

The Egyptian Journal of
**Neurology,
Psychiatry and
Neurosurgery**



[About](#) [Contact](#)[Editorial Board](#)

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief

M. Ossama Abdulghani, *Ain Shams University, Egypt*

Honorary Editors-in-Chief

Mohammad S Shehab, *Mansoura University, Egypt*

Hany Aref, *Ain Shams University, Egypt*

Ayman Nassef, *Ain Shams University, Egypt*

Associate Editors

Ahmed M Abdelalim, *Cairo University, Egypt*

Khaled O Abdulghani, *Helwan University, Egypt*

Managing Editors

Reda Badry, *Assiut University, Egypt*

Mona S Kamel, *Ministry of Health, Egypt*

Amr Elsamman, *Cairo University, Egypt*

Mona Kamel, *Ministry of Health, Egypt*

Imen Kacem, *Razi Hospital, Tunisia*

Editorial Board Members

Ahmed Khalifa, *Damascus Hospital, Syria*

Ahmed Okasha, *Ain Shams University, Egypt*

Ali Benomar, *Rabat Specialized Hospital, Morocco*

Chokri Mhiri, *University of Sfax, Tunisia*

Dafin F Mureşanu, *University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania*

El-Sayed Tag-eldin, *Tanta University, Egypt*

Eman Khedr, *Assiut University, Egypt*

Farouk Talaat, *Alexandria University, Egypt*

Gharib Fawi, *Sohag University, Egypt*

Hamdy N El-Tallawy, *Assiut University, Egypt*

Jacques L De Reuck, *Lille University, Belgium*

James A Telmett, *Royal Adelaide University Hospital, Australia*

James F Toole, *Wake Forest University, USA*

Jean-Marc Leger, *University Hospital Pitié-Salpêtrière and University Pierre et Marie Curie, France*

Jeffrey L. Cummings, *Cleveland Clinic Lou Ruvo Center for Brain Health, USA*

Jun Kimura, *University of Iowa, Japan*

Magd F Zakareya, *Ain Shams University, Egypt*

Magdi Edarous, *Zagazig University, Egypt*

Maged Abdelnaseer, *Cairo University, Egypt*

Maurice Dahdaleh, *Al-Khalidi Medical Center, Jordan*

Mohammad S El-Tamawy, *Cairo University, Egypt*

Mohammad Al-Shehab, *The Hashemite University, Jordan*

Nathan B Fountain, *University of Virginia, USA*

Raad Shakir, *Imperial College, UK*

Riadh Gouider, *University of Tunis, Tunisia*

Saeed Bohlega, *King Faisal Specialist Hospital, Saudi Arabia*

Saher Hashem, *Cairo University, Egypt*

Salam Koussa, *Saint-Joseph University, Lebanon*

Saly El-Kholy, *Cairo University, Egypt*

Samia Ashour, *Ain Shams University, Egypt*

Samir Belal, *National Institute of Neurology, Tunisia*

Zuheir El-Kawi, *King Faisal Specialized Hospital, Saudi Arabia*

Ammar Ahmed, *University of Khartoum, Sudan*

Augustina Charway-Felli, *African Academy of Neurology, Ghana*

Emad Estemalik, *Cleveland Clinic, USA*

Hassan Hosny, *Cairo University, Egypt*

Nijedeka Okubadejo, *University of Lagos, Nigeria*

Oscar Arias-Carrión, *Hospital General "Dr. Manuel Gea González", Mexico*

Osheik Seidi, *University of Khartoum, Sudan*

Submit manuscript

[Editorial Board](#)

[Sign up for article alerts and news from this journal](#)

Associated Society



[The Egyptian Society of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery.](#)

Annual Journal Metrics

2022 Citation Impact

1.3 - 2-year Impact Factor

1.3 - 5-year Impact Factor

0.442 - SNIP (Source Normalized Impact per Paper)

0.299 - SJR (SCImago Journal Rank)

2023 Speed

15 days submission to first editorial decision for all manuscripts (Median)

154 days submission to accept (Median)

2023 Usage

807,768 downloads

245 Altmetric mentions

Egyptian Knowledge Bank (EKB) Journals



Egyptian Knowledge Bank
بنك المعرفة المصري

Visit our collection of [Egyptian journals](#).

ISSN: 1687-8329 (electronic)

[Support and Contact](#)

[Terms and conditions](#)

[Jobs](#)

[Privacy statement](#)

[Language editing for authors](#)

[Accessibility](#)

[Scientific editing for authors](#)

[Cookies](#)

[Leave feedback](#)

Follow SpringerOpen



By using this website, you agree to our [Terms and Conditions](#), [Your US state privacy rights](#), [Privacy statement](#) and [Cookies policy](#). [Your privacy choices/Manage cookies](#) we use in the preference centre.


SPRINGER NATURE

© 2024 BioMed Central Ltd unless otherwise stated. Part of [Springer Nature](#).

Articles

[Search by keyword](#)[Search by citation](#)

Search The Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery

Volume 57 (2021) [Search](#)

179 result(s)

within Volume 57 of The Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery

Page 1 of 4

Sort by

Newest first 

[Post-SARS-CoV-2 vaccination venous sinus thrombosis: a literature review of 308 cases](#)

There is accumulating evidence that SARS-CoV-2 vaccinations can be complicated by venous sinus thrombosis (VST). This review aimed at summarising and discussing previous and recent advances regarding the diagn...

Josef Finsterer and Sinda Zarrouk

The Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery 2021 57:179

Review | Published on: 20 December 2021

[Full Text](#) [PDF](#)

[Characteristics of COVID and post COVID polyneuropathies in adults and pediatrics: an Egyptian sample](#)

The aim of this study is to describe the different forms of polyneuropathy associated with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) as a secondary neurological complication for (COVID-19) and the outcome from differen...

Haidy Elshebawy, Mohamed Y. Ezzeldin and Eman Hassan Elzamarany

The Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery 2021 57:178

Research | Published on: 20 December 2021

[Full Text](#) [PDF](#)

[Psychological factors affecting COVID-19 vaccine acceptance in Indonesia](#)

Increasing the rate of vaccination is crucial in combating the COVID-19 pandemic. However, a survey of 112,888 Indonesians found that only 64.8% Indonesians were willing to be vaccinated, with 7.6% refusing al...

Theo Audi Yanto, Gilbert Sterling Octavius, Rivaldo Steven Heriyanto, Catherine Ienawi, Haviza Nisa and H. Emildan Pasai

The Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery 2021 57:177

Research | Published on: 20 December 2021

[Full Text](#) [PDF](#)

[A demographic profile of cervical injury: an Indonesian single tertiary hospital study with 6 months to 1-year follow-up](#)

Spinal cord injury (SCI) can cause considerable morbidity and mortality. Until now there is no spinal cord injury profile in Indonesia. Therefore, this study aims to provide an overview of the spinal cord inju...

Lukas Widhiyanto, Aliefio Japamadisaw and Kukuh Dwiputra Hernugrahanto

The Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery 2021 57:176

Research | Published on: 20 December 2021

[Full Text](#) > [PDF](#)

[Role of imaging in early diagnosis of acute ischemic stroke: a literature review](#)

Stroke is a serious health condition that is responsible for more than 5% of total deaths. Near 20% of patients experiencing stroke die every year, resulting in the stroke being at the top of the list of preve...

Mohammad Amin Akbarzadeh, Sarvin Sanaie, Mahshid Kuchaki Rafsanjani and Mohammad-Salar Hosseini

The Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery 2021 57:175

Review | Published on: 20 December 2021

[Full Text](#) > [PDF](#)

[The impact of clinical scales in Parkinson's disease: a systematic review](#)

Parkinson's disease is one of the non-curable diseases and occurs by the prominent loss of neurotransmitter (dopamine) in substantia nigra pars compacta (SNpc). The main cause behind this is not yet identified...

Nikita Aggarwal, Barjinder Singh Saini and Savita Gupta

The Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery 2021 57:174

Review | Published on: 19 December 2021

[Full Text](#) > [PDF](#)

[Eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis \(Churg–Strauss syndrome\) imitating Guillain–Barre syndrome \(GBS\): a case report](#)

Eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis (EGPA) is associated with vasculitic neuropathy and being rare can present as subacute symmetric sensorimotor quadriparesis mimicking Guillain–Barre syndrome (GBS)...

Dinesh Khandelwal, Mridula Singh, Ruchi Jagota and Vaibhav Mathur

The Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery 2021 57:173

Case Report | Published on: 18 December 2021

[Full Text](#) > [PDF](#)

[Sleep in post-COVID-19 recovery period and its impact on different domains of quality of life](#)

COVID-19 pandemic became a global health problem affecting the life of millions of people all over the world. The effects of this pandemic were not only on the physical and medical aspects but also on the psyc...

Samir El Sayed, Sarah Goma, Doaa Shokry, Ahmed Kabil and Ahmed Eissa

The Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery 2021 57:172

Research | Published on: 14 December 2021

[Full Text](#) > [PDF](#)

[Adequate antibody response to BioNTech COVID vaccine in a multiple sclerosis patient treated with siponimod](#)

Gulnaz Siddiqui, Heidi Maloni and Victor E. Nava

The Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery 2021 57:171

Letter to the Editor | Published on: 14 December 2021

[Full Text](#) > [PDF](#)

[The possible relation between stigma, parent psychiatric symptoms, quality of life and the disease burden in families of children with autism spectrum disorder in Egypt: a multicentre study](#)

Most autism spectrum disorder (ASD) interventions evaluated child outcomes and ignoring the role of parent and family factors on both the immediate- and long-term effects of therapy. The purpose of this study ...

Romany H. Gabra, Doaa F. Hashem and Gellan K. Ahmed

The Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery 2021 57:170

RESEARCH

Open Access



A demographic profile of cervical injury: an Indonesian single tertiary hospital study with 6 months to 1-year follow-up

Lukas Widhiyanto^{*}, Aliefio Japamadisaw and Kukuh Dwiputra Hernugrahanto

Abstract

Background: Spinal cord injury (SCI) can cause considerable morbidity and mortality. Until now there is no spinal cord injury profile in Indonesia. Therefore, this study aims to provide an overview of the spinal cord injury profile as well as to analyze the functional outcome at the sixth month and the first year.

Results: Most spinal cord injury cases were traumatic SCI (67.5%). Meanwhile, non-traumatic SCI was 32.5%. The mean age of patients who had traumatic SCI was 41.9 ± 17.4 years while non-traumatic SCI patients was 48.4 ± 13.7 with a significant difference ($p < 0.05$). Most cases occurred in men rather than women with significant differences based on the type of injury ($p < 0.05$). Traffic accidents were the most common cause of cervical injuries (47.1%). Surgery was the most common treatment modality in cervical injury cases (60.4%) with the posterior approach being the preferred approach in most operative measures (72.4%). Respiratory failure was the leading cause of death (48.9%). The mean LOS of patients with traumatic SCI was 28.8 ± 14.3 days while the mean LOS of non-traumatic SCI patients was 44.7 ± 28.7 with a significant difference ($p < 0.05$). There was significance difference between the initial outcome and after the sixth month to first year follow-up ($p < 0.05$).

Conclusions: This study demonstrated the epidemiology and characteristics of spinal cord injury which mostly had a good neurological outcome.

Keywords: Cervical Injury, Frankel, Spinal Cord Injury

Background

Spinal cord injury (SCI) can cause considerable morbidity and mortality. Spinal cord injury (SCI) can be non-traumatic or traumatic. In non-traumatic cases, generally in the form of degenerative diseases, infections and neoplasms [1]. The most common causes of traumatic SCI are traffic accidents, falls, diving and vigorous sports. There is a tendency for spinal cord injuries to occur more in men, this result may be due to men consuming more alcohol, have a habit of driving at high speeds and participating in sports with a high risk of injury [2, 3].

There are no data that clearly explains the profile of spinal cord injuries, whether caused by trauma or non-trauma, starting from demographic data to outcomes obtained by patients in Indonesia, especially at Dr. Soetomo Hospital, Surabaya. Spinal cord injury profile data is very important, because it can be used as educational information and evaluation of spinal cord injury management. Therefore, this study aims to provide an overview of the spinal cord injury profile in patients at Dr. Soetomo General Hospital in Surabaya for the period 2017–2019.

Methods

This research was conducted at Dr. Soetomo General Hospital in Surabaya, Indonesia. This is a retrospective study which was conducted with a descriptive and

*Correspondence: lukas-w@fk.unair.ac.id
Department of Orthopedic and Traumatology, Dr. Soetomo General Hospital/Airlangga University, Surabaya, Indonesia

analytical design. The analysis focused on patient-related data including age, sex, mechanism of injury, type & level of injury, type of therapy, length of stay, and patient outcome (live, died and neurological status).

This study used secondary data obtained from the patient’s medical records in the Medical Records Section and the Outpatient Installation of Dr. Soetomo General Hospital. Ethical approval for this study was obtained from Health Research Ethics Committee—Dr. Soetomo General Hospital (1802/KEPK/I/2020). This study using total sampling. The collected data were then analyzed descriptively. The age, sex, and the outcome data were also analyzed analytically using SPSS.

Results

Based on the data obtained, the number of samples that should be used is 144 based on the index data of the Spine Division of the Orthopedics & Traumatology Department, with 142 samples available in the data collection time period. Among the available samples, 126 data (88.7%) were valid based on predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Based on the data found, traumatic SCI was the most common type of cervical injury, with a total of 85 cases (67.5%), while non-traumatic SCI had a total of 41 cases (32.5%).

According to the data shown in Table 1, spinal cord injury cases occurred most frequently in the 35–54 years age group with a total of 55 cases (43.7%). The mean age of patients with traumatic SCI was 41.9 ± 17.4 years, while the mean age of non-traumatic SCI patients was 48.4 ± 13.7. According to *t* test, it had a significant difference (*p* = 0.025).

Spinal cord injury cases were more common in male patients with 100 cases (79.4%) mostly traumatic SCI, while for female gender, 26 cases (20.6%) were found with details of each of the 13 patients in both types of

cervical injury. From this data, a Chi Square test was performed and the *p* value was obtained = 0.033 (*p* < 0.05), which means that there were significant differences in the number of male and female groups based on the type of injury.

In Table 2, it can be seen that the most common injury mechanisms causing traumatic SCI were traffic accidents in 40 cases (47.1%), followed by falls from a height of 32 cases (37.6%), and heavy objects hit by 7 cases (8.2%) and simple fall as many as 6 cases (7.1%). traumatic SCI were more common in the lower cervical as many as 71 cases (83.5%) compared to upper cervical as many as 14 cases (16.5%) with a ratio of 5: 1. The cause of non-traumatic SCI was mostly caused by degenerative diseases in 25 cases (62%), followed by neoplasms in 9 cases (22%), infection in 6 cases (14.6%) and congenital disease in 1 case (2.4%).

A total of 83 patients (65.9%) were alive after undergoing treatment at Dr. Soetomo General Hospital, with details of 22 patients undergoing conservative therapy and 61 patients undergoing operative therapy. Meanwhile, there were 43 patients (34.1%) who died after undergoing treatment at the Dr. Soetomo General Hospital with details as followed; 3 patients had conservative therapy, 15 patients underwent operative therapy, and 25 patients had not undergone surgery.

Based on Table 3, there were 25 patients who had not had surgery (19.8%), because the patient died before surgery, 25 patients (19.8%) received conservative therapy with details of 22 traumatic SCI patients and 3 patients with non-traumatic SCI. Meanwhile, of the 76 patients who received operative therapy, 21 cases (27.6%) had an anterior approach in surgery with details as followed; 7 patients with traumatic SCI and 14 non-traumatic SCI patients. The remaining 55 cases (72.4%) had a posterior

Table 1 Distribution of data by age and sex

| Variable | Total (n) | | Total | (%) |
|----------|---------------|-------------------|-------|------|
| | Traumatic SCI | Non traumatic SCI | | |
| Age | | | | |
| 0–14 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3.2 |
| 15–34 | 27 | 4 | 31 | 24.6 |
| 35–54 | 33 | 22 | 55 | 43.7 |
| 55–74 | 21 | 13 | 34 | 26.9 |
| ≥ 75 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1.6 |
| Sex | | | | |
| Men | 72 | 28 | 100 | 79.4 |
| Women | 13 | 13 | 26 | 20.6 |

Table 2 Distribution of data based on mechanism of injury

| Variable | Total (n) | (%) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------|
| Mechanism of injury traumatic SCI | | |
| Trivial Injury | 6 | 7.1 |
| Fall from Height | 32 | 37.6 |
| Traffic Accident | 40 | 47.1 |
| Heavy objects hit | 7 | 8.2 |
| Level of injury traumatic SCI | | |
| Lower Cervical | 71 | 83.5 |
| Upper Cervical | 14 | 16.5 |
| Causes of non traumatic SCI | | |
| Degenerative | 25 | 62 |
| Infection | 6 | 14.6 |
| Neoplasms | 9 | 22 |
| Congenital disease | 1 | 2.4 |

Table 3 Distribution of data based on treatment modalities, surgical approach and causes of death

| Variable | Total (n) | | Total | % |
|----------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------|------|
| | Traumatic SCI | Non traumatic SCI | | |
| Treatment modalities | | | | |
| Surgery not done | 21 | 4 | 25 | 19.8 |
| Conservative | 22 | 3 | 25 | 19.8 |
| Operative | 42 | 34 | 76 | 60.4 |
| Surgical approach | | | | |
| Anterior | 7 | 14 | 21 | 27.6 |
| Posterior | 35 | 20 | 55 | 72.4 |
| Causes of death | | | | |
| Respiratory failure | 18 | 3 | 21 | 48.9 |
| Cardiovascular | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2.3 |
| Sepsis | 19 | 1 | 20 | 46.5 |
| Neurogenic shock | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2.3 |

approach to surgery with details as followed; 35 traumatic SCI patients and 20 non-traumatic SCI patients. From the total 43 patients who died, the leading cause of death for cervical injuries was respiratory failure (21 cases, 48.9%).

Table 4 shows the difference between the degree of injury at the initial measurement, which is at the time of completion of hospitalization with the degree of injury at follow-up 6 months to 1 year after discharged. From the statistical analysis of the Chi square test, it was found that there was a significant difference between the initial injury degree group and the 6 months to 1-year follow-up injury degree group after discharged with a value of

$p=0.000$ ($p<0.05$). This could be seen from the number of patients. From 6 patients in the Frankel A group, who were followed up after discharged, 2 patients improved to Frankel D, 2 patients improved to Frankel C and 2 patients improved to Frankel B. From 9 patients in Frankel B group, 2 patients improved to Frankel E, 2 patients improved to Frankel D, 3 patients improved to Frankel C, and 2 patients were still in Frankel B. From 16 patients in Frankel C group, 5 patients improved to Frankel E, 5 patients improved to Frankel D, and 6 patients were still in Frankel C. From 22 patients in Frankel D group, 13 patients improved to Frankel E, 8 were still in Frankel D. However, there was 1 patient who deteriorated to Frankel C after followed up.

In the variable length of stay (LOS), using Mann Whitney test, Cervical patients who survived for 35.7 ± 23.0 days and who died for 19.3 ± 16.7 days with a significant difference ($p=0.000$).

Table 5 showed the mean Length of Stay (LOS) of cervical injury patients who survived for 35.7 ± 23.0 days

Table 5 Differences between mean length of stay (LOS) in cervical injury patients

| LOS | Mean LOS \pm SD (day) | Min. (day) | Max (day) | |
|-------------------|-------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Survived | 35.7 ± 23.0 | 6 | 123 | $p=0.000$ |
| Dead | 19.3 ± 16.7 | 1 | 65 | |
| Traumatic SCI | 28.8 ± 14.3 | 7 | 66 | $p=0.004$ |
| Non traumatic SCI | 44.7 ± 28.7 | 6 | 123 | |

Table 4 Differences between degrees of injury according to Frankel scale

| | Frankel 6 months–1 year after discharge | | | | Total | |
|-----------------|---|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| | B | C | D | E | | |
| Initial Frankel | | | | | | |
| A | 2 2.4% | 2 2.4% | 2 2.4% | 0 0% | 6 7.2% | $p=0.000$ |
| B | 2 2.4% | 3 3.6% | 2 2.4% | 2 2.4% | 9 10.8% | |
| C | 0 0% | 6 7.2% | 5 6.0% | 5 6.0% | 16 19.3% | |
| D | 0 0% | 1 1.2% | 8 9.6% | 13 15.7% | 22 26.5% | |
| E | 0 0% | 0 0% | 0 0% | 30 36.1% | 30 36.1% | |
| Total | 4 | 12 | 17 | 50 | 83 | |

and who died for 19.3 ± 16.7 days with a significant difference ($p = 0.000$). Meanwhile, the mean LOS of patients with traumatic SCI was 28.8 ± 14.3 and the mean LOS of non-traumatic SCI patients was 44.7 ± 28.7 with a significant difference ($p = 0.004$).

Discussions

In this study, out of 126 total spinal cord injury patients that we could evaluate, it was found that the number of traumatic SCI cases was higher than that of non-traumatic SCI, namely, 67.5%. This was in line with research conducted by Ones et al. [4] and Cosar et al. [5]. However, this result was different from research conducted by Ge et al. where the total number of non-traumatic SCI cases from 2003 to 2014 was greater than SCI caused by trauma in Rochester, United States [6].

According to the results obtained, the mean age of patients with traumatic SCI was younger than the mean age of non-traumatic SCI patients, namely, 41.9 years and 48.4 years. This result was in line with a study conducted by Cosar et al. [5]. Where the mean age of traumatic SCI patients was 37 years and was significantly younger than the average age of non-traumatic SCI patients. In a study conducted by van den Berg et al. in 2010, it showed a bimodal age distribution. The first peak was found in young adults between 15 and 29 years, mostly caused by traffic accidents. The second peak in older adults, aged more than 65 years, mostly caused by falls. Meanwhile, non-traumatic SCI was more common in elderly patients, because non-traumatic SCI was more often caused by age-related pathologies, such as tumors, degenerative diseases and vascular disorders [7].

In this study, men were more likely to experience cervical injuries than women with a ratio of 4: 1. In both traumatic SCI and non-traumatic SCI, men were found to be more at risk of developing SCI. The data found by Igho et al. shows the same thing, where men had a higher risk and number of fracture events due to their high participation in physical activities, such as construction work, motorbike riding, and others [8].

Traffic accidents were the main cause of traumatic SCI incidence in this study. There were 47.1% of traumatic SCI caused by traffic accidents while falling from a height was the next most common cause of fracture at 37.6%. These results were in line with a meta-analysis study conducted in the Middle East and North Africa, where in almost all countries the main cause of traumatic SCI events was traffic accidents [9]. According to Nantulya, the causes of the high number of traffic accidents in developing countries were the increasing number of motor vehicles, poor enforcement of traffic safety regulations, and poor road infrastructure [10].

In this study, it was found that traumatic SCI were more common in the lower cervical, namely, C3–C7 as many as 71 patients (83.5%) while the upper cervical or C1 and C2 were 14 patients (16.5%). These results were in line with research conducted by Wang, in China. From 2001 to 2010, 656 patients (70.9%) had lower cervical fractures and 269 (29.1%) upper cervical fractures [11]. The large number of fractures of the lower cervical spine was due to the biomechanical weakness of the cervical spine and a lot of movement in this region [12].

Non-traumatic SCI was caused by various conditions including degenerative diseases, neoplasms, infections, congenital diseases and vascular diseases. A research in the United States stated that the most common cause of non-traumatic SCI was degenerative disease [6]. The results of this study also showed a similar result in which 62% of non-traumatic SCI patients were caused by a degenerative disease. However, in other studies it was also mentioned that neoplasms were the most common cause [13].

The total number of cervical injury patients at Dr Soetomo General Hospital from 2017 to 2019 was 126 patients. Of the total 126 patients, 83 patients survived either after conservative or operative treatment, and 43 patients who died, either those who had definitive therapy or those who had not. The most common causes of death in this study were respiratory failure and sepsis. The results of this study were in line with research conducted by Savic et al. where 29.3% of 2170 patients from 1943 to 2010 in England died from respiratory failure [14], while according to Thietie et al. The most common cause of death in SCI patients in their study was sepsis [15]. Respiratory failure in SCI was caused by several mechanisms including weakness of the respiratory muscles, impaired cardiovascular function and autonomic nerves, pulmonary edema, prolonged bed rest, and impaired cough function [16]. While sepsis in cervical injury patients could be caused by several pathologies, including urinary tract infection due to repeated insertion of Foley catheters or impairment of bladder emptying, skin and soft tissue infection, such as pressure ulcer, surgical osteomyelitis, and pneumonia due to sputum retention [17].

In this study there were 76 patients (60.4%) who underwent surgery, 25 patients (19.8%) underwent conservative therapy, and 25 patients (19.8%) died before surgery. Of the 76 patients who underwent surgery 55 patients (72.4%) via the posterior approach and 21 patients (27.6%) via the anterior approach. Either an anterior or a posterior approach can be chosen for stabilization of an unstable cervical injury based on the preference of the surgeon, indication and condition of the patient [18].

The mean length of stay (LOS) of traumatic SCI in this study was 28.8 ± 14.3 days. The mean length of stay (LOS) of non-traumatic SCI was 44.7 ± 28.7 days. There was a significant difference between the mean LOS of traumatic SCI and non-traumatic SCI patients ($p = 0.004$). This result was different from a study, conducted by Celani et al. where LOS in traumatic SCI was significantly longer than LOS in non-traumatic SCI. This might be because traumatic SCI was usually accompanied by other accompanying injuries, such as abdominal trauma, thoracic trauma, and multiple fractures [13]. Different results were shown in this study, because the majority of non-traumatic SCI patients had other comorbidities, such as neurological or metabolic disease.

In this study also found a significant improvement in neurological scores at 6 months to 1-year follow-up after discharged. The restoration of normal motor function depended on the re-organization of the remaining spinal circuit ring. This ability to reorganize, commonly referred to as plasticity, was thought to have a profound effect on recovery of function after injury, as well as learning and memory in the undamaged central nervous system. In undamaged nerves, there were changes in synaptic function and the pattern of connections between synapses within the nerve circuit ring. In addition, there was growth or sprouting of undamaged axons as compensation for injured axons [19].

Conclusions

This study was able to detect some interesting correlations that will be able to guide, especially primary care physicians in their initial diagnostic work up. However, this study also had limitations, the most obvious being the dependence upon the quality of the data recorded in the medical records.

Abbreviations

SCI: Spinal cord injury; LOS: Length of stay.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

LW conceived the ideas of the study, provide grammatical revisions to manuscript. AJ performed the data collection, analysis, interpretation and research funding. KDH provided revisions to scientific content of manuscript & access to crucial research components. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Funding

This research is self-funded.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from Health Research Ethics Committee—Dr. Soetomo General Hospital (1802/KEPK/I/2020)

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 7 June 2021 Accepted: 3 December 2021

Published online: 20 December 2021

References

- Mineiro J. Apley & solomon's system of orthopaedics and trauma. 10th ed. New York: CRC Press; 2018.
- Kumar K, Subrahmanyam B, Phanindra S. Demographic pattern, clinical profile and outcome of traumatic spinal cord injuries at a tertiary care hospital. *Rom Neurosurg*. 2015;29(3):312–7.
- Bickenbach J, Officer A, Shakespeare T. International perspectives on spinal cord injury. Malta: World Health Organization; 2013.
- Ones K. Comparison of functional results in non-traumatic and traumatic spinal cord injury. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2007;29(15):1185–91.
- Cosar S, Yemisci O, Oztop P. Demographic characteristics after traumatic and non-traumatic spinal cord injury: a retrospective comparison study. *Spinal Cord*. 2010;48(12):862–6.
- Ge L, Arul K, Ikpeze T, Baldwin A, Nickels J, Mesfin A. Traumatic and nontraumatic spinal cord injuries. *World Neurosurg*. 2018;111:142–8.
- van den Berg M, Castellote J, Mahillo-Fernandez I, de Pedro-Cuesta J. Incidence of spinal cord injury worldwide: a systematic review. *Neuroepidemiology*. 2010;34(3):184–92.
- Igho O, Isaac O, Eronimeh O. Road traffic accidents and bone fractures in Ughelli, Nigeria. *Sciences IOSR J Dent Med*. 2015;14(4):21–5.
- Elshahidi M, Monir N, Elzhery M. Epidemiological characteristics of traumatic spinal cord injury (TSCI) in the Middle-East and North-Africa (MENA) region: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Bull Emerg Trauma*. 2018;6(2):75–89.
- Nantulya V. The neglected epidemic: road traffic injuries in developing countries. *BMJ*. 2002;324(7346):1139–41.
- Wang H, Xiang Q, Li C, Zhou Y. Epidemiology of traumatic cervical spinal fractures and risk factors for traumatic cervical spinal cord injury in China. *J Spinal Disord Tech*. 2013;26(8):306–13.
- Feuchtbaum E, Buchowski J, Zebala L. Subaxial cervical spine trauma. *Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med*. 2016;9(4):496–504.
- Celani M, Spizzichino L, Ricci S, Zampolini M, Franceschini M. Retrospective Study Group on SCI. Spinal cord injury in Italy: a multicenter retrospective study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2001;82(5):589–96.
- Savic G, DeVivo M, Frankel H, Jamous M, Soni B, Charlifue S. Causes of death after traumatic spinal cord injury—a 70-year British study. *Spinal Cord*. 2017;55(10):891–7.
- Thietje R, Pouw M, Schulz A. Mortality in patients with traumatic spinal cord injury: descriptive analysis of 62 deceased subjects. *J Spinal Cord Med*. 2011;34(5):482–7.
- Berlowitz D. Respiratory problems and management in people with spinal cord injury. *Breathe*. 2016;12(4):328.
- Abbasi F, Korooni S. Infectious complications after spinal cord injury. *IntechOpen Essentials Spinal Cord Inj Med*; 2017.
- Brodke D. Comparison of anterior and posterior approaches in cervical spinal cord injuries. *J Spinal Disord Tech*. 2003;16(3):229–35.
- Dietz V, Colombo G. Recovery from spinal cord injury—underlying mechanisms and efficacy of rehabilitation. *Acta Neurochir Suppl*. 2004;89:95–100.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**KOMITE ETIK PENELITIAN KESEHATAN
RSUD Dr. SOETOMO SURABAYA**

**KETERANGAN KELAIKAN ETIK
(" ETHICAL CLEARANCE ")**

1802/KEPK/I/2020

**KOMITE ETIK RSUD Dr. SOETOMO SURABAYA TELAH MEMPELAJARI
SECARA SEKSAMA RANCANGAN PENELITIAN YANG DIUSULKAN, MAKA
DENGAN INI MENYATAKAN BAHWA PENELITIAN DENGAN JUDUL :**

" Profil Cedera Cervical di RSUD Dr Soetomo Surabaya periode tahun 2017-2019 "

PENELITI UTAMA : Lukas Widhiyanto, dr., Sp.OT(K)

PENELITI LAIN : 1. Aliefio Japamadisaw, dr

UNIT / LEMBAGA / TEMPAT PENELITIAN : RSUD Dr. Soetomo

DINYATAKAN LAIK ETIK

Berlaku dari : 26/01/2020 s.d 26/01/2021

Surabaya, 26 January 2020

KETUA



(Dr. Rizous Mahindito, dr., Sp.An, KIC,KAP)

NIP. 19511007 197903 1 002

****) Sertifikat ini dinyatakan sah apabila telah mendapatkan stempel asli dari Komite Etik
Penelitian Kesehatan***