# Journal Profile

# Journal of Health Sciences eISSN: 24773948 | pISSN: 19786743

Health

Universitas Nahdlatul Ulama Surabaya



54

Sinta Score



Indexed by GARUDA

10

H-Index

10

H5-Index

445

Citations

441

5 Year Citations

ISSN 1978-6743 E-ISSN 2477-3948





# Jurnal Ilmiah Kesehatan

(Journal of Health Science)

Fakultas Keperawatan dan Kebidanan Universitas Nahdlatul Ulama Surabaya



Jurnal Ilmiah Kesehatan (Journal of Health Science)

Volume 14 No 2

Pages 82 - 172

May 2021





















HOME | Editorial Team

# **Editorial Team**

# **Editor in Chief**



🌒 : Rizki Amalia

g: BentiFsAAAAJ

: 6138224

: Universitas Nahdlatul Ulama Surabaya, Indonesia.

# **Asssociate Editor**



① : Uliyat ul Laili

g: BkOBI1EAAAAJ

: 5974229

🖁 : Universitas Nahdlatul Ulama Surabaya, Indonesia.



Nur Ainiyah

3 : sb2VyNYAAAAJ

: 167704

: Universitas Nahdlatul Ulama Surabaya, Indonesia.



👤 : Difran Nobel Bistara

3 : ahXyYOgAAAAJ

: 6103180

🗒 : Universitas Nahdlatul Ulama Surabaya, Indonesia.



👤 : Andikawati Fitriasari

S : 8ps4RwQAAAAJ

<u>6174110</u>

: 57218285441

: Universitas Nahdlatul Ulama Surabaya, Indonesia.



👤 : Bernardo Oliber A. Arde

😗 : 75Q UjoAAAAJ

57204052933

**0000-0002-2467-3707** 

: University of Northern Philippines, Philippines.



👤 : Ida Susila

S : ANOL-eYAAAAJ

: 6167831

: Universitas Islam Lamongan, Indonesia.



👤 : Adistha Eka Noveyani

3 : VhtlyJEAAAAJ

: 6707087

🏻 : Universitas Jember, Indonesia.

: Hast uti Usman
S : w9LD9boAAAAJ

: 6673894



: Poltekes Palu, Indonesia.

Susanti

🔞 : nC5N6xkAAAAJ

6101189

🛚 : STIKes Adi Husada Surabaya, Indonesia.



👤 : Ulfa Farrah Lisa

g: bNJBbSUAAAAJ

6008957

: 57195986370

: Universitas Andalas Padang, Indonesia.

### ACCREDITATION



### ISSN & E-ISSN

P-ISSN: 1978-6743

E-ISSN: 2477-3948

### FURTHER INFORMATION?





HOME ARCHIVES Vol. 14 No. 02 (2021): Jurnal (Imiah Kesehatan (Journal of Health Sciences)

# Vol. 14 No. 02 (2021): Jurnal Ilmiah Kesehatan (Journal of Health Sciences)



Jurnal Ilmiah Kesehatan (Journal of Health Science) is a peer review scientific journal published by the Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Universitas Nahdlatul Ulama Surabaya. JHS establish in 2009, with p-ISSN 1978-6743; e-ISSN 2477-3948. JHS is published periodically thrice a year (February, May and August). jurnal ilmiah kesehatan(journal of health sciences) is intended Provide for dissemination of original and quality research on various topic in nursing, midwifery and health.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33086/jhs.v14i02

PUBLISHED: 2021-05-27

**FULL ISSUE** 

PDF

#### ARTICLES

The The Role Of Family Support And Self-Efficacy On Self-Care Behavior In The Elderly With Type 2 Diabetes

Ratna Yunita Sari, Umdatus Soleha, Erika Chandra Dewi

32-89

PDF

DOI: 10.33086/jhs.v14i02.1801

Abstract views: 21 , Downloads : 10

The The Correlation Between Nutritional Status And Urine Glucose With Estimated Fetal Weight In Trimester III Pregnant Women At Prima Husada Hospital

Nur Masruroh, Andreas Putro Ragil Santoso

0-96

PDF

DOI: 10.33086/jhs.v14i02.1782

Abstract views: 11 , Downloads : 8

The The Length Of Stay In Patients Undergoing Diagnostic MRI And CT-Scan With Intravenous Anesthesia At Outpatient Clinic Dr. Soetomo General Hospital: An Overview

Virda Maharani, Arie Utariani, Lucky Andriyanto, Bambang Harjono

7-102

PDF

DOI: 10.33086/jhs.v14i02.1748

Abstract views: 9 , Downloads : 10

Bacterial Identification And Antibiotics Sensitivity Of Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP)
Patients At RSD Dr. Soebandi Jember

Muhammad Ali Shodikin, Mira Haninda Ramadhanty, I Nyoman Semita

103-111

PDF

d DOI: 10.33086/jhs.v14i02.1891

Abstract views: 15 , Downloads : 11

# The The Effect Of Listening To Quran Recitation On Social-Emotional Development In Pre-School Children During Covid-19 Pandemic firdaus firdaus, Rahmadaniar Aditya Putri, Andini Hardiningrum PDF DOI: 10.33086/jhs.v14i02.1826 Abstract views: 10 , Downloads: 8 Adherence Level Of Medical Personnel In Implementing 2019 Postoperative Pain **Management Guidelines** Nasrulloh Nasrulloh, Hamzah Hamzah, Arie Utariani, Dedi Susila DOI: 10.33086/jhs.v14i02.1744 Abstract views: 10 , Downloads : 8 Evaluation Of Programs For Stunting Prevention Management At Tajinan Public Health Center rifzul maulina PDF di DOI: 10.33086/jhs.v14i02.1754 Abstract views: 10 , Downloads : 15 The The Correlation Between Total Cholesterol Levels In Pregnancy Women And Baby Birth uliyatul laili, Rizki Amalia PDF do DOI: 10.33086/jhs.v14i02.1845 Abstract views: 10 , Downloads : 6 The The Correlation Between Participation In Pregnancy Workouts And Perineum Ruptures Incidence In Woman With Normal Labor At The Sumengko Village Maternity Cottage, Lamongan District khusnul nikmah, Eka Sarofah Ningsih, Vita Yushofa PDF do DOI: 10.33086/jhs.v14i02.2014 Abstract views: 10 , Downloads : 6 The The Correlation Between Patient Satisfaction Regarding Nutrition Service And Hospital Length Of Stay With Food Waste In Covid-19 Patients Budhi Setianto, Agus Aan Adriansyah, Umi Hanik, Difran Nobel Bistara PDF DOI: 10.33086/jhs.v14i02.2045 Abstract views: 15 , Downloads : 13 Genetic Polymorphism In Individuals With Type II Diabetes Mellitus Using PCR-RAPD In Sidoarjo District Miftahul Mushlih, Fitri Kumala Sari, Djauharoh A. Hadie, Syahrul Ardiansyah PDF 6 DOI: 10.33086/jhs.v14i02.1866 Abstract views: 37 , Downloads: 8

Profile Of Leukocyte Count In Children With Typhoid Fever At The Dr. Tadjuddin Chalid Hospital, Makassar

Rivaldy Prayudha, Najamuddin Andi Palancoi, Syatirah Jalaluddin

PDF

160-165



# An An Educational Video For Earthquake Disaster Preparedness In Students At St. Aloysius Weetabula Christian Middle School, Southwest Sumba

Wahyu Dini Metrikayanto, V Gonsalves

56-172

PDF

do DOI: 10.33086/jhs.v14i02.1690

Abstract views: 14 , Downloads: 6

### ACCREDITATION



### ISSN & E-ISSN

P-ISSN: 1978-6743

E- ISSN: 2477-3948

### FURTHER INFORMATION?



MAKE A SUBMISSION

SUPPLEMENTARY FILES

# The Length Of Stay In Patients Undergoing Diagnostic MRI And CT-Scan With Intravenous Anesthesia At Outpatient Clinic Dr. Soetomo General Hospital: An Overview

Virda Maharani <sup>1</sup>, Arie Utariani <sup>2</sup>, Lucky Andriyanto <sup>3</sup>, Bambang Harjono <sup>4</sup>

1, 2, 3, 4 Anesthesiology and Reanimation Department of Medical Faculty of Universitas Airlangga / Soetomo General Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia

### ARTICLE INFORMATION

Received: September, 1, 2020 Revised: February, 10, 2021 Available online: May 2021

### **KEYWORDS**

diagnostic procedures, intravenous anesthesia, length of stay, outpatient, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computerized tomography (CT) Scans

#### CORRESPONDENCE

E-mail: vmaharani.anes@gmail.com

No. Tlp: +628123301587

### ABSTRACT

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) and Computerized Tomography (CT) Scans might be challenging for children or individuals with anxiety or claustrophobia. General anesthesia aims to increase the success rate, but inadequate management can result in a longer length of stay. This study aims to analyze patients' length of stay on MRI and CT-scan with intravenous anesthesia. This was a descriptive observational study. The data were collected retrospectively from the medical records at General Diagnostic Center Dr. Soetomo General Hospital. A total of 721 patients underwent MRI or CT Scan procedures with intravenous anesthesia during 2017-2018. The data obtained were patients' age, gender, procedure, physical status, comorbid, type of anesthesia drug, diagnostic procedure duration, length of observation in the Post Anesthesia Care Unit (PACU), and overall length of stay. All MRI procedures used a midazolam-propofol combination. Meanwhile, only one CT scan procedure used those combinations, and other CT scan procedures utilized propofol. Patients undergoing MRI had a length of stay with a mean duration of  $6,6,3\pm1,26$  hours, compared to CT scans with  $5,20\pm1,38$ hours, due to the lengthier procedure and observation duration in the PACU. Patients undergoing MRI have a longer overall length of stay than the ones doing CT scans.

## INTRODUCTION

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) and Computerized Tomography (CT) Scans have been widely used in Indonesia. These diagnostic procedures require patients to stay still for a certain amount of time during the process, which might be hard to achieve for patients with certain conditions, such as children and patients with anxiety or claustrophobia. General anesthesia to increase these diagnostic procedures' success rates has been commonly used (Bailey et al., 2016; Choi et al., 2018; Rubin, 2014).

According to Mujiburrahman, there were 1.399 and 1.048 procedures in 2015 and 2016 in the General Diagnostic Center of Dr. Soetomo General Hospital that used general anesthesia (Mujiburrahman, 2017). Ambulatory anesthesia can lower-risk nosocomial infection, better cost-effectiveness, and shorter length of stay. It can be done in patients with physical status (PS–ASA) I and II; and all ages except premature infants (Lee, 2017).

Recovery post-anesthesia procedures are crucial. After anesthesia, patients are observed in the Post-Anesthesia Care Unit (PACU) then assessed with Modified Aldrete Score before getting discharged. This criteria evaluates activities, respiration, circulation, consciousness, oxygen saturation, scaled 0,1, and 2. The patient can be removed from PACU after reaching a score >9 (Lee, 2017; Shetty & Raveendra, 2015). A study comparing propofol and thiopental found that the recovery time needed in patients who

used propofol is less than thiopental. The mean of Modified Aldrete Score in PACU was also higher in patients who used propofol (Makwana et al., 2016).

Sometimes, there is a complication in patients undergoing anesthesia. Largo-Pineda et al. showed that adverse effects in children aged less than 15 years old happened to 12 children in a study of 4.786 subjects. The most common negative impact was nausea and vomiting that primarily resulted from inadequate post-anesthesia treatment (Largo-pineda et al., 2017). Poor complication management can result in a longer length of stay. No study ever mentioned how long a patient should ideally stay in the hospital. Therefore, this study aims to analyze patients' overall length of stay undergoing diagnostic procedures such as MRI and CT-scan with intravenous anesthesia.

## **METHOD**

This study was a descriptive observational study. The data were collected retrospectively from the medical records in the General Diagnostic Center of Dr. Soetomo General Hospital, which consisted of 721 patients who underwent diagnostic procedures such as MRI and CT scan with intravenous anesthesia from 2017 until 2018. The inclusion criteria were patients with no history of difficult anesthesia and no acute or chronic respiratory system infection. Exclusion criteria were not enough fasting period, difficult intravenous access, life-threatening organ dysfunction, and incomplete medical record. The sample was chosen using total sampling. The data obtained were patients' characteristics: age, gender, procedure, physical status classification, comorbid, type of anesthesia drug and its dose, diagnostic procedure duration, length of observation in the Post Anesthesia Care Unit (PACU), and patient's overall length of stay. The data presentation was descriptive.

The study was initiated with a research proposal and accepted by research supervisors. Its proposal was assessed for ethical clearance. After our hospital's ethical committee approved it, we proceeded with data collection through medical records and data proceedings.

Data obtained from patients' medical records were then presented as a table consisted of name, age, gender, physical status classification, diagnostic procedure type (MRI or CT Scan), procedure duration, duration of observation in the PACU, and patient's overall length of stay. Data were processed using SPSS 20.0.

# **RESULT**

## **Subjects' characteristics**

A total of 721 patients underwent diagnostic procedures with general anesthesia in our outpatient clinic that fulfilled inclusion and exclusion criteria. There were 319 procedures in 2017 and 402 in 2018. Over

60% of these procedures are MRIs. There was no significant difference in patients' gender. The highest number was among children 1 to 5-years-old. More than half of the patients were categorized into PS-ASA 2 and had one comorbid (See table 1). 2,1

Table 1. Subjects' characteristics

| Characteristics | Frequency (n) |      | Percentage (%) |      |  |
|-----------------|---------------|------|----------------|------|--|
| Year            |               |      |                |      |  |
| 2017            | 319           |      | 44,3           |      |  |
| 2018            | 402           |      | 55,7           |      |  |
| Procedure       |               |      |                |      |  |
| CT Scan         | 280           |      | 38,8           |      |  |
| MRI             | 441           |      | 61,2           |      |  |
| Gender          |               |      |                |      |  |
| Female          | 339           |      | 47,0           |      |  |
| Male            | 382           |      |                | 53,0 |  |
|                 | MRI           |      | CT Scan        |      |  |
|                 | N             |      | %              |      |  |
| Age group       |               |      | n              | %    |  |
| 0-11 months     | 47            | 10,7 | 64             | 22,9 |  |
| 1-4.9 years     | 205           | 46,5 | 169            | 60,4 |  |
| 5-18 years      | 162           | 36,7 | 46             | 10,5 |  |
| >18 years       | 27            | 6,1  | 1              | 0,2  |  |
| PS - ASA        |               |      |                |      |  |
| 1               | 76            | 17,2 | 61             | 21,8 |  |
| 2               | 325           | 73,7 | 188            | 67,1 |  |
| 2<br>>2         | 40            | 9,1  | 31             | 11,1 |  |
| Comorbid        |               |      |                |      |  |
| None            | 61            | 13,8 | 50             | 17,9 |  |
| 1               | 249           | 56,5 | 145            | 51,8 |  |
| 2               | 116           | 26,3 | 79             | 28,2 |  |
| 3               | 15            | 3,4  | 6              | 2,1  |  |

# Drugs used in the procedures

All of the MRI procedures used midazolam and propofol combination. Only one CT scan procedure utilized both; most CT scan procedures used propofol only. The propofol dose was 2mg/kg body weight (BW), while midazolam was 0.15/kg BW. The mean dose of midazolam and propofol used in the MRI procedures sequentially were 2,93±2,31 mg and 78±46,24 mg, while the mean dose of propofol used in most of the CT scan procedures was 23,42±15,23 mg.

Table 2. Intravenous anesthesia drugs and doses administered in milligrams

| Drug      | Frequency of procedures | Min. | Max    | Mean  | Std. Deviation |
|-----------|-------------------------|------|--------|-------|----------------|
| MRI       |                         |      |        |       |                |
| Midazolam | 441                     | 0,48 | 15,00  | 2,93  | 2,31           |
| Propofol  | 441                     | 9,60 | 300,00 | 58,78 | 46,24          |
| CT Scan   |                         |      |        |       |                |
| Midazolam | 1                       | 1,31 | 1,31   | 1,31  | -              |
| Propofol  | 280                     | 5,80 | 160,0  | 23,42 | 15,23          |

# Duration of procedures, observation in PACU, and overall length of stay

MRIs took longer than CT scans, with a mean duration of  $55,96\pm15,85$  minutes compared to CT scan with a mean duration of  $10,31\pm6,71$  minutes. Patients who underwent MRI also took a more extended observation period in the PACU, with a mean duration of  $173,61\pm67,52$  minutes compared to CT scans with  $135,29\pm62,98$  minutes mean duration. Therefore, MRI patients had a longer overall length of stay than CT scans with a mean duration sequentially of  $6,6,3\pm1,26$  hours and  $5,20\pm1,38$  hours.

Table 3. Duration of Procedures in minutes

| Diagnostic procedure | Min. | Max | Mean  | Std. Deviation |
|----------------------|------|-----|-------|----------------|
| CT Scan              | 4    | 70  | 10,31 | 6,71           |
| MRI                  | 5    | 135 | 55,96 | 15,85          |

Table 4. Duration of Observation in the PACU in minutes

| Diagnostic procedure | Min. | Max | Mean   | Std. Deviation |
|----------------------|------|-----|--------|----------------|
| CT Scan              | 0    | 390 | 135,29 | 62,98          |
| MRI                  | 20   | 360 | 173,61 | 67,52          |

Table 5. Patients' overall Length of Stay in hours

| Diagnostic procedure | Min. | Max | Mean | Std. Deviation |
|----------------------|------|-----|------|----------------|
| CT Scan              | 1,5  | 9,5 | 5,20 | 1,38           |
| MRI                  | 1,5  | 11  | 6,63 | 1,26           |

## **DISCUSSION**

The anesthesia drugs used in our outpatient clinic's diagnostic procedures were propofol and a combination of propofol and midazolam. All MRI procedures used propofol and midazolam. Meanwhile, only one CT scan procedure used propofol and midazolam, and the other 279 CT scan procedures used propofol only. The mean dose of propofol used in MRI was higher, which was 58,78±46,24 mg compared to CT scan with a mean dose of 23,42±15,23 mg. It was possible because the mean age of patients undergoing MRI was older than CT scan, which also came with heavier bodyweight.

Ambulatory anesthesia commonly uses propofol because of its rapid onset and recovery. However, it has a narrow therapeutic range, so that respiratory distress is more likely to occur when there is carelessness in use. In this combination, midazolam plays a role as a sedation agent chosen because of its rapid onset and short half-life (Dasgupta et al., 2017). A study in the Netherlands showed that the mean dose of midazolam needed to sedate a child less than six years old was twice as required for an adult (Verhage, Mulder & Willekens, 2003). A similar thing did not happen in this study. Despite the differences of patients' age, all procedures used the same small dose of midazolam, which was 0.15mg Kg BW. Its combination with propofol is beneficial for both drug use since the dose of propofol was also small, 2mg/kg BW, which lowered respiratory distress. A study in Korea reported that midazolam as a combination of propofol could reduce the dose of propofol needed, so the odds of respiratory distress

were lower. The research informed that used only propofol showed the risk of respiratory distress of 7%. In comparison, the ones that used midazolam and propofol combination indicated the risk of respiratory distress of only 2.8% (Kang et al., 2017). Both propofol and midazolam combinations were used in all MRI procedures because the procedure duration took longer than the CT scan.

Diagnostic procedures in patients who needed general anesthesia consist of these phases: risk assessment, history taking, and physical examination; diagnostic procedure; and post-anesthesia observation in the PACU. MRI took longer than a CT scan, with a mean duration of  $55,96\pm15,85$  minutes and  $10,31\pm6,71$  minutes. Observation in patients undergoing MRI was also longer than the CT scan, with a mean duration of  $173,61\pm67,52$  minutes and  $135,29\pm62,98$  minutes. It resulted in a longer overall length of stay in the hospital, with a mean duration of  $6,6,3\pm1,26$  hours in MRI patients and  $5,20\pm1,38$  hours in CT scan patients. To date, no studies directly analyzed the difference in length of stay between patients undergoing MRI and CT scans under intravenous anesthesia.

Furthermore, we investigate these findings in terms of anesthetic agents. The contrast of length of stay might be happening because the drugs used in MRI are a combination of midazolam and propofol, which have a longer recovery time than propofol alone. Kang et al. reported that patients that were sedated using those combinations had longer recovery time significantly compared to propofol only (mean difference 1.8 minutes; CI 95% 0.9-2.9; p<0.001). It resulted in a shorter stay in the hospital (mean difference 4 minutes; CI 95% 3.0-5.1; p<0.001) (Kang et al., 2017). A study also showed that the overall length of stay (the duration from the end of the anesthetic administering to the condition appropriate for discharge) was longer in patients who received intravenous propofol than intravenous midazolam (p = 0.010). The research was conducted on MRI, CT scans, or DTPA renal scintigraphy procedures (Sebe *et al.*, 2014). The synergistic characteristics in the combined regimen of midazolam and propofol promote more profound and longer moderate sedation, which may prolong the early recovery time (Molina-Infante et al., 2012).

As an impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, we found difficulties in sample collection due to limited access to medical records installation. Therefore, data collection was used manually using the registration book of patients in the Radiology Unit of General Diagnostic Center.

### **CONCLUSION**

Of all the imaging diagnostic procedures using intravenous anesthesia, there is a longer overall length of stay in patients who undergo MRI than patients undergoing CT scans.

## **REFERENCE**

- Bailey, M., Sarawastula, A., & Softley, L. (2016). Paediatric sedation for imaging is safe and effective in a district general hospital. *British Journal of Radiology*, 89(1061).
- Choi, J. W., Kim, D. K., Lee, S. H., Shin, H. S., & Seong, B. G. (2018). Comparison of Safety Profiles between Non-operating Room Anesthesia and Operating Room Anesthesia: a Study of 199,764 Cases at a Korean tertiary hospital. *J Korean Med Sci*, 33(28), 183.
- Dasgupta, A., Dasgupta, S., Sen, S., Sen, S., & Sinha, G. K. (2017). *Benzodiazepine hypnotics as oral preanaesthetic medication : a comparative clinical study.* 4(1), 304–312.
- Kang, R., Shin, Y. H., Gil, N., Kim, K. Y., Yeo, H., & Jeong, J. S. (2017). A comparison of the use of propofol alone and propofol with midazolam for pediatric magnetic resonance imaging sedation a retrospective cohort study. 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-017-0431-2
- Largo-pineda, C. E., Arenas-correa, I. D., Ángel-gonzález, G. J., Vélez-arango, J. M., Calvo-betancur, V. D., & Arango-zapata, A. N. (2017). Journal of Anesthesiology Revista Colombiana de Anestesiología Adverse events in paediatric patients taken to magnetic resonance imaging under sedation or anaesthesia & 5(30), 8–14.
- Lee, H. (2017). Anesthesia for ambulatory surgery. *Korean Journal of Anesthesiology*, 70(4), 398–406.
- Makwana, D. S., Parmar, N., & Prem, K. (2016). Discharge Criteria and Complications After Day Care Surgery. *Asian Pac J. Health Sci*, *3*, 82–88.
- Molina-Infante, J., C, D.-S., ., J. M. Mateos-Rodriguez., B, Perez-Gallardo., G, Vinagre-Rodri'guez., M, Hernandez-Alonso., Mi, F.-B. and, & Gonzalez-Huix, F. (2012). Nonanesthesiologist-Administered Propofol Versus Midazolam and Propofol, Titrated to Moderate Sedation, for Colonoscopy: A Randomized Controlled Trial American Society of Anesthesiology. *Degistive Diseases and Science*, 57(9), 2385–2393. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10620-012-2222-4
- Mujiburrahman. (2017). Analisa Lama Tinggal Pasien Rawat Jalan Setelah Tindakan Diagnostik Dengan Anestesi Umum RSUD Dr. Soetomo. Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Airlangga.
- Rubin, D. (2014). Anesthesia for Ambulatory Diagnostic and Therapeutic R a d i o l o g y P ro c e d u re s Ambulatory Radiology Anesthesia Interventional radiology. *Anesthesiology Clinics*, *32*(2), 371–380. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anclin.2014.02.015
- Shetty, A., & Raveendra, U. (2015). Anesthesia For Day Care Surgery. *Nitte University Journal of Health Science*, *5*, 2249–7110.
- Taylor, P., Sebe, A., Yilmaz, H. L., Koseoglu, Z., & Ay, M. O. (2014). Comparison of Midazolam and Propofol for Sedation in Comparison of Midazolam and Propofol for Sedation in Pediatric Diagnostic Imaging Studies. September 2015. https://doi.org/10.3810/pgm.2014.05.2770
- Verhage, J., Chris J J Mulder., & Frans. (2003). Intravenous midazolam sedation in pediatric diagnostic upper digestive endoscopy. A prospective study in a general hospital. *Romanian Journal of Gastroenterology*, 12(4).