

TESIS

**ANALISIS SAFE BEHAVIOR PADA PENERAPAN ALAT PELINDUNG
DIRI UNTUK PEKERJAAN DI KETINGGIAN (*WORKING AT HEIGHT*)
DENGAN METODE DO-IT DI PT.X**



OCTAVIANUS HUTAPEA

**UNIVERSITAS AIRLANGGA
FAKULTAS KESEHATAN MASYARAKAT
PROGRAM MAGISTER
PROGRAM STUDI KESEHATAN DAN KESELAMATAN KERJA
SURABAYA
2015**

TESIS

**ANALISIS SAFE BEHAVIOR PADA PENERAPAN ALAT PELINDUNG
DIRI UNTUK PEKERJAAN DI KETINGGIAN (*WORKING AT HEIGHT*)
DENGAN METODE *DO-IT* DI PT.X**



**OCTAVIANUS HUTAPEA
NIM101214253010**

**UNIVERSITAS AIRLANGGA
FAKULTAS KESEHATAN MASYARAKAT
PROGRAM MAGISTER
PROGRAM STUDI KESEHATAN DAN KESELAMATAN KERJA
SURABAYA
2015**

**ANALISIS SAFE BEHAVIOR PADA PENERAPAN ALAT PELINDUNG
DIRI UNTUK PEKERJAAN DI KETINGGIAN (*WORKING AT HEIGHT*)
DENGAN METODE DO-IT DI PT.X**

TESIS

**Untukmemperolehgelar Magister KesehatandanKeselamatanKerja
Program StudiKesehatan dan Keselamatan Kerja
Fakultas Kesehatan Masyarakat
Universitas Airlangga**

Oleh :

**OCTAVIANUS HUTAPEA
NIM101214253010**

**UNIVERSITAS AIRLANGGA
FAKULTAS KESEHATAN MASYARAKAT
PROGRAM MAGISTER
PROGRAM STUDI KESEHATAN DAN KESELAMATAN KERJA
SURABAYA
2015**

PENGESAHAN

**Dipertahankan di depan Tim Pengaji Tesis
Program Studi Kesehatan dan Keselamatan Kerja
Fakultas Kesehatan Masyarakat Universitas Airlangga
dan diterima untuk memenuhi persyaratan guna memperoleh gelar
Magister Kesehatan dan Keselamatan Kerja (M.KKK)
Pada tanggal 22 Juni 2015**

Mengesahkan

**Universitas Airlangga
Fakultas Kesehatan Masyarakat**

Dekan

**Prof. Dr. Tri Martiana, dr., M.S
NIP 195603031987012001**

Tim Pengaji:

Ketua	:	Dr. Y. Denny Ardyanto W, Ir., M.S
Anggota	:	1. Prof. Dr. H. Tjipto Suwandi, dr., M.OH, Sp.OK 2. Linda Dewanti, dr., M.Kes., MHSc., Ph.D 3. Dr. Arief Wibowo, dr., M.S 4. Dr. Abdul Rohim Tualeka, Drs., M.Kes 5. Sahabat Sutanto, S.KM., M.Kes

PERSETUJUAN

TESIS
Diajukansebagaisalahsatusyaratuntukmemperolehgelar
Magister KesehatandanKeselamatanKerja (M.KKK)
Program StudiKesehatandanKeselamatanKerja
FakultasKesehatanMasyarakat
UniversitasAirlangga

Oleh :

OCTAVIANUS HUTAPEA
NIM101214253010

Menyetujui,
Surabaya,22Juni2015

Pembimbing Ketua

Pembimbing

Prof.Dr.H.TjiptoSoewandi,dr.,M.OH.,Sp.OK
NIP 194611171974121001

Linda Dewanti,dr.,M.Kes.,MHSc.,Ph.D
NIP 196712271997022001

Mengetahui,
Ketua Program StudiKesehatandanKeselamatan Kerja

Dr. Y. Denny Ardyanto W., Ir., M.S.
NIP 196312151998021001

PERNYATAAN TENTANG ORISINALITAS

Yang bertandatangan dibawahini, saya:

Nama	:	Octavianus Hutapea
Nim	:	101214253010
Program studi	:	KesehatandanKeselamatanKerja
Angkatan	:	2012
Jenjang	:	Magister

Menyatakan bahwasanya tidak melakukan kegiatan plagiat dalam penulisannya yang berjudul:

ANALISIS *SAFE BEHAVIOR* PADA PENERAPAN ALAT PELINDUNG DIRI UNTUK PEKERJAAN DI KETINGGIAN (*WORKING AT HEIGHT*) DENGAN METODE *DO-IT* DI PT.X

Apabila suatu saat nanti saya terbukti melakukan tindakan plagiat, maka saya akan menerima sanksi yang telah ditetapkan.

Demikian surat pernyataan ini saya buat dengan sebenar-benarnya.

Surabaya, 22 Juni 2015

Octavianus Hutapea

KATA PENGANTAR

Pujis yukur kehadirat Tuhan Yang Maha Kuasa atas Karunia-Nya sehingga penyusunan tesis dengan judul “ANALISIS *SAFE BEHAVIOR* PADA PENERAPAN ALAT PELINDUNG DIRI UNTUK PEKERJAAN DI KETINGGIAN (*WORKING AT HEIGHT*) DENGAN METODE *DO-IT* DI PT.X” ini dapat terselesaikan.

Tesis ini berisikan mengenai hasil analisis *safe behavior* pada penerapan alat pelindung diri untuk pekerjaan di ketinggian (*working at height*). Tesis ini bertujuan untuk melakukan analisis *safe behavior* pada penerapan alat pelindung diri untuk pekerjaan *working at height* dengan metode *DO-IT*. Hasil penelitian ini akan digunakan sebagai bahan untuk melaksanakan tindak lanjut di perusahaan dan lampu peningkatan pelaksanaan program BBS.

Ucapan terimakasih yang tak terhingga gasaya sampaikan kepada Prof. Dr. H. Tjipto Suwandi, dr., M.OH., Sp.OK selaku pembimbing ketua yang dengankesabaran dan perhatian yang dalam memberikan bimbingan dan saran hingga tesis ini dapat terselesaikan dengan baik. Ucapan terimakasih yang tak terhingga juga gasaya sampaikan kepada Linda Dewanti, dr., M.Kes., MHSc., Ph.D selaku pembimbing kedua yang telah banyak meluangkan waktu untuk memberikan bimbingan, dengan sabar serta tamam otivasi dan saran demi kesempurnaan tesis ini.

Dengan terselesaikantesisini, perkenankan saya mengucapkan terimakasih yang sebesar-besarnya kepada :

1. Prof. Dr. Moh. Nasih, SE., MT., Ak., selaku Rektor Universitas Airlangga.
2. Prof. Dr. Tri Martiana, dr., M.S., selaku Dekan Fakultas Kesehatan Masyarakat, Universitas Airlangga.
3. Dr. Y. Denny Ardyanto W., Ir., M.S., selaku Ketua Program Studi Kesehatan dan Keselamatan Kerja, Fakultas Kesehatan Masyarakat, Universitas Airlangga.
4. Para tim pengujian tasksediaan mengujidan membimbing dalam perbaikan tesis ini.
5. Seluruh staff Fakultas Kesehatan Masyarakat, Universitas Airlangga.
6. Keluarga tercinta, istriku Hendrawaty Siregar dan kedua buah hatiku Clara Gresita Hutapea dan Michael Christoper Hutapea yang selalu mendukung dalam doa serta berperan dalam memberikan semangat dan dorongan.
7. Sahabat seangkatan yang saling mendukung dan memberikan semangat. Demikian, semoga tesis ini dapat memberikan manfaat bagi diri kami sendiri dan pihak lain yang menggunakan karya.

Surabaya, 22 Juli 2015

Penulis

SUMMARY

SAFE BEHAVIOR ANALYSIS USING DO-IT METHOD ON THE APPLICATION OF PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT FOR WORKING AT HEIGHTIN PT.X

Preventive maintenanceprogram was one of the programsimplementedin theoil andgasindustry, the purpose was to support operational systemsin order to maintainandfunctioning the equipment in properly manner. The program was createdto support theoperatingsystemthat focuses onmaintenance, repairsand modifications based onscheduledoperationalequipment. Most of themaintenanceandrepairs were carried outin a positionthatcan not be reachedwithnormalbodysizeas ahuman being, so we called it as working at heights activities categories.

During implementation of job working at height the employer must a wear as far as possible to provide and maintain a safe workplace by providing clear information and warning systems, training, supervision and personal protective equipment (PPE), accordance to the type of work. Working at height (WAH) always as health and safety concern in all sector industries, because the accident occurred not only inoil and gas industry but also covers to other industrial sectors such as construction, mining, services and other sectors.The purpose of this study to analyze the implementation of safe behavior on personal protective equipment for work at height with DO-IT (Define, Observe, Intervene, Test)method in PT.X. Benefit of this research was to give an overview of the behavior and determine the safe behavior factorsof worker.

The highest age of workers was 41 years old and the lowest age of workers was 25 years old. Workers with the longest working periodwas 19 years and the shortest working period was at least 2 years. 1 worker (6.67%) had a primary school education , six workers (40 %) had secondary school education , 5 workers (33.33 %) had a high school education , and three workers (20 %) had a college education. 11 workers (73.3%) could well define how to work safely at heights. Observation results used Critical Behavioral Checklist (CBC), which was developed according to the case study, showed that 8 workers (53.3%) working under the safe standard of behavior index.

The mean total score of safe behavior index (SBI), the worker is 77.53%, so it was said still below the safe standard of behavior, the average highest score was score of 93.75% and the lowest rate was 68.75%. The number of workers which work in accordance the standards of safe behavior in 2014 amounted to 3 workers, while the number of workers who work not in accordance the standards of safe behavior in 2014 amounted to 12 workers. The majority of workers which

safe behavior is still below the standards in the age range 31-40 years, at the junior high education level and length of employment <5 years.

The mean total score of safe behavior index (SBI) after intervention program, the worker was 82.73%, so it was said still below the safe standard of behavior, while the highest mean score was 94.25% and the lowest was 71.25%. The number of workers which work in accordance with the standards of safe behavior in 2015 amounted to 7 workers, while the number of workers who work not in accordance with the standards of safe behavior in 2015 amounted to 8 workers. The majority of workers which still below the safe standard of behavior were workers at aged <31 and the age range of 31-40 years, to the high school education level and length of employment <5 years. There is a statistically significant relationship between education and training, working at height rule, working at height procedure, positive reinforcement , negative reinforcement , punishment against the test results. Based on the results of analysis show that 8 workers (53.3%) received the test results with good criteria. Conclusion: define variables affect the outcome of the evaluation phase (test) on workers by 42.25%, observed variables (safe behavior index) affect the outcome of the evaluation phase (test) on workers by 37.21%, and all intervention programs had correlation with test results.