used to create a psychologically dominant animal model of depression. Successful creation of the model can be assessed using the 24-h SPT, body weight, and weekly body weight gain.

Authors' Contributions

LP, II, and MMM: Designed the research, methodology, validation, formal analysis, and review and editing. LP: Data collection, and writing original draft preparation. All authors have read, reviewed, and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to the LPHC and Physiology Laboratory, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Brawijaya, Malang, Indonesia, for providing the necessary facilities. The authors did not receive any funds for this study.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Veterinary World remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published institutional affiliation.

References

1. Maramis, M.M., Pantouw, J.G. and Lesmana, C.B.J. (2021) Depression screening in Surabaya Indonesia: Urgent need for better mental health care for high-risk communities and suicide prevention for men. *Int. J. Soc. Psychiatry*, 67(5): 421–431.
2. Robert, J.B., Marcia, L.V. and Ruiz, P. (2021) Depressive disorders and suicide in children and adolescents. In: Robert, J.B., Marcia, L.V. and Ruiz, P., editors. Kaplan and Sadock's Synopsis of Psychiatry. 12th ed. Wolters Kluwer, Philadelphia, PA. p555–575.
3. Walter, H.J. and DeMaso, D.R. (2020) Major and other depressive disorder. In: Kliegman, R.M., Blum, N.J., Shah, S.S., Tasker, R.C. and Wilson, K.M., editors. Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics. 21st ed. Elsevier, Philadelphia, PA. p217–222.
4. Wantini, A.A. (2019) The validity test of depression screening instrument in adolescences. *J. Berkala Epidemiol*., 7(2): 155.
5. Yan, H.C., Cao, X., Das, M., Zhu, X.H. and Gao, T.M. (2010) Behavioral animal models of depression. *Neurosci. Bull.*, 26(4): 327–337.
6. Beirão, D., Monte, H., Amaral, M., Longras, A., Matos, C. and Villas-Boas, F. (2020) Depression in adolescence: A review. *Middle East Curr. Psychiatry*, 27(1): 50.
7. Planchez, B., Surget, A. and Belzung, C. (2019) Animal models of major depression: Drawbacks and challenges. *J. Neural Transm.* (*Vienna*), 126(11): 1383–1408.
8. Sequeira-Cordero, A., Salas-Bastos, A., Fornaguera, J. and Brenes, J.C. (2019) Behavioural characterisation of chronic unpredictable stress based on ethologically relevant paradigms in rats. *Sci. Rep*., 9(1): 17403.
9. Willner, P. (1997) Validity, reliability and utility of the chronic mild stress model of depression: A 10-year review and evaluation. *Psychopharmacology* (*Berl*), 134(4): 319–329.
10. Young, C.B., Chen, T., Nusslock, R., Keller, J., Schatzberg, A.F. and Menon, V. (2016) Anhedonia and general distress show dissociable ventromedial prefrontal cortex connectivity in major depressive disorder. *Transl. Psychiatry*, 6(5): e810.
11. Watson, R., Harvey, K., McCabe, C. and Reynolds, S. (2020) Understanding anhedonia: A qualitative study exploring loss of interest and pleasure in adolescent depression. *Eur. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry*, 29(4): 489–499.
12. Willner, P., Gruca, P., Lason, M., Tota-Glowczyk, K., Litwa, E., Niemczyk, M. and Papp, M. (2019) Validation of chronic mild stress in the Wistar-Kyoto rat as an animal model of treatment-resistant depression. *Behav. Pharmacol*., 30: 239–250.
13. Katz, R.J., Roth, K.A. and Schmaltz, K. (1981) Amphetamine and tranylcypromine in an animal model of depression: Pharmacological specificity of the reversal effect. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev*., 5(2): 259–264.
14. Willner, P., Towell, A., Sampson, D., Sophokleous, S. and Muscat, R. (1987) Reduction of sucrose preference by chronic unpredictable mild stress, and its restoration by a tricyclic antidepressant. *Psychopharmacology* (*Berl*), 93(3): 358–364.
15. Dietze, S., Lees, K.R., Fink, H., Brosda, J. and Voigt, J.P. (2016) Food deprivation, body weight loss and anxiety-related behavior in rats. *Animals* (*Basel*), 6(1): 4.
16. Schneiderman, N., Ironson, G. and Siegel, S.D. (2005) Stress and health: Psychological, behavioral, and biological determinants. *Annu. Rev. Clin. Psychol*., 1(1): 607–628.
17. Adams, J.S., Chien, A.T. and Wisk, L.E. (2019) Mental illness among youth with chronic physical conditions. *Pediatrics*, 144(1): e20181819.
18. Putera, A.M., Irwanto, I., Maramis, M.M., Prasetyo, R.V., Soemyarso, N.A. and Noer, M.S. (2020) Effect of mental health problems on the quality of life in children with lupus nephritis. *Neuropsychiatr. Dis. Treat*., 16: 1583–1593.
19. Suryawan, A., Ningtiar, H.W., Irwanto, I. and Ugrasena, I.D.G. (2021) Determinant factors of depression in beta major thalassemia children. *Folia Med. Indones*., 57(1): 46–52.
20. Tommasi, M., Toro, F., Arnò, S., Carrieri, A., Conte, M.M., Devastato, M.D., Picconi, L., Sergi, M.R. and Saggino, A. (2020) Physical and psychological impact of the phase one lockdown for COVID-19 on Italians. *Front. Psychol*., 11: 563722.
21. Pico-Alfonso, M.A., Garcia-Linares, M.I., Celda-Navarro, N., Blasco-Ros, C, Echeburúa, E. and Martinez, M. (2006) The impact of physical, psychological, and sexual intimate male partner violence on women's mental health: Depressive symptoms, posttraumatic stress disorder, state anxiety, and suicide. *J. Womens. Health* (*Larchmt*), 15(5): 599–611.
22. Hershner, S.D. and Chervin, R.D. (2014) Causes and consequences of sleepiness among college students. *Nat. Sci.*

Sleep, 6: 73–84.
23. Mullen, S. (2018) Major depressive disorder in children and adolescents. *Ment. Health Clin*., 8(6): 275–283.
24. Maramis, M.M., Mahajudin, M.S. and Khotib, J. (2020) Impaired cognitive flexibility and working memory precedes depression: A rat model to study depression. *Neuropsychobiology*, 80(3): 225–233.
25. Pandey, D.K., Pati, D., Joshi, A. and Mahesh, R. (2010) Chronic unpredictable stress: Possible animal model of comorbid depression. *Int. J. Preclin. Pharm. Res*., 1(1): 54–63.
26. López, A.L.L., Villanueva, M.C.E., Padilla, M.B., Jaime, H.B., Aguilar, F.J.A. (2018) Chronic unpredictable mild stress progressively disturbs glucose metabolism and appetite hormones in rats. *Acta. Endocrinol.* (*Buchar*)., 14(1): 16–23.
27. Yang, L., Zhao, Y., Wang, Y., Liu, L., Zhang, X., Li, B. and Cui, R. (2015) The effects of psychological stress on depression. *Curr. Neuropharmacol*., 13(4): 494–504.
28. Yuan, M., Liu, L.J., Xu L.Z., Guo, T.Y., Yue, X.D. and Li, S.X. (2016) Effects of environmental stress on the depression-like behaviors and the diurnal rhythm of corticosterone and melatonin in male rats. *Sheng Li Xue Bao*, 68(3): 215–223.
29. Nishikawa, T. and Tanaka, M. (1978) Altered behavioral responses to intense foot shock in socially-isolated rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav*., 8(1): 61–67.
30. Lukkes, J.L., Mokin, M.V., Scholl, J.L. and Forster, G.L. (2009) Adult rats exposed to early-life social isolation exhibit increased anxiety and conditioned fear behavior, and altered hormonal stress responses. *Horm. Behav*., 55(1): 248–256.
31. Boero, G., Pisu, M.G., Biggio, F., Muredda, L., Carta, G., Banni, S., Paci, E., Follesa, P., Concas, A., Porcu, P. and Serra, M. (2018) Impaired glucocorticoid-mediated HPA axis negative feedback induced by juvenile social isolation in male rats. *Neuropharmacology*, 133: 242–253.
32. Singhal, G., Jaehne, E.J., Corrigan, F. and Baune, B.T. (2014) Cellular and molecular mechanisms of immunomodulation in the brain through environmental enrichment. *Front. Cell Neurosci*., 8(1): 97.
33. Finning, K., Moore, D., Ukoumunne, O.C., Danielsson-Waters, E. and Ford, T. (2017) The association between child and adolescent emotional disorder and poor attendance at school: A systematic review protocol. *Syst. Rev*., 6(1): 121.
34. Leach, G., Adidharma, W. and Yan, L. (2013) Depression-like responses induced by daytime light deficiency in the diurnal grass rat (*Arvicanthis niloticus*). *PLoS One*, 8(2): e57115.
35. Boyce, P. and Barriball, E. (2010) Circadian rhythms and depression. *Aust. Fam. Physician*, 39(5): 307–310.
36. De Berardis, D., Marini, S., Fornaro, M., Srinivasan, V., Iasevoli, F., Tomasetti, C., Valchera, A., Perna, G., Quera-Salva, M.A., Martinotti, G. and di Giannantonio, M. (2013) The melatonergic system in mood and anxiety disorders and the role of agomelatine: Implications for clinical practice. *Int. J. Mol. Sci*., 14(6): 12458–12483.
37. Kripke, D.F, Elliott, J.A., Welsh, D.K. and Youngstedt, S.D. (2015) Photoperiodic and circadian bifurcation theories of depression and mania. *F1000Research*, 4: 107.
38. Dagyte, G., Van der Zee, E.A., Postema, F., Luiten, P.G.M., Den Boer, J.A., Trentani, A. and Meerlo, P. (2009) Chronic but not acute foot-shock stress leads to temporary suppression of cell proliferation in rat hippocampus. *Neuroscience*, 162(4): 904–913.
39. Palermo-Neto, J., De Oliveira Massoco, C. and De Souza, W.R. (2003) Effects of physical and psychological stressors on behavior, macrophage activity, and Ehrlich tumor growth. *Brain Behav. Immun*., 17(1): 43–54.
40. Jung, J.M., Park, S.J., Lee, Y.W., Lee, H.E., Hong, S.I., Lew, J.H., Hong, E., Shim, J.S., Cheong, J.H. and Ryu, J.H. (2013) The effects of a standardized *Acanthopanax koreanum* extract on stress-induced behavioral alterations in mice. *J. Ethnopharmacol*., 148(3): 826–834.
41. Handa, R.J., Nunley, K.M., Lorens, S.A., Louie, J.P., McGivern, R.F. and Bollnow, M.R. (1994) Androgen regulation of adrenocorticotropin and corticosterone secretion in the male rat following novelty and foot shock stressors. *Physiol. Behav*., 55(1): 117–124.
42. Bogdanova, O.V., Kanekar, S., D'Anci, K.E. and Renshaw, P.F. (2013) Factors influencing behavior in the forced swim test. *Physiol. Behav*., 118(12): 227–239.
43. Wood, G.E., Norris, E.H., Waters, E., Stoldt, J.T. and McEwen, B.S. (2008) Chronic immobilization stress alters aspects of emotionality and associative learning in the rat. *Behav. Neurosci*., 122(2): 282–292.
44. Lu, Y., Liu, M., Shi, S., Jiang, H., Yang, L., Liu, X., Zhang, Q. and Pan, F. (2010) Effects of stress in early life on immune functions in rats with asthma and the effects of music therapy. *J. Asthma*., 47(5): 526–531.
45. Becker, M., Pinhasov, A. and Ornoy, A. (2021) Animal models of depression: What can they teach us about human disease? *Diagnostics* (*Basel*), 11(1): 123.
46. Drugan, R.C., Hibl, P.T., Kelly, K.J., Dady, K.F., Hale, M.W. and Lowry, C.A. (2013) Prior cold water swim stress alters immobility in the forced swim test and associated activation of serotonergic neurons in the rat dorsal raphe nucleus. *Neuroscience*, 253(1): 221–234.
47. López-Rubalcava, C. and Lucki, I. (2000) Strain differences in the behavioral effects of antidepressant drugs in the rat forced swimming test. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 22(2): 191–199.
48. He, L.W., Zeng, L., Tian, N., Li, Y., He, T., Tan, D.M., Zhang, Q. and Tan, Y. (2020) Optimization of food deprivation and sucrose preference test in SD rat model undergoing chronic unpredictable mild stress. *Animal Model Exp. Med*., 3(1): 69–78.
49. Hao, Y., Ge, H., Sun, M., and Gao, Y. (2019) Selecting an appropriate animal model of depression. *Int. J. Mol. Sci*., 20(19): 4827.
50. Qi, X., Lin, W., Li, J., Pan, Y. and Wang, W. (2006) The depressive-like behaviors are correlated with decreased phosphorylation of mitogen-activated protein kinases in rat brain following chronic forced swim stress. *Behav. Brain Res*., 175(2): 233–240.
51. Rice, F., Riglin, L., Lomax, T., Souter, E., Potter, R., Smith, D.J., Thapar, A.K. and Thapar, A. (2019) Adolescent and adult differences in major depression symptom profiles. *J. Affect. Disord*., 243: 175–181.
52. Bondar, J., Caye, A., Chekroud, A.M. and Kieling, C. (2020) Symptom clusters in adolescent depression and differential response to treatment: A secondary analysis of the treatment for adolescents with depression study randomised trial. *Lancet Psychiatry*, 7(4): 337–343.
53. Mills, J.G., Larkin, T.A., Deng, C. and Thomas, S.J. (2019) Weight gain in Major Depressive Disorder: Linking appetite and disordered eating to leptin and ghrelin. *Psychiatry Res*., 279: 244–251.
54. Maxwell, M.A. and Cole, D.A. (2009) Weight change and appetite disturbance as symptoms of adolescent depression: Toward an integrative biopsychosocial model. *Clin. Psychol. Rev*., 29(3): 260–273.
55. Iio, W., Takagi, H., Ogawa, Y., Tsukahara, T., Chohnan, S. and Toyoda, A. (2014) Effects of chronic social defeat stress on peripheral leptin and its hypothalamic actions. *BMC Neurosci*., 15: 72.

****

Psychological dominant stressor modification to an animal model of depression with chronic unpredictable mild stress

ORIGINALITY REPORT

16%	13%	11%	5%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	jeccr.biomedcentral.com Internet Source	1%
2	bmcwomenshealth.biomedcentral.com Internet Source	1%
3	coek.info Internet Source	1%
4	discovery.researcher.life Internet Source	1%
5	eprints.mdx.ac.uk Internet Source	1%
6	Li-Tao Yi, Jing Li, Huo-Chen Li, Ying Zhou, Bi-Fang Su, Ke-Feng Yang, Meng Jiang, Yan-Ting Zhang. "Ethanol extracts from Hemerocallis citrina attenuate the decreases of brain-derived neurotrophic factor, TrkB levels in rat induced by corticosterone administration", Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 2012 Publication	1%

Submitted to University of Glamorgan

7	Student Paper	1 %
8	www.openveterinaryjournal.com Internet Source	1 %
9	Congli Hu, Ying Luo, Hong Wang, Shengnan Kuang, Guojuan Liang, Yang Yang, Shaoshan Mai, Junqing Yang. "Re-evaluation of the interrelationships among the behavioral tests in rats exposed to chronic unpredictable mild stress", PLOS ONE, 2017 Publication	1 %
10	epdf.pub Internet Source	1 %
11	www.mdpi.com Internet Source	1 %
12	pdffox.com Internet Source	<1 %
13	www.readkong.com Internet Source	<1 %
14	fjfsdata01prod.blob.core.windows.net Internet Source	<1 %
15	repository.icr.ac.uk Internet Source	<1 %
16	scielo.isciii.es Internet Source	<1 %

17	Hong-xia Chen, Zeng-liang Jin, Li-ming Zhang, Rui Xue et al. "Antidepressant-Like Activity of YL-0919: A Novel Combined Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor and 5-HT1A Receptor Agonist", PLoS ONE, 2013 Publication	<1 %
18	Submitted to Southern Utah University Student Paper	<1 %
19	edisciplinas.usp.br Internet Source	<1 %
20	www.hindawi.com Internet Source	<1 %
21	Ellen Scotton, Rafael Colombo, Jéssica C. Reis, Gabriela M.P. Possebon et al. "BDNF prevents central oxidative damage in a chronic unpredictable mild stress model: The possible role of PRDX-1 in anhedonic behavior", Behavioural Brain Research, 2020 Publication	<1 %
22	Qing-Qiu Mao, Siu-Po Ip, Kam-Ming Ko, Sam-Hip Tsai, Chun-Tao Che. "Peony glycosides produce antidepressant-like action in mice exposed to chronic unpredictable mild stress: Effects on hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal function and brain-derived neurotrophic factor", Progress in Neuro-	<1 %

	Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry, 2009 Publication	
23	peerj.com Internet Source	<1 %
24	Oztan, O.. "Chronic variable physical stress during the peripubertal-juvenile period causes differential depressive and anxiogenic effects in the novelty-seeking phenotype: functional implications for hippocampal and amygdalar brain-derived neurotrophic factor and the mossy fibre plasticity", Neuroscience, 20110929 Publication	<1 %
25	Y Moriyama. "Intravenous injection of neural progenitor cells improved depression-like behavior after cerebral ischemia", Translational Psychiatry, 08/2011 Publication	<1 %
26	diginole.lib.fsu.edu Internet Source	<1 %
27	docksci.com Internet Source	<1 %
28	hdl.handle.net Internet Source	<1 %
29	jpma.org.pk Internet Source	<1 %

30	pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov Internet Source	<1 %
31	scholar.sun.ac.za Internet Source	<1 %
32	sciendo.com Internet Source	<1 %
33	www.clearh2o.com Internet Source	<1 %
34	www.frontiersin.org Internet Source	<1 %
35	"1-A1: Lung Cancer 1 : Poster Sessions", Respirology, 2013. Publication	<1 %
36	Krisna Yuarno Phatama, Respati S. Dradjat, Edi Mustamsir, Dwi Yuni Nurhidayati et al. "Suspension of zinc oxide nanoparticles (ZnO-NP) as an intraoperative wound irrigation to prevent infection after fracture fixation", F1000Research, 2023 Publication	<1 %
37	Sunghee E. Park, Dajeong Park, Kang-Il Song, Joon-Kyung Seong, Seok Chung, Inchan Youn. "Differential heart rate variability and physiological responses associated with accumulated short- and long-term stress in rodents", Physiology & Behavior, 2017 Publication	<1 %

Exclude quotes	Off	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	On		

Psychological dominant stressor modification to an animal model of depression with chronic unpredictable mild stress

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

/100

GENERAL COMMENTS

Instructor

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5

PAGE 6