

## Plagiarism Checker X Originality Report

## **Similarity Found: 8%**

Date: Selasa, Juli 24, 2018 Statistics: 612 words Plagiarized / 7510 Total words Remarks: Low Plagiarism Detected - Your Document needs Optional Improvement.

SEED GERMIN ATION OF A vicennia marina (F or sk.) V ierh. BY PERICARP REMO V AL TREATMENT \* HER Y PURNOB ASUKI and ED Y SETITI WID A UT AMI De par tment of Biolo g y, F aculty of Sciences and T echnolo g y Airlang g a Uni versity, Surabay a 60115, Indonesia R ecei v ed 12 No v ember 2013/Acce pted 28 Se ptember 2016 ABSTRACT Av i ce nni a m ari na i s one s pe c i e s u s e d f or bu i l d i ng c oas t al e c os y s t e m s t abi l i zat i on i n S u r aba y a s hor e s be c au s e of i t s t ole r anc e t o hig h s al i nit y l e v e l and r e s i s t anc e t o w a v e e ne r g y. T he i r e x i s t e nc e i s t hr e at e ne d b y v ar i ou s f ac t or s i . e.

l and c on version, poll u tion and interisl and bridgeexistence. Growt h of A. m arina is c u r r e ntlylimited byseed d or m ancy. In an effortto i ncreasepropagation efficiency, seedviability and vigorwerec ompared based on collection d at es and pericarpremoval methods.

The resultsshowed no relationship betweensalinitylevels of soaking waterorseed bu o yancy and seed viability or seed vigor. Collection dates may influenceseed viability and vigor. Seed scollected in October and Novembergerminated morequicklythanthosecollected edin December, although there were no difference sint otal germination.

Hand - peeledseedsgerminated moreslowlythansoakedseedsforal lcollections, butwith nodifferencesintotalgermination. Seedsc ollectedin Octoberand Novembergrewmorevigorouslythanthosec ollectedin December. K eyw ords : Avicennia marina , propag ation eficiency , seed viability INTR ODUCTION Avicennia marina (the g ra y mang ro v e) produces recalcitrant seeds , dispersed b y tidal cur rents . T he dispersal unit or propagule of A. marina is the fr uit, whic h usually consists of a single embr y o sur rounded b y a thin pericar p (T omlinson 1986).

T he fr uit is considered to be cr ypto vi viparous, a condition where the h ypocotyl does not enlarg e sufficiently to r upture the pericar p while attac h to the parent (Hutc hings & Saeng er 1987). Seeds of A. marina are cr ypto vi viparous and do not ha v e a d o r m a n t s t a g e r e s u l t i n g i n g e r m i n a t i o n possibility while still attac h to the parent plant (T omlinson 1986; F ar nsw or th 2000). A.

marina seeds possess a h y drophilic pericar p , whic h seed is abscised, shed upon contact with w ater (T omlinson 1986). T he seeds are dispersed tidally and ma y remain viable while af loat in agitated sea w ater for up to one year , although viability decreases o v er time (USD A 2009). T ypical A.

marina seed g er mination initiates when a propagule comes to rest on a suitable substrate suc h as tidal m ud f lat, beac h or within a Spar tina spp . marsh (Lewis 2000). T his e pig eal g er mination is first obser v ed with the extension of a g eotropic root radical into the soil where the seed comes to rest. T he h ypocotyl extends to become a v er tical stalk suppor ting the cotyledon (T omlinson 1986).

T he propagule then extends its e picotyl, from whic h the first tr ue lea v es emerg e, allo wing the plant to inde pendently photosynthesize the seed. T he cotyledons are then desiccated and abscised (T omlinson 1986). Seed g er mination is controlled b y a n umber of mec hanisms and is necessar y for g ro wth and dev elopment of the embr y o, resulting in the ev entual production of a new plant. Under unfa v orable conditions, seeds ma y become dor mant (secondar y dor mancy) to maintain their g er mination ability.

Ho w ev er , when the conditions are fa v orable , seeds can g er minate (Miransari & Smith 2014). Among f a c t o r s c o n t r o I I i n g s e e d g e r m i n a t i o n a n d dor mancy is seed pericar p remo v al (Sari et al . 2006). Seed pericar p is responsible for seed- coating dor mancy , affecting seed g er mination and s e e d I i n g e s t a b I i s h m e n t b y p r e v e n t i n g w a t e r BIOTR OPIA 3 2 6 74 83 V ol. 2 No . , 201 : - DOI: 10.11598/btb .2016.2 . .3 2 349 \* C or responding author : her y-p@fst.unair .ac .id 74 a b s o r p t i o n , p r o d u c i n g c h e m i c a Li n h i b i t o r s , prev enting leaking of inhibitors from the seed and inhibiting the radical protr usion (Simpson 1990; Baskin & Baskin 1998; Og a w a & Iw abuc hi 2001; T ak os 2001; Sari et al. 2006).

T he effect of the pericar p on g er mination de pends on seed size and w ater a v ailability (Chac¢on & Bustamante 2001). R e producti v e success in plants is highly de pendent on the sur vi v al of their seeds during dispersal. T he conditions in whic h seeds reside a f t e r l e a v i n g t h e p a r e n t t r e e t o w a r d s establishment, and the adaptations of seeds for sur vi ving these conditions are cr ucial.

Seedling e s t a b l i s h m e n t i s c o n s i d e r e d d i f f i c u l t f o r mang ro v es because of the unstable and v aried substrates as w ell as tidal inf luence (T omlinson 1986). Hy drological regimes are par ticularly i m p o r t a n t i n c o n t r o l l i n g t h e s u r v i va l a n d subsequent g ro wth of the mang ro v e seedlings (Mur ra y et al . 2003). Mang ro v e habitats in Suraba ya shores are cur rently vulnerable to subsidence and sea lev el rise .

As the integ rity of coastal salt marsh in Suraba ya deg rades , A. marina habitat is threatened b y many activities such as land con v ersion, pollution and interisland bridg e existence. As a result, mang ro v e stands may be per manently in undated or drained; neither of which are conducive to survival and establishment.

T he objecti v es of this researc h w ere to deter mine the optimal time to collect seeds and the pericar p remo v al methods to suppor t the highest g er mination rate and to obtain the most vig orous seedling g ro wth of Avicennia marina . MATERIALS AND METHODS Seed Source Avicennia marina seeds w ere collected from mature trees near Suramadu Bridg e , Suraba ya (Fig.

1) on 15 October 2011, 15 No v ember 2011 and 15 December 2011. Fi v e hundred and fifty (550) seeds w ere transpor ted in dr y buc k ets t o t h e B i o l o g y D e p a r t m e n t o f A i r l a n g g a Uni v ersity and w ere maintained indoors at room temperature for 24 hours. T he da y of seed collection w as assigned as da y zero for all time- delineated treatments.

Seed Pr eparation T w o treatments w ere applied to the seeds , one da y after being collected. T he tw o treatments w ere: 1. seeds pre-soak ed in sea w ater ha ving v arious lev els of salinity until the pericar ps w ere self-remo v ed, and 2. hand-peeled dr y seeds . In the first treatment, there w ere 5 lev els of sea w ater salinity i.e . 0, 5, 10, 15 and 20 ppt.

Instant sea salt w as used to mak e these lev els of salinity . T en seeds w ere immersed in eac h of salinity lev el on the first da y after seeds collection (Da y 1). T he intention of seeds immersion w as to self-remo v e the pericar ps and to establish seeds Figure 1 Sampling locations for A. marina seed har v ests (A, B, C, D and E) near Suramadu bridg e 75 Seed g er mination of Avicennia marina b y pericar p remo v al treatment – Pur nobasuki and Utami buo yancy . s Seed buo yancy w as deter mined after 48 hours . Based on the buo yancy , seeds w ere categ orized as f loaters or sink ers . In the second treatment, pericar ps of 5 seeds w ere hand-peeled on Da y 1. T he peeled seeds w ere categ orized as peeled.

After the pericar ps w ere remo v ed in the first and second treatments, the seeds w ere w eighed and measured along the long est axis. Seed Planting Prior to planting, indi vidual polybags (7.5 x 22 cm) w ere filled with planting media consisted of sphagn um moss and V er miculite mix. P olybags w ere placed in racew a y tables in a g reenhouse at t h e B i o l o g y D e p a r t m e n t o f U n i v e r s i t a s A i r l a n g g a.

The racewaytables werekept submerged in sea water allowing planting media to be saturated with seawater prior to planting, thus simulating natural ger mination conditions (Alleman & Hester 2010). Ther mometers were placed in between the submerged raceway tables and at the ends of the tables. Water temperature was recorded within 30 minutes inter val for the duration of the study.

Air temperature w as m a i n t a i n e d b e t w e e n 3 5 a n d 3 7 ° C u s i n g ther mostat-controlled heaters and exhaust fans. T he pericar p-peeled seeds w ere placed on top of the planting media. One seed w as placed in eac h polybag located on racew a y tables . Eac h seed w as placed similarly oriented, standing v er tically to facilitate equal g ro wth of the radicle into the planting medium.

Seed Ger mination T o deter mine the effect of seed collection dates on seed g er mination, the percentag e of seeds g er mination w as compared based on seed collection dates and the seed treatments i.e . hand- peeled, f loater (0 ppt) and sink er (0 ppt). Fivereplications wereappliedtocomparethe percentage ofseedsgermination.

T h e comparison w as car ried out on Da y 48 i.e. on 15 Marc h 2012 to allo w the earliest planting of A. marina in Suraba ya coastal area. Ger mination R ate Avicennia marina produces cr ypto vi viparous p r o p a g u l e s w h i c h m e a n s t h a t e m b r y o n i c axis/h ypocotyl of the dev eloping embr y o does n o t p e n e tratethe s e e d c o a t.

Therefore, seedgermination wasrecordedwhen<mark>thee</mark>picotyl's tr ue leaveswere visible bey ond the cotyledon(Fig.2). Propagulevi<mark>abil</mark> ity was recorded daily for the first 28 days for each treatment by observing the vig or and g ro wth. Ger mination rate is deter mined b y calculating the g er mination percentag e of different time inter v al after planting and then plotting these data.

T he cum ulati v e g er mination o v er time w as compared among treatments . T he g er mination rate of eac h treatment w as calculated using for m ula as follo ws (Ranal & De Santana 2006): Ger mination P ercenta ge Ger mination percentag e is the n umber of g e r m i n a t e d s e e d s f r o m a s e e d p o p u l a t i o n.

Ger mination percentag e of seeds w as compared among treatments after 28 da ys of study . P ercentag e of seed g er mination w as calculated for eac h re plication, from whic h the a v erag e w as calculated for eac h treatment. Figure 2 Basic post-g er mination anatom y of an Avicennia marina propagule (Cr umbie 1987) Seedling V igor Seedling vig or w as quantified b y measuring seedling height (mm) and stem length (mm) from the soil surface to the ter minal bud.

Mean height of plants for eac h seed collection date w as measured three times during the g ro wing period. Seedlings from seeds collected in October w ere 76 BIOTR OPIA V ol. 23 No . 2, 2016 measured at 62, 75 and 165 Da ys Since Collected ( D S C ) . S e e d l i n g s f r o m s e e d s c o I I e c t e d i n No v ember w ere measured at 43, 70, 92 and 133 DSC and seedlings from seeds collected in December w ere measured at 79, 104 and 126 DSC . Seeds that had not g er minated w ere not included in the seedling vig or analysis .

Data Anal ysis Analysis of v ariance (ANO V A) and Duncan's Multiple Rang e T est (DMR T) at p < 0.05 w ere car ried out for data analysis . Data w ere analyzed using MS Ex cel and SPSS v ersion 10 to explore p o s s i b l e t r e a t m e n t v a r i a t i o n s . A N O V A w a s car ried out to deter mine the treatments effects on seed g er mination percentag e as w ell as on height and diameter of the seedlings .

DMR T w as used to compare the mean of g er mination percentag e, height and diameter in eac h pre-so wing treatment. RESUL TS AND DISCUSSION Seed g er mination is a response c haracterized b y three parameters i.e. percentag e, rate, and unifor mity (Har tmann et al. 2002). Ger mination percentag e is the n umber of g er minated seeds from a seed population.

Ger mination rate is the "speed or v elocity" of g er mination and can be expressed as the time needed for a defined percentag e of seed to g er minate . Ger mination unifor mity is a measurement of the time needed for all seeds to g er minate . T he mean w eight and length of seeds among the treatments v aried slightly (T able 1). Ho w ev er , the larg est and the smallest seeds w ere sink ers and there w as no evidence of seed size effect among treatments . Ger mination percentag e w as not linear over time and became asymptotic after 40 da ys of seeds collection (Fig . 3). After 28 da ys, the hand-peeled s e e d s t r e a t m e n t h a d t h e l o w e s t (21%) g e r m i n a t i o n p e r c e n t a g e (Fig . 3 a n d 4).

Ger mination rates for the f loaters and sink ers soak ed in 0 ppt treatments w ere not different from those soak ed in other salinity lev els . Therefore , the subsequent g er mination trials only used seeds soak ed in 0 ppt salinity and hand- peeled seeds for pericar p remo v al (Fig . 3). Seed size is commonly used as an indicator of seed viability and vig or for many plant species (Murali 1997), but the relationship is highly v aried and therefore, typically abandoned as reliable indicator outside ag ricultural applications .

Seed size and buo yancy ha v e been examined in mang ro v e species as indicators of seedling perfor mance , but primarily as factors inf luencing seed dispersal (Rabino witz 1978a, 1978b , 1978c). T he studies of Rabino witz (1978a) sug g ested that the buo yancy and seed size of Avicennia and other mang ro v e species may deter mine the zonation of seed de position, but mak e no indication of viability and vig or .

In this study, although seed size v aried slightly, there w ere no differences in g er mination rate among seed sizes. T herefore, s e I e c t i o n o f A . m a r i n a s e e d s w e r e n o t differentiated b y size. T able 1 Mean ( $\pm$ SE) of seed w eight (g) and length (mm) for seeds collected in October T reatment W eight (g) Floaters Sink ers P eeled 0 ppt 4 . 1  $\pm$  0.08 ab 3 .9

 $\pm$  0.37 ab 5 ppt 4 . 4  $\pm$  0.23 ab 3 .8  $\pm$  0.15 ab 10 ppt 4 .1  $\pm$  0.10 ab 4 .5  $\pm$  0.72 a 15 ppt 3 .9  $\pm$  0.09 ab 3 .2  $\pm$  0.22 b 20 ppt 3 .8  $\pm$  0.11 ab 3 .6  $\pm$  0.24 ab P eeled - - 4 .2  $\pm$  0.07 ab Lengt h (mm) 0 ppt 28.9  $\pm$  0.28 a 29.1  $\pm$  1.33 a 5 ppt 29.9  $\pm$  0.28 a 29.4  $\pm$  0.55 a 10 ppt 28.4  $\pm$  0.37 a 28.7  $\pm$  0.49 a 15 ppt 27.6  $\pm$  0.38 a 24.7  $\pm$  0.89 b 20 ppt 28.7  $\pm$  0.29 a 27.3  $\pm$ 1.02 ab P eeled 29.4  $\pm$  0.22 a Notes: Numbers follo w ed b y the same letters in the same column are not significantly different at p <0.05 77 Seed g er mination of Avicennia marina b y pericar p remo v al treatment – Pur nobasuki and Utami Ger mination rates for the f loaters and sink ers soak ed in 0 ppt treatments w ere not different from those soak ed in other salinity lev els .

Therefore , the subsequent g er mination trials only used seeds soak ed in 0 ppt salinity lev el and hand-peeled seeds for pericar p remo v al (Fig . 3). Ger mination percentag es of seeds soak ed in v arious lev els of salinity w ere not significantly different. T herefore , treatment comparison for all collected seeds only used seeds soak ed in 0 ppt salinity lev el (Fig . 4). Mean of seed sizes v aried among treatments and collection dates , but there w ere no ob vious trends among pericar p remo v al treatments, salinity lev els or collection dates (T able 2). Ger mination rate w as relati v ely different for seeds collected in October , No v ember or December (Fig. 5). Seeds collected in October g er minated faster (78%) than seeds collected in December (11.3%) (Fig. 6).

Ho w ev er , on 15 Marc h 2012 (48 DSC), the a v erag es of g er mination percentag e w ere different among treatments (Fig . 7). Figure 3 Cum ulati v e g er mination percentag e at 48 DSC (Da ys Since Collected) for f loaters , sink ers and peeled treatments for A. marina seeds collected on 1 October 2011.

Si = sink ers exposed to 0 ppt; Fl = f loaters exposed to 0 ppt; P e = peeled seeds; Sob = combinations of seeds soak ed in v arious salinity lev els and buo yancy treatments; v er tical line indicates 28 DSC for seeds collected on 1 October 2011 Figure 4 Mean (±SE) of g er mination percentag e per treatment in 28 DSC for seeds collected on 1 October 2011. F = f loaters; S = sink ers; P = peeled seeds; the n umbers follo wing either F or S re presents salinity lev els .

Bar c har ts ha ving the same letters on top are not significantly different at p <0.05 78 BIOTR OPIA V ol. 23 No . 2, 2016 Seeds peeling to expose embr y os ha v e been car ried out b y other researc hers in different experiments (Ar rillag a et al . 1992). Seeds collected in October and No v ember g er minated sooner than those collected in December , ho w ev er , the total g er mination w as not different in 48 DSC . Seeds collected in October and No v ember g rew to similar sizes in 48 DSC and both g rew more vig orously than those collected in December .

Duration and sea w ater temperature most lik ely inf luenced the seed g er mination and g ro wth rates of seeds collected in December . Fur ther study is w ar ranted to deter mine the relationship betw een duration, sea w ater temperature and propagule perfor mance . Hand-peeled seeds g er minated later compared to seeds soak ed in v arious lev els of s a l i n i t y , b u t w i t h n o d i f f e r e n c e s i n t o t a l g er mination. T he stem length a v erag e of hand- peeled seed w as nominally g reater for all seeds collected b y 15 Marc h 2012.

Agitation of soaking seaw ater at v arious salinity lev els w as obser v ed to dela y g er mination in Avicennia ger minans (McMillan 1971). T he dela y of g er mination displa yed in peeled seeds might indicate that there w ere other stim ulus besides immobilization as an initiator of g er mination in this species, suc h as seed moisture, pericar p abscission and seed abscission. T he potential for dela yed g er mination of cr ypto vi viparous seed is j u s t i f i c a t i o n f o r f u r t h e r i nv e s t i g a t i o n . T h i s T able 2 Mean (±SE) of seed length (mm) and w eight (g) for f loaters (0 ppt), sink ers (0 ppt) and peeled seeds for seeds collected in October , No v ember and December T reatment Collected in October No v ember December Lengt h (mm) Floaters 2 9 .6 ± 0.28 ab 3 1 .2 ± 0.29 a 28 .4 ± 0.42 b Sink ers 2 9 .4 ± 1.33 ab 2 9 .9 ± 0.34 ab 2 9 .9 ± 0.50 ab P eeled 3 1 .2 ± 0.29 a 2 9 .6 ± 0.29 ab 30 .7 ± 0.31 ab W eight (g) Floaters 3.0 ± 0.08 ab 3.3 ± 0.09 a 2.4 ± 0.13 b Sink ers 2.9 ± 0.37 ab 3.0 ± 0.09 ab 2.9 ± 0.12 ab P eeled 3.2 ± 0.07 a 3.0 ± 0.07 ab 3.2 ± 0.11 a Figure 5 Cum ulati v e ger mination percentag e for seeds collected in October , No v ember and December in 48 DSC, in 0 ppt salinity lev el.

October collection is re presented b y blac k lines; No v ember collection is re presented b y light g ray lines; December collection is re presented b y dark g ray lines . Hand-peeled seeds are re presented b y shor t dashes , f loaters are re presented b y solid lines and sink ers are re presented b y long dashes 79 Seed g er mination of Avicennia marina b y pericar p remo v al treatment – Pur nobasuki and Utami condition w as not consistent with researc h results of Hu et al.

(2009) who re por ted that remo v al of pericar p impro v ed the g er mination percentag e up to 90% from 44% in seeds of Hedysar um scoparium and 60 - 100% in seeds of Or yza sati v a (Miy oshi & Sato 1997). P ericar p remo v al did not pro vide significant effects on seedling perfor mance in ter ms of dr y masses of e picotyls and roots of seedlings.

T his result w as slightly different from the study results of Liu et al . (2012). T his might be caused b y mec hanical constraints rather than c hemical inhibitors . It is g enerally acce pted that e picotyl dor mancy of acor ns in the field is mainly caused b y inhibitors in cotyledons , embr y os or pericar ps (Liu et al .

2012). T his w as consistent with most researc h (R ober tson et al . 2006, Finney 2011) who re por ted pericar p-imposed dor mancy on seeds . O u r s t u d y s u g g e s t e d t h a t p e r i c a r p w a s responsible for seed dor mancy because seeds with intact pericar p w ere v er y dor mant; therefore , remo v al of the pericar p significantly increased g er mination percentag e and resulted in complete g er mination of viable seeds .

Figure 6 Mean (±SE) of g er mination percentag e of A. marina seeds in 48 DSC for seeds collected on 1 October 2011, 1 No v ember 2011 and 1 December 2011. Floaters are re presented b y blac k bars; sink ers are re presented b y g ra y bars; hand-peeled

seeds are re presented b y white bars . Bar c har <mark>ts ha ving the same letters on top are not significantly different at p <0.05 Figure 7 Mean (±SE) of g er mination percentag e in 48 DSC for seeds collected on 1 October 2011, 1 No v ember 2011 and 1 December 2011.</mark>

Floaters are re presented b y blac k bars; sink ers are re presented b y g ra y bars; hand-peeled seeds are re presented b y white bars . Bar c har ts ha ving the same letters on top are not significantly different at p <0.05 80 BIOTR OPIA V ol. 23 No . 2, 2016 T he means of seedlings stem lengths for seeds collected in October and No v ember w ere not s i g n i f i c a n t l y d i f f e r e n t i n 4 8 D S C a m o n g t r e a t m e n t s . H o w e v e r , s e e d s c o l l e c t e d i n December had significantly shor ter seedlings stem length in 48 DSC (Fig. 8).

Rang e of sea w ater salinity in the mang ro v e habitats of Suraba ya coast is 17 - 30 ppt all year round. Sea w ater salinity can widely v ar y due to pollution, hur ricane and f lood whic h introduce larg e v olumes of sea w ater or freshw ater to the coastal estuaries .

T he studies of Rabino witz (1978 a, b, c) and McK ee (1995) indicated that the salinity lev el of the soaking sea w ater used to immerse seeds might affect viability duration during dispersal. Ho w ev er, results of this study indicated that there w ere no cor relations betw een buo yancy or salinity lev el of soaking sea w ater used to immerse seeds and seed viability or vig or.

T h i s s t u d y , h owe v e r , s u g g e s t e d t h a t s e e d s collection date might inf luence seed viability and vig or, suc h as sho wn in the g er mination of Avicennia ger minans (Finney 2011). Seeds collection date is commonly used in hor ticulture and ag riculture to predict seed viability and crop yield as w ell as to obser ve the relationship betw een seed maturity and viability (Basra 1995). Ho w ev er , cor relations betw een early and late seed collections are not consistent among species .

Seeds collected in later dates and their maturity had been sho wn to positi v ely inf luence seed quality and vig or in so ybeans Gly cine max (T eKrony et al. 1984) as w ell as other culti v ated crops and trees (Basra 1995). Maxim um seed viability and vig or occurred earlier in seed maturation for tomatoes Solanum ly copersicum (Demir & Ellis 1992).

R elationships betw een propagule and seed maturity as w ell as viability among vi viparous mang ro v e g enera are poorly defined (F ar nsw or th 2000). T his researc h indicated that salt is not needed in the soaking process to remo v e pericar p. Seeds

collected in earlier date experienced quic k er g er mination and subsequently produced larg er plants at the earliest time of field planting.

Ho w ev er , long er maintenance w as required to g ro w the seedlings. Seed collected in later date p r o d u c e d s m a l l e r p l a n t s , b u t r e q u i r e d l e s s g r e e n h o u s e t i m e w i t h n o d i f f e r e n c e i n g er mination viability .

Ger mination can be dela yed for a shor t time b y hand-peeling the pericar ps from dr y seeds with equal or g reater g er mination and g ro wth rates, ho w ev er, it requires more labor (Finney 2011). CONCLUSIONS R e m o v a l o f s e e d p e r i c a r p i m p r o v e d g er mination. T here w ere no cor relations betw een buo yancy or salinity lev el of soaking sea w ater used to immerse seeds and seed viability or vig or.

Seeds collection date might inf luence seed viability and vig or. Seeds collected in October and No v ember g er minated more quic kly than those collected in December, although there w as no Figure 8 Mean (±SE) of seedlings stem length for seeds collected in October, No v ember and December in 48 DSC.

Floaters are re presented b y white bars; sink ers are re presented b y g ra y bars; hand-peeled seeds are re presented b y blac k bars . Bar c har ts ha ving the same letters on top are not significantly different at p <0.05 81 Seed g er mination of Avicennia marina b y pericar p remo v al treatment – Pur nobasuki and Utami significant difference in total g er mination.

Seeds collected in October and No v ember had similar g ro wth and both g rew more vig orously than those collected in December . Simple alterations in seed treatment can enhance seed g er mination. ACKNO WLEDGEMENTS W e thank Djok o Suw ondo for his g reat suppor t in our ?eld researc h and Prof Mitsuo Suzuki PhD .

for his helpful comments and criticis on the man uscript. W e also thank Ade Her ma w an a n d S y a i f u I Y a h y a f o r t h e i r ? e I d - s a m p I i n g assistance. REFERENCES Ar rillag a I, Marzo T , Segura J . 1992. Embr y o culture of F raxinus or nus and Sorbus domestica remo v es seed dor mancy . Hor t Science 27:371 . Alleman LK, Hester MW . 2010.

R efinement of the fundamental nic he of blac k mang ro v e (Avicennia ger minans) seedling in Louisiana: applications for restoration. W etl Ecol Manag 19:47-60. Baskin CC , Baskin JM. 1998. Seeds: ecolog y , biog eog raph y , and ev olution of dor mancy and g er mination. San Dieg o, CA (US): Academic Press. 666 p . Basra AS . 1995. Seed quality: the basic mec hanisms and ag ricultural implications. Binghamton, NY (US): Ha w or th

Press . 376 p. Chac¢on P, Bustamante R O. 2001.

Effect of seed size and pericar p on seedling recr uitment and biomass in Cr yptocar y a alba (Lauraceae) under tw o contrasting moisture regimes . Plant Ecol 152:137-44. Cr umbie MC . 1987. Avicennia marina : the g rey mang ro v e: g eneral notes and obser v ations . Bull Emirates Nat Hist Gr (Abu Dhabi) 32:2-13. Demir I, Ellis RH. 1992. Chang es in seed quality during seed dev elopment and maturation in tomato . Seed Sci R es 2:81-7. F ar nsw or th E. 2000.

T he ecolog y and ph ysiolog y of vi viparous and recalcitrant seeds . Ann Revue Ecol Systematics 31:107-38. Finney W . 2011. Comparati v e g ro wth and propagule viability of Louisiana-har v ested blac k mang ro v e , Avicennia ger minans . Master T hesis . F aculty of Sciences in Marine and En vironmental Biolog y , Nic holls State Uni v ersity , T hibodaux, LA (US). 34 p .

Har tmann HT, K ester DE, Da vies Jr FT, Genev e RL. 2002. th Plant propag ation: principles and practices . 7 ed. Upper Saddle Ri v er, NJ (US): Prentice Hall. 869 p. Hu XW, W ang YR, W u YP. 2009. Effects of the pericar p on i m b i b i t i o n, s e e d g e r m i n a t i o n, a n d s e e d l i n g establishment in seeds of Hedysar um scoparium Fisc h.

et Mey . Ecol R es 24(3): 559-64. Hutc hings P, Saeng er P . 1987. Ecolog y of mang ro v es . St. Lucia (A U): Uni v ersity of Queensland Press . 388 p . Lewis RR III. 2000. Ecologically based g oal setting in mang ro v e forest and tidal marsh restoration. Ecol Engin 15:191-8. Liu Y , Liu GQ, Li QM, Liu Y , Hou L Y . 2012. Inf luence of pericar p , cotyledon and inhibitor y substances on shar p tooth oak ( Quer cus aliena v ar .

acuteser rata ) g er mination. PLoS One 7(10): e47682. McK ee K. 1995. Seedling recr uitment patter ns in a Belizean mang ro v e forest: effects of establishment ability and ph ysico-c hemical factors . Oecologia 101:448- 60. McMillan C . 1971. En vironmental factors affecting seedling establishment of the blac k mang ro v e on the central T exas coast. Ecolog y 52:927-30.

Miransari M, Smith DL. 2014. Plant hor mones and seed g er mination. En viron Exper Bot 99:110-21. Miy oshi K, Sato T . 1997. R emo v al of the pericar p and testa of seeds of japonica and indica rice ( Or yza sati v a ) at v arious o xyg en concentrations has opposite effects on g er mination. Ph ysiol Plant 99(1):1-6. Murali KS. 1997. P atter ns of seed size , g er mination and seed viability of tropical tree species in souther n India. Biotropica 29:271-9. Mur ra y MR, Zisman SA, Furley P A, Munro DM, Gibson J , Ratter J , Bridg ew ater S , Minty CD , Place CJ . 2003. T he mang ro v es of Belize P ar t 1. Distribution, composition and classification. F or Ecol Manag e 74:265-79. Og a w a K, Iw abuc hi M. 2001. A mec hanism for promoting the g er mination of Zinnia ele g ans seeds b y h y drog en pero xide . Plant Cell Ph ysiol 42(3):286–91. Rabino witz D . 1978a.

Dispersal proper ties of mang ro v e propagules . Biotropica 10:47-57. Rabino witz D . 1978b. Mor tality and initial propagule size in mang ro v e seedlings in P anama. J Ecol 66:45-51. Rabino witz D . 1978c. Early gro wth of mang ro v e seedlings in P anama and an hypothesis concer ning the relationship of dispersal and zonation. J Biog eog r 5:113-33.

Ranal MA, De Santana DG . 2006. Ho w and wh y to measure the g er mination process . R evista Brasil Bot 29(1):1-11. R ober tson A W , T rass A, Lasley JJ , K elly D . 2006. Assessing the benefits of fr ugi v or y for seed g er mination: the impor tance of the deinhibition effect. Funct Ecol 20:58–66. Sari A, Ogu B, Bilgic A. 2006. Breaking seed dor mancy of laurel ( Laur us nobilis L.). New F or 31:403–8. 82 BIOTR OPIA V ol. 23 No .

2, 2016 Simpson GM. 1990. Seed dor mancy in g rasses . New Y ork (US): Cambridg e University Press. 297 p . T ak os IA, 2001. Seed dor mancy in ba y laurel ( Laur us nobilis L.). New F or 21:105–14. T eKrony DM, Egli DB , Balles J , T omes L. 1984. Effect of date of collected maturity on so ybean seed quality and Phomopsis sp . seed infection. Crop Science 24:189-93. T omlinson PB . 1986.

T he botany of mang ro v es . New Y ork ( U S ) : P r e s s S y n d i <mark>c a t e</mark> o f t h e U n iv <mark>e r s i t y</mark> o f Cambridg e. 419 p . United States De <mark>par tment of Ag</mark> riculture (USD A). 2009. N a t u r a l r e s o u r c <mark>e c o n</mark> s e r va <mark>t i o n s</mark> e r v i c e . <mark>P l a n</mark> t materials center . Plant guide for blac k mang ro v e . 1-3 .

83 Seed g er mination of Avicennia marina b y pericar p remo v al treatment – Pur nobasuki and Utami

## **INTERNET SOURCES:**

\_\_\_\_\_

<1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/225259782\_Are\_the\_northernmost\_mangrove s\_of\_West\_Africa\_viable\_-\_a\_case\_study\_in\_Banc\_d%27Arguin\_National\_Park\_Mauritania <1% -

https://gabrielrockhill.files.wordpress.com/2017/05/resistanceradicalismsandaesthetics-program.pdf

<1% -

https://www.bir.org.uk/media/373573/annual\_congress\_programme\_booklet\_-\_may\_30. pdf

<1% - http://www.edctp.org/web/app/uploads/2017/06/EDCTP-June-2017-Update1.pdf <1% - https://issuu.com/plu-archives/docs/1963-1964\_catalog\_of\_pacific\_luther <1% -

https://www.griffith.edu.au/\_\_data/assets/pdf\_file/0032/359537/Griffith-Learning-and-Te aching-Capabilities-Framework-2018-COURSE-CONVENOR.pdf

<1% -

http://www.mannkal.org/downloads/links/Australian%20of%20Year\_%20dedicated%20s urgeon%20Charlie%20Teo%20a%20pioneer.pdf

<1% -

https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/Voluntary%20and%20Forced%20 Returns%20to%20Afghanistan%20in%202016\_17\_%20Trends%2C%20statistics%20and% 20experiencesAfghanistan%20Analysts%20Network%20\_%20Afghanistan%20Analysts% 20Network.pdf

<1% - https://journal.biotrop.org/index.php/biotropia/article/viewFile/349/303 7% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/313844620\_Seed\_germination\_of\_Avicennia\_ marinaForsk\_Vierh\_by\_pericarp\_removal\_treatment

<1% - https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs11284-008-0524-y <1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/312935341\_Seed\_germination\_of\_Avicennia\_ marina\_Forsk\_Vierh\_by\_pericarp\_removal\_treatment

<1% - http://pubs.rsna.org/doi/pdf/10.1148/radiology.218.2.r01fe30598

<1% - https://www.fq.math.ca/Scanned/26-2/advanced26-2.pdf

<1% - http://www.slc.ca.gov/About/Docs/StrategicPlan.pdf

<1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280968564\_Incidence\_of\_sexually\_transmitted \_hepatitis\_C\_virus\_infection\_in\_HIV-positive\_MSM

<1% - https://about.me/indranidawn

<1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2440615\_Genetic\_Programming\_for\_classificat ion\_of\_brain\_tumours\_from\_Nuclear\_Magnetic\_Resonance\_biopsy\_spectra <1% -

http://bioversityinternational.org/fileadmin/bioversity/publications/Web\_version/108/ch 02.htm

<1% - http://ufdc.ufl.edu/UFE0013487/00001

<1% -

http://libsysdigi.library.illinois.edu/OCA/Books2009-11/proceedingsofann/proceedingsof

ann761975amer/proceedingsofann761975amer\_djvu.txt

<1% -

https://digitalbell-bucket.s3.amazonaws.com/349E725E-5056-907D-8D24-187AD23A205 0.pdf

<1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Olusegun\_Osunkoya2/publication/248899216\_Pop ulation\_Structure\_Spatial\_Pattern\_and\_Seedling\_Establishment\_of\_the\_Grey\_Mangrove\_A vicennia\_marina\_var\_australasica\_in\_New\_Zealand/links/0a85e53b4c8ae3b20800000/Po pulation-Structure-Spatial-Pattern-and-Seedling-Establishment-of-the-Grey-Mangrove-Avicennia-marina-var-australasica-in-New-Zealand.pdf

<1% - https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10811-013-0160-y

<1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/51873823\_Phage-based\_biocontrol\_strategies \_to\_reduce\_foodborne\_pathogens\_in\_foods

<1% - https://issuu.com/afab\_tech/docs/afab\_volume-4\_issue-3

<1% - http://ufdc.ufl.edu/UF00028315/00149

<1% -

http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/984641468781164095/text/multi-page.txt <1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Robert\_Burridge/publication/245345003\_An\_Intelli gent\_Software\_Architecture\_for\_Semiautonomous\_Robot\_Control/links/548205470cf2f5 dd63a895fd.pdf?origin=publication\_list

1% -

http://www.academia.edu/31522583/SEED\_GERMINATION\_OF\_Avicennia\_marina\_Forsk.\_ Vierh.\_BY\_PERICARP\_REMOVAL\_TREATMENT

<1% - https://issuu.com/estelledig/docs/gem1927

<1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/316605025\_Productivity\_Growth\_and\_the\_Revival\_of\_Russian\_Agriculture