## CHAPTER IV

## PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE DATA

### 4.1. Segmental substitution done by the kindergarten students of TR Mojo Indah, Surabaya

In this part. the writer presents tables of segmental substitution done by the students, in general. The phenomenon occurs when certain sounds are difficult to pronounce and, then, they are substituted by the studentia by the other sounds. The segmental substitution here occurs in all position: initial, middle, and final.
4.1.1.Table of the initial segmental substitution

| Sounds | Able | Unable | Substituting sounds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [.pl | $17: 100 \%$ | $-: 0 \%$ | - |
| $[b]$ | $17: 100 \%$ | $-: 0 \%$ | - |
| $[m]$ | $17: 100 \%$ | $-: 0 \%$ | - |
| $[f]$ | $17: 100 \%$ | $-: 0 \%$ | $[f]$ |
| rv | $13: 76.47 \%$ | $4: 23.53 \%$ | $[s]: 9=52.94 \%$ |
| $[\theta]$ | $-: 0 \%$ | $17: 100 \%$ | $[t]: 6=35.29 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $[f]: 2=11.77 \%$ |
| $[\delta]$ | $12: 70.59 \%$ | $5: 29.41 \%$ | $[d]$ |
| $[t]$ | $17: 100 \%$ | $-: 0 \%$ | - |
| $[. s]$ | $17: 100 \%$ | $-: 0 \%$ | - |


| Sounds | Able | Unable | Substituting sounds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [d] | 17:100\% | -:0\% | - |
| 「. $]$ | 10:58.82\% | 7:41.18\% | [s]: $4=23.53 \%$ |
|  |  |  | [i]:2 $=11.77 \%$ |
|  |  |  | [d3]:1 $=5.88 \%$ |
| [ n ] | 17: 100\% | -: 0\% | [d]. 1 = |
| [.f $]$ | 9:52.94\% | 8:47.06\% | [8] |
| [k] | 17:100\% | -:0\% | - |
| 「.E] | 17:100\% | -:0\% | - |
| [ l ] $]$ | 17:100\% | -:0\% | - |
| [.j] | 17:100\% | -:0\% | - |
| [h] | 17: 100\% | -:0\% | - |
| [1] | 17: 1010\% | -:0\% | - |
| [r] | 17:100\% | -:0\% | - |
| [ts] | 17:100\% | -:0\% | - |
| [d3] | 17:100\% | -:0\% | - |

* Able and unable refers to the ability of the atudenta. From the table above, we can see that initial consonants which are difficult to pronounce are as follows (in order : from the most to the least difficult sound classes) :

1. Interdentals:
-ioiceless $[\theta]$ is substituted by [s]:52.94\%
[t]:35.29\%
[f]:11.77\%
-voiced [ D$]$ is substituted by [d]:29.41\%
2. Alveopalatals:
-voice!ess [ $\int$ ] is substituted by [s]:47.06\%
3. Labiodental :
-voised [.v] is substituted by [f]:23.53\%
4. Alveolar :
-voiced fricative [.z] is substituted by [s]:23.53\%
[j]:11.77\%
[d3]:5.88\%

From the percentages above, we can conclude that the most difficult initial sound to pronounce is voiceless interdental fricative $[\theta]$; no student can pronounce it even they have been drilled. The sec̣ond sound is the voiceless alveopalatal fricative [ $\int$ ]; this sound oan be pronounced by more than $50 \%$ students of the class. The third is voiced interdental fricative [ Z ] which can be produced by more than $70 \%$ of the students. The fourth are voiced labiodental fricative [v] and voiced alveolar fricative [.z] each of which can be produced by more than 75\% of the students.

The rest of the initial consonant sounds can be pronounced by all the students.

## 4．3．2．Table of the middle segmental substitution

| Sounds | Able | Unable | Substituting sounds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 「．pl | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ | － |
| ［b］ | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ | － |
| 「．m7 | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ | － |
| ［f］ | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ | － |
| f．v］ | 16：94．12\％ | 1：5．88\％ | ［f］ |
| ［日］ | －：0\％ | 17：100\％ | $\begin{aligned} & {[t]: 9=52.94 \%} \\ & {[8]: 8=47.06 \%} \end{aligned}$ |
| ［ठ］ | 10：58．82\％ | 7：41．18\％ | ［d］ |
| ［．t］ | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ | － |
| ［s］ | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ | － |
| ［．d］ | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ | － |
| ［2］ | 14：82．35\％ | 3：17．65\％ | ［d］ |
| ［ 51 | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ | － |
| ［ $¢$ ］ | 10：58．82\％ | 7：41．18\％ | ${ }^{[3]}$［ ${ }^{\text {［ }}$［ ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| ［．3］ | 15：88．24\％ | 2：11．77\％ | $[d 3]: 1=5.88 \%$ <br> $[j]: 1=5.88 \%$ |
| 「．k 1 | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ |  |
| ［g］ | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ |  |
| ［．］$]$ | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ |  |
| ［w］ | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ | － |
| 「31 | 17： $1.00 \%$ | －：0\％ | － |
| ［h］ | 17：106\％ | －：0\％ | － |
| 「．1］ | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ | － |
| ［ r$]$ | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ | － |
| $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text {［t } \\ {[d]}\end{array}\right]$ | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ | － |
| ［d3］ | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ | － |

From the table above，we can see that the mideli＝ Bonsonant sounds which are difficult to pronounce are as follows（from the most to the least difficult aound classes：：

1．Intercientals：
－voicnless 「．̈］is substituted by［t］：52．94\％
-voiced [D] is substituted by [d] : 41.18\%
2.Alveopalatals :
-voiceless [ $\left.\int\right]$ is substituted by [s] : 41.18\%
-voiced [3] is substituted by [d3] : 5.88\%
3. Alveolars :
-voiced fricative $[. z]$ is substituted by [d3] : 17.65\%
4. Labiodentals :
-voiced 「v] is substituted by [f] : 5.88\%

From the percentage above, we can conclude that the most difficult consonant sound in the middle position is interdentals; voiceless $[\theta]$ cannot be produced by all the students. while the voiced [8] can be produced by more than 50\% of the students. The second ia alveopalatala; the voiceless [.] can be produced by more than $50 \%$, while the voiced \{3] can be produced by more than $9 \boldsymbol{9 \%}$ of the students. The third is voiced alveolar fricative [z] which can be produced by more than $80 \%$ studenta. The leasit difficult sound is voiced labiodental fricative [v ] which can be produced by more than $90 \%$ students.

The rest consonant sounds, in the middle position, can be pronsunced by all the students.

## 4．3．3．Table of the final segmental substitution

| Sounds | Able | Unable | Substituting sounds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ［．p］ | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ | － |
| ［b］ | －：0\％ | 17：100\％ | ［p］ |
| ［．m］ | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ | ［ |
| ［f］ | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ | － |
| 「．v］ | 12：70．59\％ | 5：35．29\％ | ［f］ |
| ［ $\theta$ ］ | －：0\％ | 17：100\％ | ［t］： $10=58.82 \%$ <br> ［s］： $4=23.53 \%$ <br> ［f］：3 $=17.85 \%$ |
| 「ठ］ | －：0\％ | 17：100\％ | ［t］ |
| ［t］ | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ |  |
| ［．3］ | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ | － |
| ［d］ | 2：11．77\％ | 15：88．24\％ | ［t］ |
| 「2］ | 6：35．29\％ | 11：64．71\％ | ［s］ |
| ［ n ］ | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ |  |
| ［S］ | 11：134．71\％ | 6：35．29\％ | ［s］ |
| ［5］${ }_{\text {［e］}}$ | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ |  |
| ［ y ］ | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ |  |
| rwl | 11： $0.4 .71 \%$ | 6：35．29\％ | deleted |
| ［11］ | 17：100\％ | －：0\％ | 1 |
| 「．t．$]$ | －：0\％ | 17：100\％ | ［s］：13＝76．47\％ <br> ［t］：3＝17．65\％ |
| ［ c 3$]$ | 10：58．82\％ | 7：41．18\％ | added with［i：］：1＝5．88\％ <br> ［s］：4 $=23.53 \%$ <br> ［t］：3 $=17.65 \%$ |

From the table above．the sounds which are difficult to pronounce are as follows（in order）：

1．Interdentals ：
－voiceless $[\theta]$ is substituted by［s］：58．82\％，［t］：23．53\％， ［f］：17．65\％．
-voiced/[y] is substituted by [t]:100\%.

## 2. Bilabial :

-voiced stop $[b]$ is substituted by [p]:100\%.
3. Velar :

- voiced stop $[\mathrm{g}]$ is substituted by [k]:100\%.

4. Affricate :
-voiceless 「t $]$ is substituted by [s]:76.47\%, [t]:17.65\% and added with $[i:]: 5.88 \%$.
-voised r.d3 ] is substituted by [s]:23.53\% and [t]: 17. $65 \%$.
5. Alveolars :
-voiced stop $[d]$ is substituted by [t]:88.24\%.
-voiced fricative [z] is substituted by [a]:04.71\%.
6. Labiodental :
-voiced $[v]$ is substituted by [f]:35.29\%
7. Alveopalatal :
-voiceless [f] is substituted by [s]:35.29\%
8. Glide.:
-labiovelar 「.w] is deleted :35.29\%

From the percentage above, we can conclude that the most difficult sound to pronounce is interdentals; the voiceless $[\theta]$ and the voiced [ 0 ] cannot be produced
correctly by all the students. The second is voiced bilabial which cannot be produced by all the students; they substitute it with the voiceless one, [p]. The third is voiced alveolar [d] which can be produced by less than $20 \%$ of the students, while the voiced [z] is less than 40\%. The fourth is voiceless affricate [ $t$ ] which cannot be produced by all the students. The fifth are the voiced labiodental [v] and the voiceless alveopalatal [ ] each of which can be produced by less than $40 \%$ of the students. The sixth is labiovelar glide [w] which is deleted by less than $40 \%$ of the students. The rest of consonant sounds can be produced correctly by all the students.

### 4.4. Phonological processes of the segmental <br> substitutions

These segmental substitutions can be considered as phonological disorders, since the sounds in the phonological system is modified by the students in their process of phonological development,as stated by Komshian, Kavanagh, and Ferguson (1980:182) : "when we compared the developing phonological system of a child with the adult norm, we observed that many of


#### Abstract

the differences in the child＇s system could be described by appealing to a set of natural phonological processes． Processes such as the stopping of fricatives，the gliding of liquids，and the reduction of consonant clusters reflected natural tendencies toward language modifioation．．．Many general patterns in phonological disorders can also be captured by appealing to processes．We cannot be certain that all of the processes which emerge from the comparison of normal and disordered phonological systems can be called natural，but many of these processes do operate．＂


Based on the statement above，the writer tries to find the phonological processes of the students＇ segmental substitution in each position．The processes are based on the segmental features and completed with the example of the modified words used by the students．

## 4．4．1．Phonological processes of the initial segnental substitution

1．Stopping
－thumb［日へm］is modified into［t＾m］；aleolar fricative becomes alveolar stop（［＋continuant］$\rightarrow$ ［－continuant］）．
－that［ぬæt］is modified into［dæt］；interdental fricative becomes alveolar stop（［ $\dagger$ continuant］$\rightarrow$ ’ ［－continuant］．
－measure［me弓ə］is modified into［medz］；
alveopaltal fricative becomes affricate
$([+$ continuant $] \rightarrow[-$ continuant $]$ ）．
－zebra［2i：bra］is modified into［d3i：bra］；
alveolar fricative becomes affricate.
2. Devoicing ([+ voiced] --> [- voiced])

- vegetable [ved3itabol] is modified in [fed3itabol]; voiced labiodental becomes the voiceleas one.
- -ッb:゙a [ai:bra] is modified into [si:bro]; voiced alveolar fricative becomes the voiceleas one.

3. Labializing

- thumb [.Ө^m] is modified into [f^m]; interdental becomes labiodental ([- labial] --> [+ labial]).

4. Fronting

- shoe [.fu:] is modified into [su:]; alveopalatal becomes alveolar ([- anterior] --> [+ anterior]).

5. Striding

- thumb [ $\left.\theta^{\wedge} \mathrm{m}\right]$ is modified into [s^m]; interdental becomes alveolar ([-strident] --> [+atrident]).

All the processes above is based on the main distinctivive phonetic feature between the two segment sounds.
4.2.2. Phonological processes of the middle segmental substitution

1. Stoppine ( $\mathrm{P}+$ continuant] $-->$ [- continuant])

- nothing [n^Өiŋ] is modified into [n^tig];
interdental fricative becomes alveolar stop．
－measure［me3p］is modified into［med30］； alveopalatal fricative beomes affricate ．
－razor［reiza］is modified into［reid3o］；
Zlveola：fricative becomes affricate．
－father［fa：$\partial \boldsymbol{\partial}$ ］is modified into［fa：da］； interdental fricative becomes alveolar stop．

2．Etriding
－nothine 「nneig］is modified into［n＾sin］； interdental fricative becomes alveolar fricative （「－strident］－－＞［＋strident］）．

3．Fronting
－washine［．wofin］is modified into［wosin］； alveopalatal becomes alveolar fricative （「－anterior．－back］－－＞［＋anterior］）．

4．Levoicing
－envelope $[$ envelap］is modified into［enfelop］； voiced labiodental becomes voiceleas labiodental （［＋voiced］－－＞［．－voiced］）．
4.2.3. Phonological processes of the final segmental substitution

1. Devoicing ([.+ voiced] --> [- voiced])

- cab [keb] is modified into [kxp]; voiced bilabial becomes voiceless bilabial .
- bed [bed] is modified into [bet]; voiced alveolar stop becomes voiceless alveolar stop.
- glove [gl^v] is modified into [gl^fic; voioed labiodental becomes voiceless labiodental.

2. Stopping ([+ continuant] $\rightarrow$ [- continuant])

- mout! $[$ maue] is modified into [maut];interdental fricative becomes alveolar stop.
- bather riveio] is modified into [beit]; interdental fricative becomes alveolar stop.

3. Alveolarizine

- sauch [.kaut $]$ is modified into [kaut]; affricate becones alveolar stop.
- sauch [kaut $]$ is modified into [kaus]: affricate becomes alveolar fricative.
- bridge $[b r i d 3]$ is modified into [bris]; affricate becomes alveolar fricative.
- bridee [brid3] is modified into [brit]; affricate becomes alveolar stop.

5．Striding
－mouth［mau $\theta$ ］is modified into［maus］；interdental fricative becomes alveolar fricative（［－atrident］ －－s 「．＋strident］）．

6．Fronting
－fish 「fif］is modified into［fis］；alveopalatal becomes alveolar（［－anterior，－back］－－＞「．anterior］）．

7．Deletion
－window［window］is modified int．o［windo］：labiovelar ［w］is deleted．

B．Atdition
－rauch［．l：autf］is modified into［kautfi：］；voiceless affricate［ $\mathrm{t} \int \mathrm{]}$ is added with［i：］．

From the description of the phonological processes above，we can see that the modification done by the student are stopping，devoicing，fronting，alveolarizing， labializing．deletion，and addition．

It can also explain why the segmental substitutions occurs．It indicates that there are different phonological eystems which cause the segmental substitutions of some English sonsonant sounds．

