


Bali Journal of Anesthesiology

S3

H-INDEX : 0
H5-INDEX : 0



last update : 2020-07-28 powered by sinta2.ristekdikti.go.id



Table of Contents - Current issue


September-December 2019

Volume 3 | Issue 3

Page Nos. 155-187

Online since Thursday, January 23, 2020

Accessed 478 times.

PDF access policy

Journal allows immediate open access to content in HTML + PDF

View issue as eBook

Issue statistics

RSS

[POPULAR ARTICLES](#)
[JOIN AS REVIEWER](#)
[GET EMAIL ALERTS](#)
[RECOMMEND](#)
[Show all abstracts](#)
[Show selected abstracts](#)

Export selected to ▼

[Add to my list](#)

ORIGINAL ARTICLES


Comparison of combination of ketamine hydrochloride and propofol with fentanyl citrate p. 155 and propofol for patients undergoing endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography

Kashmira Prem, Jenish Patel, Damini Sanjay Mekwana, Jupl Talukdar, JM Thakkar

DOI:10.4103/BJOA.BJOA_6_19

[\[ABSTRACT\]](#) [\[HTML Full text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#) [\[Mobile Full text\]](#) [\[EPub\]](#) [\[Sword Plugin for Repository\]](#)^{Beta}
Cost-effectiveness and efficacy of combined dexamethasone–metoclopramide versus ondansetron in the prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting in women undergoing myomectomy under spinal anesthesia

TA Ogbo, IT Ekwere, Kingsley Ufuoma Tobi, NP Edomwonyi

DOI:10.4103/BJOA.BJOA_8_19

[\[ABSTRACT\]](#) [\[HTML Full text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#) [\[Mobile Full text\]](#) [\[EPub\]](#) [\[Sword Plugin for Repository\]](#)^{Beta}
The effect of low-dose granisetron on shivering in subarachnoid block

Isngadi Isngadi, Wiwi Jaya, Dedy Fardian

DOI:10.4103/BJOA.BJOA_4_19

[\[ABSTRACT\]](#) [\[HTML Full text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#) [\[Mobile Full text\]](#) [\[EPub\]](#) [\[Sword Plugin for Repository\]](#)^{Beta}
Effectiveness of high-flow inhalation anesthesia technique using isoflurane compared to low-flow inhalation anesthesia technique using sevoflurane and isoflurane in terms of cost and safety

Arinanda Lalita Hayu, Elizeus Henindito, Hamzah Hamzah, Arle Utariani

DOI:10.4103/BJOA.BJOA_7_19

[\[ABSTRACT\]](#) [\[HTML Full text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#) [\[Mobile Full text\]](#) [\[EPub\]](#) [\[Sword Plugin for Repository\]](#)^{Beta}
The effect of ketamine on the immature granulocyte and C-reactive protein concentration in rat models of sepsis

Aswoco Andyk Asmoro, Isngadi Isngadi, Ristiawan Muji Laksono, Ibnu Firdiansayah, Agus Supriyanto

DOI:10.4103/BJOA.BJOA_5_19

[\[ABSTRACT\]](#) [\[HTML Full text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#) [\[Mobile Full text\]](#) [\[EPub\]](#) [\[Sword Plugin for Repository\]](#)^{Beta}

CASE REPORTS


Perioperative management in a malignant hyperthermia susceptible patient

Ratna Farida Scenarto, Aino Nindya Auerkari, Fildza Sasri Peddyandhari, Claudia Lunaesti, Ahmad Jabir

Rahyussalim

DOI:10.4103/BJOA.BJOA_2_19

[\[ABSTRACT\]](#) [\[HTML Full text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#) [\[Mobile Full text\]](#) [\[EPub\]](#) [\[Sword Plugin for Repository\]](#)^{Beta}
Anesthesia management in mendelson's syndrome

Ornella Widyapuspita, Bambang Novianto Putro

DOI:10.4103/BJOA.BJOA_3_19

[\[ABSTRACT\]](#) [\[HTML Full text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#) [\[Mobile Full text\]](#) [\[EPub\]](#) [\[Sword Plugin for Repository\]](#)^{Beta}
Analgesic efficacy and safety of oxycodone as an adjuvant epidural analgesia for patients undergoing laparotomy gynecology

Tjokorda Gde Agung Senapathi, I Made Gede Widnyana, I Gusti Agung Gede Utara Hartawan, Christopher

Ryalino, Cokorda Istri Arintha Devi

DOI:10.4103/BJOA.BJOA_13_19

[\[ABSTRACT\]](#) [\[HTML Full text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#) [\[Mobile Full text\]](#) [\[EPub\]](#) [\[Sword Plugin for Repository\]](#)^{Beta}



About ▾

Articles ▾

Authors ▾

Reviewer

Search ▾

Contact Us

Reader Login ▾

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief

Prof. Made Wiryana, Dr. dr. SpAn, KIC, KAO.
Scopus ID: 36099337800
ORCID ID: 0000-0002-6552-8194
Professor, Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care
Faculty of Medicine, Udayana University
Sanglah General Hospital
Bali, Indonesia

Editorial Board

I Ketut Sinardja, dr., SpAn, KIC.
Scopus ID: 57191345194
ORCID ID: 0000-0001-8609-8798
Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care
Faculty of Medicine, Udayana University
Sanglah General Hospital
Bali, Indonesia

Prof. Adrien Antonius Jozef van Zundert, MD, PhD, FRCA, EDRA, FANZCA.
Scopus ID: 7003716417
ORCID ID: 0000-0002-1836-6831
Professor, Department of Anaesthesia & Perioperative Medicine
The University of Queensland
Royal Brisbane & Women's Hospital

Prof. Stephen P. Gatt, FRCA, FJFICM, FANZCA, FFA, FFARACS, LRCP, MRCS.
Scopus ID: 7004816461
Associate Professor, Department of Anaesthesia
Prince of Wales Hospitals
Sydney Children's Hospital
Sydney, Australia

Susilo Chandra, dr. SpAn, FRCA.
Scopus ID: 55202737900
Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care
Faculty of Medicine, University of Indonesia
Cipto Mangunkusumo General Hospital
Jakarta, Indonesia

Ratna Farida, Dr. dr. SpAn, KAKV.
Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care
Faculty of Medicine, University of Indonesia
Cipto Mangunkusumo General Hospital
Jakarta, Indonesia

Nishith Govil, MD, MBBS.
Scopus ID: 57202997171
ORCID ID: 0000-0003-3749-6217
Associate Professor, Department of Anesthesiology
All India Institute of Medical Sciences
Rishikesh, Uttarakhand, India

Prof (Assoc.) Tom van Zundert, MD, MSc., PhD., EDRA, FANZCA
ORCID ID: 0000-0003-1989-9717
Assoc. Professor, Department of Anaesthesia & Perioperative Medicine
Fiona Stanley Hospital
Perth, Australia

About the journal

POPULAR ARTICLES

JOIN AS REVIEWER

GET EMAIL ALERTS

RECOMMEND

See Jee Jian, Dr, MBBS, MMed (Anaes)

Scopus ID: 8666451000

Associate Professor, Head of Anaesthesia & Perioperative Medicine Department

Tan Tock Seng Hospital

Singapore, Singapore

Anu Raju, Dr, MBBS, DA, MD, PDCC, FANZCA

Assoc. Professor, Head of the Department of Anaesthesia at Women's and Children's Hospital Inc.

North Adelaide, Australia



Search Result

You Searched for " [WORD]-Effectiveness of high-flow inhalation anesthesia technique using isoflurane compared to low-flow inhalation anesthesia technique using sevoflurane and isoflurane in terms of cost and safety
There are commonly used keywords in your query like [of, to, , in, of] which were removed while searching"

Showing 1 to 10 of 141 results.

<< Previous Next >>

Go to page Number: -- ▾

Show all abstracts Show selected abstracts Export selected to ▾ Sort options ▾

- ORIGINAL ARTICLE: Effectiveness of high-flow inhalation anesthesia technique using isoflurane compared to low-flow ...**
100% Aniranda Lalita Hayu, Elizeus Hanindito, Hamzah Hamzah, Arie Utariani
Bali Journal of Anesthesiology, Year 2019, Volume 3, Issue 3 [p. 170-173]
DOI: 10.4103/BJOA.BJOA_7_19
[ABSTRACT] [HTML Full text] [PDF] [Mobile Full text] [EPub] [Sword Plugin for Repository]^{Beta}
- CASE REPORT: Low flow practice for laparoscopic colorectal surgery in pediatric patients**
71% Tjokorda Gde Agung Senapathi, Dewa Ayu Mas Shintya Dewi, Adinda Putra Pradhana, Andrian Yodikusumo, Alan F. A. Sumanti
Bali Journal of Anesthesiology, Year 2018, Volume 2, Issue 1 [p. 3-9]
DOI: 10.15562/bjoe.v2i1.56
[ABSTRACT] [HTML full text unavailable] [PDF] [Sword Plugin for Repository]^{Beta}
- CASE REPORT: Low flow anesthesia will gain eras (enhanced recovery after surgery)**
57% Tjokorda Gde Agung Senapathi, I Putu Pramana Suarjaya, Adinda Putra Pradhana, Eric Makmur
Bali Journal of Anesthesiology, Year 2017, Volume 1, Issue 3 [p. 51-54]
DOI: 10.15562/bjoe.v1i3.24
[ABSTRACT] [HTML full text unavailable] [PDF] [Sword Plugin for Repository]^{Beta}
- ORIGINAL ARTICLE: Comparison the effect of preloading and coloadng with crystalloid fluid on the incidence of hypo...**
43% I Made Artawan, Budi Yulianto Sarim, Sidarta Sagita, Maria Agnes Ety Dedi
Bali Journal of Anesthesiology, Year 2020, Volume 4, Issue 1 [p. 3-7]
DOI: 10.4103/BJOA.BJOA_17_19
[ABSTRACT] [HTML Full text] [PDF] [Mobile Full text] [EPub] [Sword Plugin for Repository]^{Beta}
- REVIEW ARTICLE: Oxygen delivery devices in Covid-19 patients: Review and recommendation**
43% Avishek Roy, Abhishek Singh, Puneet Khanna
Bali Journal of Anesthesiology, Year 2020, Volume 4, Issue 5 [p. 3-7]
DOI: 10.4103/BJOA.BJOA_62_20
[ABSTRACT] [HTML Full text] [PDF] [Mobile Full text] [EPub] [Sword Plugin for Repository]^{Beta}
- CASE REPORT: Differences in the suppression of immune response between general anesthesia and spinal anesthesi...**
41% Tjokorda Gde Agung Senapathi, I Made Gede Widnyana, I Gusti Agung Gede Utara Hartawan, Christopher Ryalino, Oscar Indra Kusuma
Bali Journal of Anesthesiology, Year 2020, Volume 4, Issue 1 [p. 14-17]
DOI: 10.4103/BJOA.BJOA_10_19
[ABSTRACT] [HTML Full text] [PDF] [Mobile Full text] [EPub] [Sword Plugin for Repository]^{Beta}
- CASE REPORT: Perioperative care in a patient with acute psychosis: Challenges and management**
41% Jagannathan Balavenkatasubramanian, Tuhin Mistry, Palanichamy Gurumoorathi, Balu Ravi Shankar
Bali Journal of Anesthesiology, Year 2020, Volume 4, Issue 3 [p. 122-124]
DOI: 10.4103/BJOA.BJOA_24_20
[ABSTRACT] [HTML Full text] [PDF] [Mobile Full text] [EPub] [Sword Plugin for Repository]^{Beta}
- CASE REPORT: Venous Air Embolism (VAE) during craniotomy of supratentorial meningioma in supine position**
41% Ida Bagus Krisna Jaya Sutawan, Tatang Bisri, Sri Rahardjo, Diana Lalenoh
Bali Journal of Anesthesiology, Year 2017, Volume 1, Issue 3 [p. 60-63]
DOI: 10.15562/bjoe.v1i3.26
[ABSTRACT] [HTML full text unavailable] [PDF] [Sword Plugin for Repository]^{Beta}
- ORIGINAL ARTICLE: Cost-effectiveness and efficacy of combined dexamethasone-metoclopramide versus ondansetron...**
41% TA Ogbu, IT Ekwere, Kingsley Ufuoma Tobi, NP Edomwonyi
Bali Journal of Anesthesiology, Year 2019, Volume 3, Issue 3 [p. 161-165]
DOI: 10.4103/BJOA.BJOA_8_19
[ABSTRACT] [HTML Full text] [PDF] [Mobile Full text] [EPub] [Sword Plugin for Repository]^{Beta}

<< Previous Next >>

Go to page Number: -- ▾

Effectiveness of High-flow Inhalation Anesthesia Technique Using Isoflurane Compared to Low-flow Inhalation Anesthesia Technique Using Sevoflurane and Isoflurane in Terms of Cost and Safety

Arinanda Lalita Hayu, Elizeus Hanindito, Hamzah Hamzah, Arie Utariani

Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Faculty of Medicine, Dr. Soetomo General Hospital, Airlangga University, Surabaya, Indonesia

Abstract

Background: The low-flow technique could reduce the direct and indirect total cost as well as lower the pollution and glasshouse effects. This study aimed to compare the effectiveness of two inhalation anesthetic agents using low-flow techniques on the hemodynamic changes and cost needed. **Subjects and Methods:** This is an experimental study with posttest-only control group design and a cost minimization analysis on sixty patients, who had underwent surgery and met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Patients were grouped into high-flow isoflurane (HIS), low-flow isoflurane (LIS), and low-flow sevoflurane (LSV) groups. The amount of anesthetic agent used was measured before and after the surgery. The cost needed was the main outcome of this research. **Results:** There was no significant difference in the hemodynamic changes between these three groups ($P > 0.05$). There was a significant cost difference between the three groups ($P = 0.003$). There was no significant cost difference between LIS and LSV groups ($P = 0.353$). There was a significant difference between the cost of high flow and low flow, but there was no significant difference between the cost of low-flow anesthetic agents. **Conclusion:** HIS resulted in a more expensive compared to the LIS and LSV. However, there was no significant cost difference between the use of low-flow techniques.

Keywords: Anesthetic, cost, hemodynamic, inhalation, isoflurane, sevoflurane

INTRODUCTION

Advancement in technology and monitoring technique in anesthesia allowed the low-flow technique to be used worldwide. The low-flow technique could reduce the direct and indirect total costs as well as lower the pollution and glasshouse effects.^[1-4] Many studies also showed that it is more effective to reduce the use of fresh gas flow (FGF) in anesthesia education program to contribute to the reduction of total anesthetic cost.^[5]

There are three steps in applying the low-flow anesthesia (LFA) technique: initial high-flow stage, low flow, and recovery. The initial high-flow stage is performed in 10–20 min using 4 L/min FGF (i.e., 1.4 L/min O₂ and 3 L/min N₂O) with the aim to achieve desirable anesthetic gas composition within the respiratory system.^[2] The LFA technique is more favorable because the use of FGF ≤ 1 L/min can still achieve the depth of anesthesia desired without unwanted glasshouse side effects

and the cost required is lower.^[2-4] Routine use of low-flow technique can reduce anesthesia cost up to 75%.^[6]

There are three components regarding the cost of anesthesia procedure. First is the direct cost from the drugs or anesthetic agents, anesthetic machine, and the executor of the anesthetic procedure. Indirect cost is the consequence of anesthetic procedure (i.e., postoperative care in the intensive care unit or long observation in recovery room). Unexpected cost is for postoperative pain management and consequences of the surgery itself.^[1] Inhalation anesthetic agents play a vital role in the cost of anesthetic drugs.

Address for correspondence: Dr. Arie Utariani,
Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Airlangga University/
Soetomo General Hospital Surabaya, Indonesia.
E-mail: arie.utariani@fk.unair.ac.id

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Hayu AL, Hanindito E, Hamzah H, Utariani A. Effectiveness of high-flow inhalation anesthesia technique using isoflurane compared to low-flow inhalation anesthesia technique using sevoflurane and isoflurane in terms of cost and safety. *Bali J Anaesthesiol* 2019;3:170-3.

Received: 25-11-2019, **Accepted:** 25-11-2019, **Published:** 23-01-2020.

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website:
www.bjoaonline.com

DOI:
10.4103/BJOA.BJOA_7_19




Figure 1: Mean arterial pressure differences between each group. LSV: Low-flow sevoflurane, LIS: Low-flow isoflurane, HIS: High-flow isoflurane




Figure 2: EtCO₂ differences between each group. LSV: Low-flow sevoflurane, LIS: Low-flow isoflurane, HIS: High-flow isoflurane




Figure 3: SpO₂ differences between each group. LSV: Low-flow sevoflurane, LIS: Low-flow isoflurane, HIS: High-flow isoflurane

Isoflurane and sevoflurane are anesthetic agents most commonly used as inhalation agents.^[1] There are four reasons why they are expensive. First, the anesthetic agent is available in liquid form, and the price is costed per milliliter of the liquid. Second, the gas volume produced by 1 ml of the liquid. Third, the price of the components needed to produce its capacity are different among each agent, and it is affected by the agent's concentration produced by the vaporizer to reach the anesthesia depth needed for each patient (vol%). Fourth, the price of anesthetic agents wasted.^[1] A survey done

by Cotter *et al.*^[7] showed that routine LFA use can minimize the cost of inhalation agent.

This study aimed to compare the effectiveness of two inhalation anesthetic agents using low-flow and high-flow techniques on the hemodynamic changes and cost needed. The objectives of this research were to analyze the hemodynamic changes that could happen using low-flow techniques compared to high-flow techniques, and whether there were significant cost differences between the low-flow techniques.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

This study is an experimental study with posttest-only control group design and a cost minimization analysis, comparing the hemodynamic changes and operational cost from an alternative anesthetic technique equivalent to the operational cost of the anesthetic technique regularly used. This study protocol has been approved by the institutional review board. Informed consent was obtained from each patient.

This study took 3 months with sixty patients who met the inclusion and exclusion criteria and randomized into three groups. The first group received inhaled general anesthesia with high-flow technique using 50% air in oxygen with 4 L/min FGF dan isoflurane. The second group received inhaled general anesthesia with low-flow technique using 50% air in oxygen with 1 L/min FGF dan isoflurane. The third group received inhaled general anesthesia with low-flow technique using 50% air in oxygen with 1 L/min FGF dan sevoflurane.

During anesthesia, hemodynamic parameters including mean arterial pressure (MAP), heart rate, SpO₂, and EtCO₂ were measured every 5 min. After the surgery, the anesthetic cost was calculated from each group. Data processing was performed using the Statistical Packages for the Social Sciences software 21.0 IBM Corp. Released 2012. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp. Data were analyzed using the Kruskal–Wallis and Mann–Whitney tests to measure the cost difference between the groups. ANOVA and Kruskal–Wallis test were used to analyze the hemodynamic changes, duration of surgery, and anesthetic agent used between the groups of high-flow isoflurane (HIS), low-flow isoflurane (LIS), and low-flow sevoflurane (LSV). $P > 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The patients from the three groups were compared demographically [Table 1]. The participants of this study were sixty patients who were distributed proportionally into three groups. The total sample consisted of 23 male patients and 37 female patients. Demographic characteristics of this research were age, gender, American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status, and body mass index. The sample distribution was tested statistically using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, which showed normally distributed.

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of the patients in each group

Variables	HIS	LIS	LSV	P
Age (years), mean±SD	37.67±11.87	44.25±15.57	43.10±11.63	0.138
Gender, n (%)				
Male	9 (45)	9 (45)	5 (25)	0.197
Female	11 (55)	11 (55)	15 (75)	
ASA PS, n (%)				
1	6 (30)	11 (55)	6 (30)	1
2	14 (70)	9 (45)	14 (70)	
BMI (kg/m ²), mean±SD	24.81±2.21	24.35±2.7	23.15±2.8	0.3
Surgical duration (h), mean±SD	3.31±1.55	3.40±1.08	4.02±1.54	0.231
Amount of anesthetic agent used (mL), mean±SD	57.1±36.2	39.15±30.81	37.55±14.65	0.013
Anesthesia agent cost (USD), mean±SD	15.16±9.63	10.39±8.18	12.39±4.84	0.021

LSV: Low-flow sevoflurane, LIS: Low-flow isoflurane, HIS: High-flow isoflurane, ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists, BMI: Body mass index, SD: Standard deviation, PS: Physical status

The mean equilibration time in HIS, LIS, and LSV groups were 3.31 ± 1.55 , 3.40 ± 1.08 , and 4.02 ± 1.54 h, respectively ($P = 0.231$). The mean anesthetic agent used in HIS, LIS, and LSV groups were 57.1 ± 36.2 , 39.15 ± 30.81 , and 37.55 ± 14.65 mL, respectively ($P = 0.013$). Hemodynamic parameters were measured since the agent was used until the surgery finished. Monitoring was carried out on the 5th, 15th, 30th, 60th, and 120th min. There was no significant difference in MAP, EtCO₂, and SpO₂ between these groups [Figures 1-3].

The mean anesthesia cost of the LIS group was USD 10.38 ± 8.17 . The highest anesthesia cost in this group was USD 42.41, and the lowest anesthesia cost in this group was USD 2.65. The mean anesthesia cost of the LSV group was USD 12.37 ± 4.83 . The highest anesthesia cost in this group was USD 24.71 and the lowest anesthesia cost in this group was USD 6.59. The result of statistical analysis using ANOVA test for these three groups showed a significantly different result ($P = 0.003$), whereas the statistical analysis between LIS and LSV showed an insignificant result ($P = 0.353$).

DISCUSSION

The usage of sevoflurane as inhalation agent needs to be limited because it is relatively more expensive than other anesthetic agents. The variety duration of operation in this research was in accordance with the daily operation cases that met by all anesthesiologists in the hospital. Statistical analysis of the operation length showed an insignificant result ($P = 0.231$), which means there were no significant differences between the duration of operation for each group. We can conclude that the duration of operation would not have any impact on the anesthetic cost.

Some studies in American and European countries that compared anesthesia cost between LSV and HIS showed varieties of results due to their differences in anesthesia procedures and equipment.^[1-10] The use of LFA technique could prevent the excessive amount of anesthesia cost. In 2005–2006, the Nebraska Medical Center reported that using LFA, they saved up to USD 477,000.^[11] One study concluded

that inhalation anesthesia with 0.5 L/min compared to 6 L/min in 1000 h of anesthesia could save up to 600% of the cost. Inhalation anesthesia technique using 0.5 L/min could reduce the halothane cost up to USD 1000 and isoflurane cost up to USD 9100.^[12]

Our hospital's anesthetic cost was measured by the sum of the used anesthetic agent and the price of rented anesthetic equipment (excluding oxygen and vaporizer). The result of this study showed that the mean cost of the HIS group was USD 15.16 compared to the LIS group (USD 10.39) and LSV (USD 12.39). A comparative study using ANOVA test resulted in $P = 0.021$ in these three groups, which indicates a statistically significant difference between these groups.

In this study, we also measured the hemodynamic changes in these three groups, especially the EtCO₂ changes. Using the LFA technique would increase the risk of rebreathing that could cause a raise in blood CO₂ concentration. In this study, the mean result of EtCO₂ was within the normal limit. The statistical analysis of the EtCO₂ difference between these three groups was statistically insignificant. MAP changes in these three study groups also showed an insignificant result ($P > 0.05$). Previous research in patients with prior reduced renal function showed that there were not any statistical differences between sevoflurane and isoflurane in terms of drug safety.^[13,14]

CONCLUSION

There was no significant difference in the hemodynamic changes between the high-flow and low-flow techniques. LIS was as effective as LSV in maintaining hemodynamic status. However, there was a significant cost difference between the three groups. HIS resulted in a more expensive compared to the LIS and LSV. However, there was no significant cost difference between the use of low-flow techniques.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Golembiewski J. Economic considerations in the use of inhaled anesthetic agents. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* 2010;67:S9-12.
2. Awati MN, Patil GA, Fathima A, Samudyatha TJ. Low flow anaesthesia. *J Evid Based Med Healthc* 2014;1:1150-62.
3. Nunn G. Low-flow anaesthesia. *Contin Educ Anaesth Crit Care Pain* 2008;8:1-4.
4. Upadya M, Sanceesh PJ. Low-flow anaesthesia – Underused mode towards “sustainable anaesthesia”. *Indian J Anaesth* 2018;62:166-72.
5. Carter LA, Oyewole M, Bates E, Sherratt K. Promoting low-flow anaesthesia and volatile anaesthetic agent choice. *BMJ Open Qual* 2019;8:e000479.
6. Brattwall M, Warrén-Stomberg M, Hesselvik F, Jakobsson J. Brief review: Theory and practice of minimal fresh gas flow anaesthesia. *Can J Anaesth* 2012;59:785-97.
7. Cotter SM, Petros AJ, Doré CJ, Barber ND, White DC. Low-flow anaesthesia. Practice, cost implications and acceptability. *Anaesthesia*. 1991;46:1009-12.
8. Ryalino C, Senapathi TG, Pradhana AP, Yadikusumo A. Low-flow anaesthesia technique reduces emergence agitation in pediatric patients underwent general anesthesia *Asian J Pharmacol Clin Research* 2019;12:139-41.
9. Tyagi A, Venkateswaran V, Jain AK, Verma UC. Cost analysis of three techniques of administering sevoflurane. *Anesthesiol Res Pract* 2014;2014:459432.
10. Martelli A. Costs optimization in anaesthesia. *Acta Biomed* 2015;86:38-44.
11. Singh A, Sinha R, Aravindan A, Kumar KR, Datta PK. Comparison of low-fresh gas flow technique to standard technique of sevoflurane induction in children – A randomized controlled trial. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2019;29:304-9.
12. Kennedy RR, French RA, Vesto G, Hanrahan J, Page J. The effect of fresh gas flow during induction of anaesthesia on sevoflurane usage: A quality improvement study. *Anaesthesia* 2019;74:875-82.
13. Bito H, Ikeuchi Y, Ikeda K. Effects of low-flow sevoflurane anaesthesia on renal function: Comparison with high-flow sevoflurane anaesthesia and low-flow isoflurane anaesthesia. *Anesthesiology* 1997;86:1231-7.
14. Kharasch ED, Schroeder JL, Sheffels P, Liggitt HD. Influence of sevoflurane on the metabolism and renal effects of compound A in rats. *Anesthesiology* 2005;103:1183-8.