

ABSTRACT

This study discusses the implications of a decision of the Constitutional Court with the decision number 21 / PUU-XII / 2014 related to the determination of the suspect as a pretrial object. A number of things examined in this study include, Decidendi Ratio Decision The Constitutional Court is concerned with the determination of a suspect designated as the object of the Pretrial; Along with the Legal Efforts to Pretrial Decision. The scope of this study focuses on a number of reasons - judges' reasons in deciding the matter review of Law No. 8 of 1981 on the Criminal Procedure Code, in this case the Constitutional Court prioritizes aspects of the fulfillment of Human Rights in a process Examination of criminal cases. In relation to the Legal Efforts on Pretrial Decisions, this study reviews by including a number of Judges' Judgments adjudicating the Legal Efforts of a Pretrial Decision.

Key words: Pretrial, Criminal Procedure Code, Decision of Mahakamh of the Constitution Number 21 / PUU-XII / 2014.