

TABLE OF CONTENT

INSIDE COVER PAGE	i
INSIDE TITLE PAGE.....	ii
DECLARATION PAGE.....	iii
DEDICATION PAGE.....	iv
THESIS ADVISOR’S APPROVAL PAGE.....	v
THESIS EXAMINERS’ APPROVAL PAGE	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	vii
EPIGRAPH.....	viii
TABLE OF CONTENT.....	ix
LIST OF TABLES.....	xi
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	xii
ABSTRACT.....	xiii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. Background of the Study	1
1.2. Research Problems.....	5
1.3. Objective of the Study	6
1.4. Significance of the Study.....	7
1.5. Definition of Key Terms.....	7
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1. Theoretical Framework.....	10
2.1.1. Cohesion in Written Text.....	10
2.1.2. Lexical Cohesion	11
2.1.2.1. Lexical Cohesion Theories by Halliday and Hasan (1976) and Renkema (2004).....	12
2.1.2.2. Lexical Cohesion in Indonesian	14
2.1.3. Semantic Relations	18
2.1.4. Hearing Impaired Students’ Literacy.....	19
2.1.5. Argumentative paragraph	21
2.2. Review of Related Studies.....	22
CHAPTER III METHOD OF THE STUDY ..	24
3.1. Research Approach	24
3.2. Participants	24
3.3. Technique of Data Collection	25
3.4. Technique of Data Analysis.....	26
CHAPTER IV DISCUSSION.....	29
4.1. Description in Argumentative Paragraph Produced by Hearing Impaired Students.....	30
4.2. The Use of Lexical Cohesion Devices by Hearing Impaired Students	31
4.2.1. Identical Repetition.....	33

4.2.2. Repetition in Other Form	36
4.2.3. Synonymy	37
4.2.4. Hyponymy	38
4.2.5. Antonym	39
4.2.6. Collocation	40
4.3. The Types of Lexical Cohesion which are Mostly Used by the Hearing Impaired Students	41
4.4. Additional Findings Related to Deficiency of hearing Impaired Students in Language Usage in Producing Argumentative Paragraphs	43
4.4.1. The Improper Form of Paragraph	43
4.4.2. Inaccuracy of Hearing Impaired Students in Composing Sentences ...	44
4.4.3. Several Problems related to EYD	45
4.5. Interpretation of the Study	46
 CHAPTER V CONCLUSION & SUGGESTION	 50
5.1. Conclusion	50
5.2. Suggestion.....	51
 REFERENCES	 53
 APPENDICES.....	 55

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1: Total Text Used in the Process of Analysis.....	30
Table 4.2: Types of Lexical Cohesion Used by Hearing Impaired Students.....	32
Table 4.3: Total Number of Reiteration and Collocation.....	41
Table 4.4: Total Number of Each Type of Lexical Cohesion Devices.....	42

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Argumentative paragraph of hearing impaired students.....	54
Appendix 2: Total of lexical cohesion devices in each composition.....	78
Appendix 3: Classification of hearing impairment based on hearing loss	81
Appendix 4: Data of hearing impaired students at grade XII of SMALB-B Karya Mulia Surabaya	82
Appendix 5: Pictures Given to the Hearing Impaired Students.....	83