

Associate Editors

Editor-In-Chiel

Editorial board



Veterinary World

Open access and peer reviewed international journal

NAAS (National Academy of Agricultural Sciences -INDIA) - 5.71, SCOPUS: Citescore - 0.57, SJR - 0.284, SNIP - 0.570

PUBLICATION CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that article entitled:

W. P. Lokapirnasari, A. R. Dewi, A. Fathinah, S. Hidanah, N. Harijani, Soeharsono, B. Karimah and A. D. Andriani Effect of probiotic supplementation on organic feed to alternative antibiotic growth promoter on production performance and economics analysis of quail

has been published online at http://www.veterinaryworld.org/Vol.10/December-2017/15.pdf on 25-12-2017.

Effect of probiotic supplementation on organic feed to alternative antibiotic growth promoter on production performance Citation: Lokapirnasari WP, Dewi AR, Fathinah A, Hidanah S, Harijani N, Soeharsono, Karimah B, Andriani AD (2017) and economics analysis of quail, Veterinary World, 10(12): 1508-1514. doi: 10.14202/vetworld.2017.1508-1514



Certificate issue date: 25-12-2017 Anjum V. Sherasiya Editor-in-Chief

EISSN: 2231-0916, Website: http://www.veterinaryworld.org

Corresponding author: W. P. Lokapirnasari, Department of Animal Husbandry, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,

Airlangga University, Indonesia. E-mail: widyaparamitalokapirnasari@gmail.com

Veterinary World

ISSN: 0972-8988, EISSN: 2231-0916, www.veterinaryworld.org

Volume-10

No.12

December-2017

The articles in Veterinary World are open access articles licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Research (Published online: 01-12-2017) 1. Effect of feed supplement and additives on stress mitigation in Karan Fries heifers Vaibhav Purwar, P. S. Oberoi and A. K. Dang Veterinary World, 10(12): 1407-1412

Research (Published online: 01-12-2017) 2. Ocular squamous cell carcinoma in Holstein cows from the South of Brazil Gabrielle A. Fornazari, Juliana Kravetz, Matti Kiupel, Dodd Sledge, Ivan Roque De Barros Filho and Fabiano Montiani-Ferreira Veterinary World, 10(12): 1413-1420

Research (Published online: 03-12-2017) 3. Seroprevalence of viral and bacterial diseases among the bovines in Himachal Pradesh, India Shailja Katoch, Shweta Dohru, Mandeep Sharma, Vikram Vashist, Rajesh Chahota, Prasenjit Dhar, Aneesh Thakur and Subhash Verma Veterinary World, 10(12): 1421-1426

Review (Published online: 10-12-2017) 4. Cryptic etiopathological conditions of equine nervous system with special emphasis on viral diseases Rakesh Kumar and Rajendra D. Patil Veterinary World, 10(12): 1427-1438

Research (Published online: 10-12-2017) 5. Use of black soldier fly larvae (Hermetia illucens) to substitute soybean meal in ruminant diet: An in vitro rumen fermentation study Anuraga Jayanegara, Briliannanda Novandri, Nover Yantina and Muhammad Ridla Veterinary World, 10(12): 1439-1446

Research (Published online: 12-12-2017) 6. Prophylactic and immune modulatory influences of Nigella sativa Linn. in broilers exposed to biological challenge Essam S. Soliman, Rania T. Hamad and Amira Ahmed Veterinary World, 10(12): 1447-1455

Research (Published online: 12-12-2017) 7. Effects of incorporating agro-industrial by-products into diet of New Zealand rabbits: Case of rebus of date and apricot kernel meal Achour Mennani, Rafik Arbouche, Yasmine Arbouche, Etienne Montaigne, Fodil Arbouche and Halima Saadia Arbouche Veterinary World, 10(12): 1456-1463

Research (Published online: 13-12-2017) 8. A comprehensive study on seroprevalence of bluetongue virus in Haryana state of India Sushila Maan, Anuj Tiwari, Deepika Chaudhary, Anita Dalal, Nitish Bansal, Vinay Kumar, Kanisht Batra, Aman Kumar, Naresh Kumar Kakker and Narender Singh Maan Veterinary World, 10(12): 1464-1470

Research (Published online: 14-12-2017) 9. Prevalence of carrier state theileriosis in lactating cows Niranjana Sahoo, Bikash Kumar Behera, Hemant Kumar Khuntia and Manojita Dash Veterinary World, 10(12): 1471-1474

Research (Published online: 15-12-2017) 10. Prevalence of Theileria annulata in dairy cattle in Nyala, South Darfur State, Sudan Ismail A. Abaker, Diaeldin A. Salih, Lima M. El Haj, Rawia E. Ahmed, Manal M. Osman and Awadia M. Ali Veterinary World, 10(12): 1475-1480 Research (Published online: 16-12-2017) 11. The role of staphylococci in subclinical mastitis of cows and lytic phage isolation against to Staphylococcus aureus Aliye Gulmez Saglam, Mitat Sahin, Elif Celik, Ozgur Celebi, Dogan Akca and Salih Otlu Veterinary World, 10(12): 1481-1485

Research (Published online: 21-12-2017) 12. Seasonal influence on sperm parameters, scrotal measurements, and serum testosterone in Ouled Djellal breed rams in Algeria

S. Belkadi, B. Safsaf, N. Heleili, M. Tlidjane, L. Belkacem and Y. Oucheriah Veterinary World, 10(12): 1486-1492

Research (Published online: 21-12-2017)

13. Partial purification and characterization of glutathione S-transferase from the somatic tissue of Gastrothylax crumenifer (Trematoda: Digenea)

Sakil Ahmed, Aamir Sohail, Sabiha Khatoon, Shabnam Khan and Mohammad Khalid Saifullah Veterinary World, 10(12): 1493-1500

Research (Published online: 22-12-2017) 14. Isolation, molecular characterization and prevalence of Clostridium perfringens in sheep and goats of Kashmir Himalayas, India

Salik Nazki, Shakil A. Wani, Rafia Parveen, Showkat A. Ahangar, Zahid A. Kashoo, Syed Hamid, Zahoor A. Dar, Tanveer A. Dar and Pervaiz A. Dar

Veterinary World, 10(12): 1501-1507

Research (Published online: 25-12-2017) 15. Effect of probiotic supplementation on organic feed to alternative antibiotic growth promoter on production performance and economics analysis of quail W. P. Lokapirnasari, A. R. Dewi, A. Fathinah, S. Hidanah, N. Harijani, Soeharsono, B. Karimah and A. D. Andriani Veterinary World, 10(12): 1508-1514

Research (Published online: 26-12-2017) 16. Isolation and identification of a cellulolytic Enterobacter from rumen of Aceh cattle Wenny Novita Sari, Safika, Darmawi and Yudha Fahrimal Veterinary World, 10(12): 1515-1520

Research (Published online: 27-12-2017)

17. Studies on reconstruction of large skin defects following mammary tumor excision in dogs Sabarish Babu Malli Sadhasivan, Mohamed Shafiuzama, Mala Shammi, Ganne Venkata Sudhakar Rao, Nitin J D Souza, Hemalatha Senthilnayagam, Ravi Sundar George and P. Manoj Prabhakar Veterinary World, 10(12): 1521-1528

Review (Published online: 29-12-2017) 18. Insights into bovine endometritis with special reference to phytotherapy Ritika Mandhwani, Anavil Bhardwaz, Sudarshan Kumar, Madhu Shivhare and Ranjit Aich Veterinary World, 10(12): 1529-1532

Research (Published online: 30-12-2017) 19. Isolation, culture, characterization, and osteogenic differentiation of canine endometrial mesenchymal stem cell A. K. Sahoo, J. K. Das and S. Nayak Veterinary World, 10(12): 1533-1541

Effect of probiotic supplementation on organic feed to alternative antibiotic growth promoter on production performance and economics analysis of quail

W. P. Lokapirnasari¹, A. R. Dewi², A. Fathinah², S. Hidanah¹, N. Harijani³, Soeharsono⁴, B. Karimah² and A. D. Andriani²

1. Department of Animal Husbandry, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Airlangga University, Indonesia; 2. Magister of Veterinary Agribusiness, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Airlangga University, Indonesia; 3. Department of Veterinary Public Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Airlangga University, Indonesia; 4. Department of Veterinary Anatomy, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Airlangga University, Indonesia.

Corresponding author: W. P. Lokapirnasari, e-mail: widyaparamitalokapirnasari@gmail.com **Co-authors:** ARD: arrifahratnadewi@gmail.com, AF: anisah.fathinah@gmail.com, SH: s_hidanah@yahoo.com, NH: nennyharijani@yahoo.co.id, Soeharsono: 1961suharsono@gmail.com, BK: balqiskarimah@yahoo.com, ADA: anitaandriani1901@gmail.com

Received: 11-08-2017, Accepted: 14-11-2017, Published online: 25-12-2017

doi: 10.14202/vetworld.2017.1508-1514 **How to cite this article:** Lokapirnasari WP, Dewi AR, Fathinah A, Hidanah S, Harijani N, Soeharsono, Karimah B, Andriani AD (2017) Effect of probiotic supplementation on organic feed to alternative antibiotic growth promoter on production performance and economics analysis of quail, *Veterinary World*, 10(12): 1508-1514.

Abstract

Aim: The purpose of this study was to know the production performance and economic analysis in quail which use probiotic supplementation to alternate antibiotic growth promoter (AGP) to feed consumption, water consumption, egg mass, feed conversion, and feed efficiency.

Materials and Methods: About 240 quails (*Coturnix coturnix japonica*) at 14 weeks of age were completely randomized into four treatments, each treatment consisted of six replications and each replication consisted by 10 heads. The treatment was T0 (organic feed without AGP and without probiotic), T1 (organic feed + 0.001% AGP), T2 (organic feed + 0.005% probiotic in feed), and T3 (organic feed + 0.005% probiotic in drinking water). The probiotic consist of 1.2×10^5 CFU/g of *Lactobacillus casei* and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*.

Results: The results showed that the probiotic supplementation both in feed and water give a significant impact to feed consumption, water intake, feed conversion, feed efficiency, and quail day production, but no statistical difference of egg mass. The T3 also show the most profitable business analysis, which has the best result in income, profit, break-even point, return cost ratio, benefit-cost ratio, and return on investment.

Conclusion: It can be concluded that giving 0.005% probiotic in drinking water to get the best egg production and profit.

Keywords: antibiotic growth promoter, economic analysis, probiotic, production performance, quail.

Introduction

Quail is one of the popular livestock for being able to the needs of the animal protein for the society [1]. More than 40 years, the farmers already use the antibiotics as a feed additive in feed, used as a growth promoter in a small amount but can improve feed efficiency [2]. The overuse of antibiotics may be harmful, which can cause quail resistance to pathogenic microorganisms and can cause residues in meat and eggs that can be very harmful to the consumers [1].

Antibiotics were mostly used in the ration of broiler chicken. The probiotics suggested to alternate the antibiotics [3]. Probiotics are non-pathogenic microorganisms when consumed in certain quantities can provide health benefits [4]. Probiotics are defined as live microbial feed supplement which provides a good effect to the host through increased intestinal microbial balance [5,6].

Probiotics stimulate the growth of beneficial microorganisms and decrease the number of pathogenic microorganisms by increasing the intestinal microbial balance in the host. Probiotic consumption may reduce the risk of gastrointestinal diseases by stimulating beneficial microorganisms [5,6].

Lactic acid bacteria are one of the bacteria that have potential use as probiotics. Lactic acid bacteria can survive by forming colonies of the intestine and can also produce lactic acid and bacteriocins. Lactic acid bacteria consist of several genera of bacteria belonging to the family of Firmicutes [7]. Lactic acid bacterial isolates of the genus *Lactobacillus* are generally potential as probiotic agents which beneficial to human and animal health [8]. *Lactobacillus casei* has both probiotic characteristics and antibacterial activity against different pathogens and can be used as potential functional probiotics in feed [9].

Probiotic treatment may be given through feed and water, then expect that the consumption of probiotics can improve the efficiency of feed and water, then increase egg production and can reduce the

Copyright: Lokapirnasari, *et al.* Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons.org/publicDomain Dedication waiver (http:// creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

cost of production. Through economic analysis with parameters such as the total costs, revenue, profit, break-even point, return cost ratio, benefit-cost ratio, net profit margin, and return on investment, it can be estimated that whether giving quail probiotics in feed and drinking water will be more profitable. The aim of this study was to know the quail farm economics analysis which uses probiotics containing *L. casei* and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* to alternative antibiotic growth promoters (AGPs) to feed consumption, water consumption, egg mass, egg production, feed conversion, and feed efficiency.

Materials and Methods

Ethical approval

There was no need of ethical approval because samples were collected as per standard collection methods without any harm or stress to the animals.

Study area and farm management

The research was conducted in the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia. 240 heads of 14-week-old quail were randomized into four treatments (T0, T1, T2, and T3), each treatment consisted of six replications and each replication consisted by 10 heads, as follows:

T0: Control, 100% organic feed

T1: Organic feed + 0.001% AGP in feed

T2: Organic feed + 0.005% probiotic in feed

T3: Organic feed + 0.005% probiotic in drinking water. Organic feed is a feed composed of protein feedstuff and energy sources that are formulated according to the needs of the quail. In the organic feed is not added antibiotics but the nutrient value in feed is suitable to fulfill nutrient requirement of quail. A total of 0.001% of AGP were mixed in feed (T1), 0.005% of probiotic (T2) in concentration 1.2×10⁵ CFU/gram, dissolved in 995 mL of water (free chlorine and other antiseptics), and then allowed to stand for 24 h without aeration. A total of 1 L of probiotic solution sprayed evenly into 100 kg of feed and then left the feed dry, so the probiotics absorbed well in the feed, then the feed ready to be given. 0.005% of probiotic (T3) dissolved in 995 mL of water (free chlorine and other disinfectants), then allowed to stand for 24 h without aeration. A total of 1 L of probiotic solution are mixed into 200 L of water, then stir evenly and drinking water ready to be given. The quail was fed twice daily at 7 am and 5 pm. Feed is given *ad-libitum* in mash form. Water was also provided ad-libitum.

Data collection

Collecting data for feed intake are reduced the amount of feed given amount of feed which not consumed. Water consumption is calculated from the amount of water given the reduced with the amount of water which not consumed. Feed and water consumption is calculated every week during the 4 weeks of treatment. Quail eggs are harvested every day. Eggs are also weighed to quantify the egg mass. Egg production is calculated in quail day production (QDP) that the number of eggs produced per day divided total of females quail in the population and then multiplied by 100%. Feed conversion was calculated from the amount of consumption divided by the weight of the eggs produced and feed efficiency calculated by weight of the eggs produced compared to the amount of consumption. The calculation of the production performance using the following formula:

a. QDP

QDP=Egg production a day/total of female quails×100%

b. Feed conversion

Feed conversion=Feed intake (g)/average of egg mass (g)

c. Feed efficiency

Feed efficiency=Average of egg mass (g)/feed intake (g)

Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed using analysis of variance (ANOVA). If the result are significantly different then continued with Duncan's multiple range test [10]. Statistical analysis using SPSS for Windows 21. 0. Economic analysis and financial data analyze descriptively. Economic analysis calculated with the following formula:

a. Production cost Total cost=Fixed cost+Variable cost b. Revenue Revenue=Price each item×Total of production c. Profit Profit=Total of revenue-Total of cost d. Break-even point (BEP) BEP production=Total of cost/price of selling BEP price=Total of cost/Total of production e. Return cost ratio (R/C ratio) R/C=Total of revenue/Total of cost f. Benefit-cost ratio (B/C ratio) B/C=Total of profit/Total of cost g. Return on investment (ROI) ROI=Net profit/Total of asset×100% h. Net profit margin NPM=Net profit/Revenue×100%

Result

Effect on feed consumption and water intake

The results of ANOVA 5% can be seen that the addition of probiotics through feed and water, affect to the feed intake and water intake (p<0.05). Furthermore, on feed intake and water intake after Duncan's multiple range test then can be found that T2 and T3 showed the highest significantly different to controls. The results of feed and water consumption can be seen in Table-1.

Effect on feed conversion ratio and feed efficiency

The results of ANOVA 5% can be seen that the addition of probiotics through feed and water affect

Treatment	Feed consumption (g/quail/day)±SD	Water consumption (ml)±SD		
ТО	23.0087 ^b ±0.7519	60.8853°±5.3429		
T1	23.2009 ^b ±0.8803	58.7833 ^b ±2.4270		
T2	21.7502°±1.7319	56.9523°±1.2183		
Т3	21.6528°±0.0181	57.0463°±3.2451		

Table-1: Feed consumption and water consumption of quail in 4 weeks of treatment.

SD=Standard deviation, ^{a, b, c} Means having different superscripts within the same columndiffer significantly (p<0.05)

feed conversion ratio and feed efficiency (p<0.05). Furthermore, after Duncan's multiple range test it can be seen that the T2 and T3 showed the highest significantly different to controls. The result of the conversion and feed efficiency can be seen in Table-2.

Effect on QDP and egg mass

Results of ANOVA 5% can be seen that the addition of probiotics through feed and water affect to QDP (p<0.05), but does not give effect to the egg mass (p>0.05). After Duncan's multiple range test it can be seen that the T3 showed the highest significantly different to controls. The result of the conversion and feed efficiency can be seen in Table-3.

Discussion

Effect on feed consumption and water intake

Results showed that T1 was not significantly different with T0, because the energy and protein content of the feed in the same amount on the treatment, so the feed intake did not differ. The protein content and energy consumption affects to the amount of feed [11]. In addition, many things also affect feed intake, genetic factors such as body weight, strain, age, sex, and the energy content in the diet.

T2 and T3 show the highest significant or give the highest influence. Higher concentration of probiotic given the higher bacteria contained in it, and then the quail will be more efficient in consuming feed. The addition of beneficial microbes for animal, preventing the growth of harmful microbes in the digestive tract so can improve the digestion of feed and can minimize feed consumption [12].

The feeding of viable Lactobacillus at 1100 mg/ kg $(4.4 \times 10^7 \text{ CFU/kg})$ increased daily feed consumption, egg size, nitrogen, and calcium retentions [13]. However, in this research, supplementation of 0.005% probiotic contains L. casei and L. rhamnosus that could decrease feed consumption from 23.0087 g/quail/day to 21.6528 g/quail/day, improve feed conversion from 2.1139 to 1.9984 and no significant difference on egg mass. According to Shareef and Al-Dabbagh [14] reported that probiotics (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) supplementation of broilers had significantly increased feed consumption. Reviews these results indicated resources to the prominent role of cecal microbiota in the feed efficiency of chickens and suggested plausible uses of Lactobacillus to improve the feed efficiency of the host [15]. However, different studies [16] reported the feed consumption was not

Table-2: Feed conversion and feed efficiency of quail in4 weeks of treatment.

Treatment	Feed conversion \pm SD	Feed efficiency±SD
то	2.1139 ^b ±0.0829	47.5198°±1.9975
T1	2.1385 ^b ±0.0758	46.8907ª±1.7360
T2	2.0113°±0.1477	50.0792 ^b ±3.7523
Т3	1.9984°±0.0457	50.0964 ^b ±1.1482
-		

SD=Standard deviation, ^{a, b} Means having different superscripts within the same column differ significantly (p<0.05)

Table-3: Quail day production and egg mass of quail in4 weeks of treatment.

Treatment	Quail day production (%)±SD	Egg mass (g)±SD
ТО	55.7837°±3.03104	10.9112ª±0.34201
T1	55.8847ª±3.37765	10.8524ª±0.16868
Т2	59.7025 ^b ±1.22073	10.8085ª±0.12138
Т3	69.6704°±2.80250	10.8397°±0.21756

SD=Standard deviation, ^{a, b, c} Means having different superscripts within the same column differ significantly (p<0.05)

affected by dietary probiotic supplementation. Results from a study by Babazadeh *et al.* [17] indicated resources probiotics that did not have any significant positive effect on broilers feed consumption and feed conversion.

Water consumption showed that the highest significantly different at T2 and T3, this is due to many factors. Water consumption of animals also depends on other factors, such as activity, environmental temperature, and dryness of the feed, which require much water and relative humidity [18]. Nutritional status, duration of starvation and the relationship between water consumption and animal feed could be used as one of the factors that may affect the responses of animals to be considered [19]. It is important that farms are equipped to provide adequate water volume for optimal development. The fact that water consumption has increased significantly over the past 10 and 20 years is evidence that farm water systems may need to be evaluated to ensure drinking systems have kept up with the changing water needs [20]. This result contrasts [21] that the rise in feed and water consumption is recorded in laying hens fed with probiotics mixed liquid culture containing two types of microorganisms, Lactobacillus and Bacillus species.

Effect on feed conversion ratio and feed efficiency

T2 and T3 showed significantly different results of the highest influence on the conversion and

efficiency, because the probiotics can enhance microbial activity and digestibility in the quail digestive tract, with the increasing number of population of microbes in the digestive tract, the absorption of feed substances become larger and more effective, which affect to feed efficiency [11].

In line with the research [22] that the use of probiotics can improve feed efficiency during the study. These results are also consistent with studies by Sathya and Muragian [23] that probiotics can improve feed intake and decrease the feed conversion significantly. The results are also consistent with studies by Patel *et al.* [24] the dietary supplementation of probiotics at 100 g/ton of feed significantly enhanced body weight gain along with better feed conversion ratio and profit. The *Lactobacillus* sp. strain was able to colonize the intestinal tract and feed and remain at a high concentration of 10⁷ and 10⁶ CFU/g, respectively. It produced several enzymes, which might have contributed to the greater weight gain and lower feed conversion in the supplemented animals [25].

Effect on QDP and egg mass

T2 and T3 showed significantly different results and T3 showed the highest significantly different in QDP. Through the work of probiotics in the small intestine and colon, by pressing the pathogenic bacteria and stimulate the growth of good bacteria that will increase the capacity of absorption and digestibility of protein, so can increase egg production [23]. Protein is one of the basic ingredients in the feed. Protein is needed for body growth of poultry, changing the damaged tissue, and also for production [26]. Protein is an egg-forming element [27]. High protein content in the feed can increase egg production [28]. Egg production, however, widely varied attributed which could be partly to genetic and non-genetic factors [29].

In line with the past study [11], the addition of *Lactobacillus salivarius* as much as 7 g per kilogram of feed provides a very real effect on the production of eggs in quails. These results also consistent with past study [30] that *L. fermentum* affects egg production. *Lactobacillus* can be used as probiotics in livestock that works to increase the productivity of livestock [31].

Probiotics do not give significant effect to the egg mass. The factors that cause variations in egg mass are the natural pattern of egg production, feed, management, and also other factors related to genetics. Egg mass is genetically inherited. Environmental influences such as pen environment, parent body size, the maturity stage, age, medications, type of feed, the amount of feed, and food substances in the diet such as the adequacy of protein and linoleic acid greatly affect the of the egg mass [29]. Egg production in a relationship with genetic selection makes today's egg production quails quite different from reviews those of a decade ago [30].

A similar result was observed by Kulsum *et al.* [31] that *L. fermentum* does not give effect to the

egg mass. Another study [32] showed that probiotic did not affect egg mass in Japanese quails. Another study also indicates that the use of probiotic does not necessarily indicate a positive response. The use of *Bacillus subtilis* (CH201) and *Bacillus licheniformis* (CH200) at multiple concentrations, respectively, 0, 400, 1000, and 2000 g/ton of feed containing 0, 1.28×10^6 , 3.2×10^6 , and 4.6×10^6 CFU/g of feed concentration no significance difference in feed consumption, egg production, and egg weight (p>0.05) [33].

The results of the study [34] indicate that supplementation with commercial probiotics and prebiotic does not show significant results to the increase in percentage of fertile egg and hatchability. Another study [35] indicates that the probiotics supplementation at 500 g/ton on commercial feed can improve feed intake and feed conversion, while on egg production and egg mass there is no significant difference.

A review of past studies has revealed that the effective administration dosages of probiotics vary greatly and is dependent on the strains used and the clinical characteristics of subjects, such as lipid profiles. Although probiotics have been delivered in the range of 10^7 to 10^9 CFU/day in animals [36].

Financial analysis

Investment cost

The investment costs are those costs incurred in the 1st year of the project consisting of pen, equipment, and land lease. Pens are used for the production of quail which ready to lay eggs. Land lease fees consist of space lease, electricity, and water for a month. Cost of equipment consists of the feeding, drinking, wire, sprayer, etc. The investment cost of each treatment is equal because the cost of the pen and land lease fee of each treatment for all inputs which used in this analysis is real. Input price is the price which prevailing at the time of the study. The investment costs can be seen in Table-4.

Fixed cost

Fixed costs are costs that are not influenced by the size of total product, and it is equal every year. The fixed costs consist of pen and equipment depreciation. Pen and equipment depreciation costs are calculated with depreciation formula that divided the economic life of the investment costs, which the equipment has an economic life of 18 months. There are no electricity costs because it is already included in the land lease fee and there are no labor costs because everything is done by the researcher. Fixed costs have the same amount of treatments because the equipment used is the same. Fixed costs can be seen in Table-5.

Variable cost

Project time is based on a long research, which is 4 weeks so that the variable costs are calculated on the cost of production for 4 weeks. Cost of production consists of fixed costs and variable costs [37]. Variable costs are costs which amount is influenced by the amount of product. Variable costs consist of the

Description	Economics age	то	T1	Т2	Т3
Pen depreciation (IDR)	18 months	13,889	13,889	13,889	13,889
Tools depreciation (IDR)	18 months	1,667	1,667	1,667	1,667
Transportation (IDR)	-	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
Total (IDR)		30,556	30,556	30,556	30,556

Table-4:	Fix	cost	of	treatment.
----------	-----	------	----	------------

IDR=Indonesian rupiah

cost of transport, vitamins, feed, feed additives, and quail. The difference showed in variable cost, on the feed and feed additive costs.

In poultry production, total expenses greatly influenced by the price of feed that can reach up to 70% of the total cost [38]. High cost of production especially the cost of feed ingredients as their major constraint [39]. The cost of feed is calculated from the total of feed intake of each treatment for a month multiplied by the price of feed is Indonesian rupiah (IDR) 4,900 per kilogram feed. The precise information on feed conversion is important to calculate each bird feed consumption [40]. The results showed that the lowest feed costs at T3 IDR 118,830.

Cost of feed additives is the amount of feed additive given for 1 month multiplied by the price of feed additive, which is IDR 40,000 per 500 g of AGPs (T1) and IDR 25,000 per 100 grams of probiotic (T2 and T3). T0 is not given a feed additive so that the cost of feed additive is IDR 0. The highest cost of feed additive on TI which uses AGPs IDR 5,000 and the lowest feed additive cost in the T2 (0.005% probiotics in feed), IDR 400. Vitamin given once a week for a total administration for 4 weeks was 10 g per treatment. The cost of vitamins and quail each treatment has the same amount as the number of birds that use the same.

The results showed that the lowest variable cost or the most efficient at T3 is IDR 139,408, while the highest variable costs in T1 IDR 152,104 due to the high cost of feed additives. Variable cost of research can be seen in Table-5.

Revenues and profits

The flow of revenues is from the sale of quail eggs and sale of faces. The total of quail is 40 per treatment and then calculated with the total number of eggs produced during 4 weeks. Quail eggs sold at IDR 270 per item. While the feces sold at IDR 5,000 per sack, each sack contained of 50 kg.

The results showed that the highest total income in the T3 (addition 0.005% of probiotics through drinking water) IDR 240,980 and affect the profits, where T3 get the highest benefit IDR 71,016, this is due to higher production of eggs in T3. Profit condition happens if the income is greater than the cost of production [41]. Total revenues and profits can be seen in Table-6.

Economic analysis

From the results indicate that best BEP production and BEP price is T3 is only with the production

Table-5: Variable cost of treatment.

Description	то	T1	Т2	Т3	
Vitamin (IDR)	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	
Feed (IDR)	126,272	127,326	119,365	118,830	
Feed additive (IDR)	0	5,000	400	800	
Quail (IDR)	17,778	17,000	17,000	17,000	
Total	146,050	152,104	139,543	139,408	

IDR=Indonesian rupiah

Table-6: Total of revenue and profit each treatment.

т0	T1	Т2	Т3
730	721	700	874
197,100 5,000	194,670 5,000	189,000 5,000	235,980 5,000
202,100	199,670	194,000	240,980
176,605 25,494	182,659 17,010	170,098 23,901	169,963 71,016
	730 197,100 5,000 202,100 176,605	730 721 197,100 194,670 5,000 5,000 202,100 199,670 176,605 182,659 25,494 17,010	730 721 700 197,100 194,670 189,000 5,000 5,000 5,000 202,100 199,670 194,000 176,605 182,659 170,098 25,494 17,010 23,901

IDR=Indonesian rupiah

of 629 eggs at a price of IDR 194/item does not give a profit or a loss. BEP value can indicate the level of production and the price of what a business does not provide a profit nor a loss [41].

Based on the results, the best R/C ratio shown in T3 treatment (1.417). The criteria to the calculation of business efficiency, namely, when the R/C ratio <1, then the business is said to be inefficient, when the R/C ratio is equal to one then the business is said to be unprofitable or no damage and if the R/C ratio is more than one then said to be efficient or beneficial [41]. Hence, the business is worth it because it has a value of more than 1. Net R/C 1.417 means that every IDR 1, - the costs over the life of the project resulted in IDR 1,417 revenue.

Based on the results of B/C ratio, the best shown in T3 0.417. The criteria against business efficiency calculation that if B/C ratio is <0.1 then the business is said to be inefficient or harmful, when the B/C ratio equal to 0.1 then it is not profitable or do not harm and if the B/C ratio more 0.1 the business to be efficient or beneficial [41]. Hence, the business is beneficial because it has a value of more than 0.1. Net B/C is equal to 0.417 means that every IDR 1, - the costs over the life of the project resulted in IDR 0.417 profits.

While that the best return on investment value on T3 which is 15.887%, so we can say the business has the highest number of assets that can be used. Business analysis is shown in Table-7. Table-7: Economics analysis each treatment.

Description	то	T1	Т2	Т3
Break-even point (product)	654	676	629	629
Break-even point (price)	241	253	242	194
r/c ratio	1.1445	1.0931	1.1405	1.4178
B/C ratio	0.1445	0.0931	0.1405	0.4178
Return on	5.7035	3.8055	5.3471	15.8874
investment (%)				

Conclusion

Probiotics give a significant effect on feed intake, water intake, feed efficiency, feed conversion, and QDP, but give no significant effect on egg mass. Best production performance results and most profitable economic analysis are the addition of 0.005% probiotic through drinking water. So that farmers can give 0.005% of probiotics through the water to get the best production performance and profit.

Authors' Contributions

WPL designed the research, conducted the experimental work. WPL, SH, S, and NH analyzed and interpreted the data and drafted the manuscript. ARD, AF, BK, and ADA participated in the collecting data, analysis, and interpretation of data and drafting of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Rector and Dean of Faculty of Veterinary Medicine. This study was funded by the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, with grant number: 56/UN3.1.6/2017.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- 1. Suherman, A.F., Natsir, M.H. and Sjofjan, O. (2015) Effect of *Lactobacillus* probiotic plus addition in flour form as afeed additives to quail production. Fapet, 1-8.
- 2. Daud, M., Piliang, W.G. and Kompiang, P. (2007) Percentage and carcass quality of broiler with probiotics and prebiotics supplementation on feed. *J. Ilmu Ternak Vet.*, 12(3): 167-174.
- Kannan, M., Karanakaran, R., Balakrishnan, V. and Prabhakar, T.G. (2005) Influence of prebiotics supplementation on lipid profile of broilers. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 4(12): 994-997.
- FAO, WHO. (2002) Joint FAO/WHO Working Group Report on Drafting Guidelines for the Evaluation of Probiotics in Food. FAO, WHO, London.
- 5. Fuller, R. (1989) Probiotics in man and animals. J. Appl. Microbiol., 66(5): 365-378.
- Chiang, S.S. and Pan, T.M. (2012) Beneficial effects of *Lactobacillus* paracasei subsp. *Paracasei* NTU 101 and its fermented products. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 93(3): 903-916.
- 7. Salminen, S., Wright, A.V. and Ouwehand, A. (2004) Lactic acid Bacteria: Microbiologycal and Functional

Aspects, Revised and Expanded. 3rd ed. Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York. p177.

- 8. Rahayu, E. and Purwandhani, S. (2007) Isolation and selection of *Lactobacillus* potential as probiotics. *Agritech*, 23(2): 67-74.
- 9. Amaravadhi, S.C., Mallam, M., Manthani, G.P. and Komireddy, K.R. (2012) Effect of dietary supplementation of probiotics and enzymes on the haematology of rabbits reared under two housing systems, *Vet. World*, 5(12): 748-753.
- Kusriningrum, R.S. (2008) Trial Design. Airlangga University Press, Surabaya. p15.
- 11. Suroso, Kalsum, U. and Wadidi, M.F. (2016) Effects of probiotics encapsulation addition to feed consumption, egg production and feed efficiency in quail. *J. Peternakan*, 1(2): 1-5.
- Astuti, F.K., Busono, W. and Sjofjan, O. (2015) Effect of liquid robiotics addition in feed to the production in broiler. *J. PAL*, 6(2): 99-104.
- 13. Nahashon, S.N., Nakaue, H.S. and Mirosh, L.W. (1996) Performance of single comb white leghorn fed a diet supplemented with a live microbial during the growth and egg laying phases. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 57(1-2): 25-38.
- Shareef, A.M. and Al-Dabbagh, A.S.A. (2009) Effect of probiotic (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) on performance of broiler. *Iraqi J. Vet. Sci.*, 23(3): 23-29.
- Yan, W., Sun, C., Yuan, J. and Yang, N. (2017) Gut metagenomic analysis reveals prominent roles of *Lactobacillus* and cecal microbiota in chicken feed efficiency. *Appl. Sci. Rep.*, 7: 45308.
- Nikpiran, H., Vahdatpour, T., Babazadeh, D. and Vahdatpour, S. (2013) Effects of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, thepax and their combination on blood enzymes and performance of Japanese quails (*Coturnix japonica*). J. Anim. *Plant Sci.*, 23(2): 369-375.
- 17. Babazadeh, D., Vahdatpour, T., Nikpiran, H., Jafargholipour, M.A. and Vahdatpour, S. (2011) Effects of probiotic, prebiotic and synbiotic intake on blood enzymes and performance of Japanese quails (*Coturnix japonica*). *Indian J. Anim. Sci.*, 81(8): 870.
- Aduku, A.O. (2004) Animal Nutrition in the Tropics Typeset. Davon Computer and Business Bureau Zaria, Nigeria. p10-31.
- 19. Cabrera, M.C. and Saadoun, A. (2006) Fasting duration influences the inhibition of food intake by histamine in chickens. *Physiol. Behav.*, 88: 506-15.
- 20. Williams, C.L., Tabler, G.T. and Watkins, S.E. (2013) Comparison of broiler flock daily water consumption and water-to-feed ratios for flocks grown in 1991, 2000-2001, and 2010-2011. J. Appl. Poult. Res., 22(4): 934-941.
- 21. Pambuka, S.R., Sjoffan, O. and Radiati, L.E. (2014) Effect of liquid probiotics mixed culture supplements through drinking water on laying hens performance and yolk cholesterol. *J. World's Poult. Res.*, 4(1): 5-9.
- 22. Herlinae. and Yamima. (2016) Effectiveness of different packaging probiotics on growth and production of quail (*Coturnix Coturnix japonica*). J. Trop. Anim. Sci., 2: 95-100.
- 23. Sathya, C. and Muragian, P. (2015) Effect of dietary supplementation of probiotic and *Curculigo orchioides* rhizome powder on egg quality parameters and biochemical composition of Japanese quail (*Coturnix coturnix japonica*). *Int. J. Adv. Pharm. Biol. Chem.*, 4(1):162-170.
- 24. Patel, S.G., Raval, A.P., Bhagwat, S.R., Sadrasaniya, D.A., Patel, A.P. and Joshi, S.S. (2015) Effects of probiotics supplementation on growth performance, feed conversion ratio and economics of broilers. *J. Anim. Res.*, *5*(1): 155.
- Pereira, S.A., Jerônimo, G.T., Costa Marchiori, N., Oliveira, H.M., Owatari, M.S., Jesus, G.F.A., Garcia, P., Nascimento Vieira, F., Martins, M.L, and Mouriño, J.L.P. (2016) Autochthonous probiotic *Lactobacillus* sp. in the diet of bullfrog tadpols Lithobates catesbeianus improves weight gain, feed conversion and gut microbiota. *Aquac. Nutr.*, 23: 910-916.

- 26. Rahayu, I., Sudaryani. and Santosa, H. (2011) The Complete Chicken Guide. Penebar Swadaya, Jakarta.
- 27. Bharoto, K.D. (2001) Duck farming method. CV Aneka Ilmu, Semarang.
- Sultoni, A., Malik, A. and Widodo, W. (2006) The effects of various manufacturer concentrate to feed optimization, hen day production and feed conversion. *J. Protein.* 14(2): 103-107.
- Wahyu, J. (2004) Poultry Nutrition Science. 5th ed. Gadjah Mada University Press, Yogyakarta.
- Kaye, J., Luka, S.J., Akpa, G.N. and Adeyinka, I.A. (2017) Egg production pattern of Japanese quail (*Coturnix Coturnix Japonica*) in northern guinea savannah zone of Nigeria. *Int. J. Innov. Res. Adv. Stud.*, 1(4): 93-97.
- 31. Kulsum, U., Soetanto, H., Achmanu. and Sjofjan, O. (2012) Influence of probiotic containing *Lactobacillus fermentum* on the laying performance and egg quality of Japanese quail. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 11(4): 311-315.
- 32. Yousefi, M. and Karkoodi, K. (2007) Effect of probiotic Thepax® and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* supplementation on performance and egg quality of laying hens. *Int J Poult. Sci.*, 6(1): 52-54.
- 33. Mahdavi, A.H., Rahmani, H.R. and Pourreza, J. (2005) Effect of probiotic supplements on egg quality and laying hen's performance. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 4(4): 488-492.
- 34. Güclü B.K. (2011) Effects of probiotic and prebiotic (mannanoligosaccharide) supplementation on performance, egg quality and hatchability in quail breeders. *Ankara Üniv. Vet. Fak. Derg.*, 58(1): 27-32.

- Balevi, T., Ucan, U. S., Coskun, B., Kurtoglu, V. and Cetingul, S. (2000) Effect of a commercial probiotic in the diet on performance and humoral immune system in layers. Hayvancýlýk Araþ Derg., 10(1-2): 25-30.
- Ha, C.G., Cho, J.K., Lee, C.H., Chai, Y.G., Ha, Y. and Shin, S.H. (2006) Cholesterol lowering effect of Lactobacillus plantarum isolated from human feces. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 16(8): 1201-1209.
- Alfikri, S.N., Susiningsih, W., Nimas, M.S. and Irfan, H.D. (2013) Study of Technical and Financial Aspects of Ducks Hybrids Farm Development in Saonada farm in Jombang (Thesis), Faculty of Agricultural Technology. Brawijaya University, Malang.
- Abdurofi, I., Ismail, M.M., Kamal, H.A.W. and Gabdo, B.H. (2016) Economic analysis of broiler production in Peninsular Malaysia. *Int. Food Res. J.*, 24: 761-766.
- Singh, A.K. (2016) An Economic Analysis of Broiler Production in Jaunpur District of Uttar Pradesh, India (Doctoral dissertation) Institute of Agricultural Sciences. Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi.
- 40. Sahzadi, T.M.S., Kalsoom, U.E. and Shahzad, K. (2006) Growth performance and feed conversion ratio (FCR) of hybrid fingerlings fed on cottonseed meal, sunflower meal and bone meal. *Pak. Vet. J.*, 26(4): 163-166.
- 41. Soepranianondo, K., Sidik, R., Nazar, D.S., Hidanah, S., Pratisto. and Warsito, S.H. (2013) Entrepreneurship. Airlangga University Press, Surabaya. p192-197.
