# Degenerative spondylolisthesis: the preferable surgical technique

by Komang Agung Irianto

**Submission date:** 20-Sep-2018 06:31PM (UTC+0800)

**Submission ID: 1005241316** 

File name: 4. Degenerative - Dr Komang BMJ 2018.pdf (1.15M)

Word count: 3600

Character count: 20693

Bali Medical Journal (*Bali Med J*) 2018, Volume 7, Number 1: 215-219 P-ISSN.2089-1180, E-ISSN.2302-2914



# "Degenerative spondylolisthesis: the preferable surgical technique"



Komang Agung Irianto,\* Firman W. Hatmoko, Laskar P K

#### **ABSTRACT**

Introduction: Degenerative Spondylolisthesis is the major cause of low back pain, radiculopathy, and neurogenic claudication. The incidence rate for the general population ranged from 5% to 7%. Surgical method on degenerative spondylolisthesis is highly recommended.

Objective: The purpose of this review is to determine the preferable surgical procedures for degenerative spondylolisthesis, which are still debatable.

Methods: A total of fifty relevant literatures were researched regarding degenerative spondylolisthesis, the diagnostic procedure, and the treatment from the period of 2007-2017. The author has chosen which surgical technique that is preferable between TLIF (Transforaminal Lumbal Interbody Fusion) and PLF (Posterior Lumbal Fusion), from the so-called 50 literature journals.

Results and Discussion: The results showed that there was no significant difference regarding the back pain using Visual Analog Scale (VAS) during the preoperative procedure, in the operating level, the treatment duration, and the post-surgical complications between the two groups. There was also no significant difference in terms of leg pain (using VAS) between those two groups. On the other hand, the amount of blood loss, the duration of the surgery and successful fusion were significantly higher in the TLIF group than the PLF group.

Conclusion: When determining the surgical procedure, the author discovered the TLIF to be comparatively superior to the PLF regarding the fusion success, despite the longer surgical duration and higher amount of blood loss.

Keywords: Spondylolisthesis, Decompression, Fusion, TLIF, PLF

Cite This Article: Irianto, K.A., Hatmoko, F.W., Laskar P.K. 2018. "Degenerative spondylolisthesis: the preferable surgical technique". Bali Medical Journal 7 (1): 215-219. DOI:10.15562/bmj.v7i1.873

Jatiroto General Hospital Surabaya Orthopedic and Traumatology Hospital Department of Orthopaedic and Traumatology, Medical Faculty of Airlangga University, Indonesia.

#### INTRODUCTION

The term spondylolisthesis referred to "slipping", or olisthesis, which means the shifting vertebra ("spondylos" in Latin) toward closely jointed vertebras.¹ Spondylolisthesis is classified into dysplasia, isthmic, degenerative, traumatic, and pathologic.² Within this review article, degenerative spondylolisthesis will be analyzed.

Degenerative spondylolisthesis is the most common case of spondylolisthesis, which evidently cripples the patients. <sup>4,5</sup> Degenerative spondylolisthesis is often observed in middle-aged patients or older, with the estimated incidence rate in the US cohort study, ranging from 14 to 30% of the total population. <sup>6</sup>

The treatment for spondylolisthesis is still a challenge for orthopedic surgeons. <sup>7,8</sup> In the patient care for degenerative spondylolisthesis, a clinician is required to have the basic knowledge of epidemiology, diagnostic, and the proper management of the current condition. <sup>9</sup> A lot of patients with spondylolisthesis are managed with conservative therapy. <sup>10</sup> However, surgical method is highly recommended when the conservative therapy was deemed unsuccessful. <sup>11</sup> Surgical therapy on

degenerative spondylolisthesis provides a huge benefit which lasts longer than non-surgical therapy.<sup>5</sup> Surgical method has been proven to be more effective compared to non-surgical therapy in the last 4 years.<sup>12</sup> The preferred surgical technique for degenerative spondylolisthesis is still debatable to this day.

#### **EPIDEMIOLOGY**

The incidence rate for spondylolisthesis in the general population is around 6%, with the comparison between men: women are 2:1. The incidence rate of spondylolisthesis in children under 6 years of age is around 2,6%, while in adults is around 5,4% Degenerative spondylolisthesis rarely affects individual around 40 years of age, and the incidence is higher in women than in men, and more on the African-American than the Caucasian. On the elderly population, degenerative spondylolisthesis is more common. Anatomically, the most common causes include disc degeneration, facet arthropathy, ligamentous hyperlaxity, and declining muscular stability. Descriptions of the second common causes included is a degeneration and declining muscular stability.

\*Correspondence to: S Komang Agung I S, Dr. Soetomo General Hospital, Medical Faculty of Airlangga University, Jln Prof Dr. Moestopo 6-8 Surabaya, Indonesia komang 168@yahoo.com

Received: 2017-10-04 Accepted: 2017-12-6 Published: 2017-12-12

#### **CLINICAL EVALUATION**

Anamnesis and physical examination are still the best diagnostic approach in order to determine the treatment and management of spondylolisthesis. 13,16 Almost all patients with spondylolisthesis are at early ages, thus obscuring any relatable signs or symptoms.18 Lumbar instability is considered to be the main cause of low back pain (LBP), and this fact is linked to the pathologic mechanism of several spine abnormalities, such as spondylolisthesis. 19 The diagnostic approach for spondylolisthesis is determined in two stages, inspection and the palpation. Interspinous gap changes during the flexion and extension of the lumbar, this is performed in order to detect any signs of lumbar instability, of which both are performed while standing upright. The patients are requested to flex their back, and the physician observes from the uppermost point until the base (cranial-caudal). The physician then proceeds to apply some pressure on the patients' hip toward the table located in front of the physician, resulting in lumbar extension from the previously flexed state. Tenderness is evident when the interspinous spaces are palpated with a wider gap, appearing during the shift from flexing to extending.2

#### RADIOLOGIC EVALUATION

The role of imaging or radiology examination is to support the clinical diagnosis of degenerative spondylolisthesis. Modalities that can be used are X-ray, MRI single and triple sequence, CT Scan, USG dan myelographic. Lateral radiographic imaging proves to be beneficial for documenting spondylolisthesis. Lateral radiographic spondylolisthesis.

The Wiltse Classification divided spondylolisthesis according to the respective anatomy and etiology: isthmic, dysplastic, degenerative, traumatic, and pathologic.<sup>23</sup> On the contrary/On the other hand, Meyerding developed a grading system by measuring the number of shifts as the percentage of the vertebral diameter below the shifted vertebra. Meyerding defined the grade I with a range of shift between 0-25%, grade II between 26-50%, grade III between 51-75%, grade IV between 76-100%, and grade V (spondyloptosis) more than 100%.<sup>2,24</sup>

The development from spondylolisthesis can be observed by the degree of the *slipping* and the progression of the patients' symptoms.<sup>15</sup>

#### MANAGEMENT

#### A. Conservative

Majority of patients with spondylolisthesis or patients with spondylolisthesis responded to conservative treatment; the spondylolisthesis would be of lower degree and or without radicular symptoms. 10,25 One method of therapy, which has been increasingly popular, is by applying restrictive braces. Braces are capable of maintaining the lordotic posture and anti-lordotic. The braces are meant to prevent movement due to stress fracture and provide a chance for osseous healing on the affected site. Recovery only occurred in several cases, instead of all the cases. The degree of the defect and the level of the spine are indications for union signs. A mild degree of defect often became union compared to the more severe cases.

"After medical treatment, in order to begin physical activity, conditioning the spine to be comprehensive or rehabilitated, which is seen as evidence-based intervention is the key to prevent abnormality progression.<sup>25</sup> Furthermore, declining in flexibility and stability of the muscles can have a negative impact towards the overall appearance, which also may be influenced by the worsening of the condition.<sup>26</sup>"

Past conservative therapy, in the event, that the *slipping* progress rapidly and resulted in radicular pain due to the pinched nerve root, surgical alternative would be then highly suggestible.<sup>27</sup>

#### **B. Surgery**

Surgical alternative is considered for patients whose slipping progress rapidly, radicular pain occurred, and when previous conservative therapy has failed them. Surgery is also the first option if the patients already having trouble in maintaining the standing duration or travelling distance 10,28,29 Patients whose already started to have problems in their urinary bladder or progressively leaning towards the weakening of the bladder, are also on the short list for surgical alternative. 30,31

#### Indications for surgery:

- 1. Progress in the Slipping
  - The higher the *slip*, the higher the chance for worsened progression. The slipping rarely progresses into adulthood although asymptomatic progress alone is an obvious indication for surgery (since worsened slipping and failed conservative therapy already clear indications for surgery).
- 2. Sagittal Alignment
  - High degree of slipping accompanied by significant kyphotic deformity of the lumbosacral resulting in the misalignment of the sagittal spinopelvic.
- 3. Neurological defect
  Surgery is considered when neurological weakness resulted in the compression of the root nerves? (Surgery is considered when there is

- compression of the root nerves resulting in neurological weakness).
- Back pain
   Low back pain is consequences of prolonged yet unresponsive conservative therapy.
- Symptoms of the foot
   Radicular pain related to the compression of
   the root nerve found in radiographic study that
   failed to respond to conservative therapy.<sup>32</sup>

This classification below are recommended in order to determine the type of surgery.<sup>7</sup>

In spinal surgery, it is divided into two types, the open type and the Minimally Invasive Surgery (MIS).

#### Open Surgery vs. Minimally Invasive Surgery

In the Open Surgery, vertebral fixation is performed using the muscle-dilating approach in order to minimalize the length of the surgical incision, the size of the surgical cavity, and the injuries on soft tissue due to iatrogenic process related to the whole surgical process, in the hope of achieving the best end results. There have never been articles published straightforwardly mentioned that MIS is more superior; though, there is a tendency of which MIS on the vertebras resulted in lower complication and morbidity rate related to the minimal soft tissue injury. In addition, there is reduced risk of intraoperative bleeding, better cosmetic result, reducing post-operative pain and narcotic use, and also promoting a shorter hospitalization period.<sup>31</sup>

MIS Fusion is indicated for low back pain along with/and grade I and II of spondylolisthesis related to radicular pain. Higher grade of spondylolisthesis proves to be more challenging and Open Surgery is recommended for optimal management.<sup>31</sup>

#### Decompression

The decompression of the non-fusion root nerve, which is a *less* invasive operating technique for

patients with plantar pain due to grade I spondylolisthesis, has a clinical outcome that is on par with other operating techniques. A study by Gill with 43 patients yielded a satisfactory 86% results. Weiner and McCulloch performed a unilateral nerve decompression which resulted in 8 out of 9 patients having a good result (maybe could be explained what is a good result). In some cases, this technique can be considered as the alternative for instrumented fusion. In order to minimalize the loss on the operation, identifying the selection/ inclusion criteria of the patient undergoing the Gill procedure or primary fusion becomes crucial. If decompression surgery on patients with stable grade I degenerative spondylolisthesis did not result in injuries to facet joints, then it would not increase the chance for slippage to occur. In radicular patients with insignificant pain in the lumbar spine, where the spondylotic vertebra has been restabilizing from slippage, decompression would be sufficient.22

The ideal surgical procedure for degenerative spondylolisthesis is still controversial. The surgical procedure the author currently review is the Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion (TLIF) and Posterolateral Fusion (PLF). Both procedures have their own advantages and disadvantages regarding patient's preparation, operation duration, and post operation.

#### TLIF vs. PLF

Posterolateral Fusion (PLF) with pedicle screw has been the gold standard for spondylolisthesis degenerative surgery. PLF is able to decompress the canal and fuse 360° using single posterior approach on spondylolisthesis. PLF showed satisfying results in majority of low to moderate grade cases, with a few correction here and there.<sup>33</sup> Even so, it is difficult to achieve *solid fusion* from posterior approach due to the fact that laminar bone removal limits the posterolateral bony host bed available for grafting.

Table 1 The scene for the classification of the instability on degenerative spondylolisthesis: A Qualitative guide for preoperative assessment of the stability

and the state of t			
Parameter	Type I, Stable	Type II, Potentially Unstable	Type III, Unstable
Low-Back Pain	None, or very mild	Primary or secondary complaint	Primary or secondary complaint
Restabilization	Restabilization signs, grossly narrowed disc height	Some restabilization signs, reduced disc height	No rest <mark>abi</mark> lization signs, normal to slightly reduced disc height
Disc Angle	Lordotic disc angle on flexion radiographs or < 3mm of translation on dynamic films.*	Neutral disc angle on flexion radiographs or 3-5 mm of translation on dynamic films.*	Kyphotic disc angle on flexion radiographs or > 5 mm of translation on dynamic films.*
Joint Effusion	No Facet joint effusions on MRI	Facet joint effusion on MRI without joint distraction	Large facet joint effusion on MRI

Dynamic films include flexion and extension radiographs or supine to standing radiographs.

Table 2 Therapeutical guideline according to the stratification of the grades in stability

Type of Stability Grade	Treatment
I. Stable	Decompression Alone
II. Potentially Unstable	Decompression & Posterior Fusion
III. Unstable	Decompression & Posterior Fusion + Interbody Fusion



Figure 1 (a) Degree of Slippage between each segment of vertebrae. (b). X-Ray lateral view, Extension, and Flexion



Figure 2 Pre-operative (a) and Post-operative X-ray Spondylolisthesis L4L5 after PLF(b) and TLIF (c)

Another fusion procedure is the Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion (TLIF). Theoretically, TLIF provides several advantages compared to PLF such as immobilizing a segment of the degenerating, decompressing nerve root, and returning the disc height and the root dimension canal. It is also capable to withstand the weight of the anterior structure. A successful interbody construction reduces the post-operative segment mobility and enabling a better union with the graft.<sup>34</sup>

In previous research conducted by Ghasemi AA in 2016 comparing/which compared TLIF with PLF in degenerative spondylolisthesis, the following data was found: There was no significant difference from the VAS relating to the pre-operative back pain between both groups. Furthermore, there was no significant in the group on the operative level, length of stay and postoperative complications. Also, there was no significant difference between those two groups regarding the VAS from leg pain. That study though showed that TLIF is superior

compared to PLF regarding functionality and fusion success.  $^{34}$ 

Another study stated that compared to other techniques, TLIF is capable of maintaining the ligament structure, thus allowing it to protect the biomechanical stability; of the segment structure and its surroundings. In TLIF, a single unilateral incision is able to provide support in the bilateral anterior column. <sup>31</sup>

#### CONCLUSION

Spondylolisthesis is when a slippage occurs between closely positioned vertebras. Anamnesis and physical examination become crucial in making the diagnosis of degenerative spondylolisthesis and in order to plan the treatment for degenerative spondylolisthesis using conservative therapy. However, in the event where conservative therapy failed, the surgical alternative would be recommended.

Surgical therapy on degenerative spondylolisthesis can be divided into 2 techniques, Open and Minimal Invasive Surgery, where both have their own advantages and disadvantages. The preferable surgical technique for degenerative spondylolisthesis is still controversial. In this review article, the author focused more on the comparison between two surgical procedures for degenerative spondylolisthesis, the Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion (TLIF) and Posterolateral Fusion (PLF). Both surgical procedures each have their advantages and disadvantages. This study showed that TLIF is superior compared to PLF regarding successful fusion though with more bleeding and longer period of hospital stay.

#### REFERENCE

- Hsieh CS, Lee HC, Oh HS, Park SJ, Hwang BW, Lee SH: Anterior lumbar interbody fusion with percutaneous pedicle screw fixation for multiple-level isthmic spondylolisthesis. Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery; 158 (2017) 49–52.
- Botelho RV, Foni NO, Gotfryd AO, Herrero CFPS, Daniel J, Meves R, Mudo ML, Simões RS, Zylbersztejn S, Bernardo WM: Degenerative spondylolisthesis: surgical treatment. Rev Assoc Med Bras 2014; 60(5): 400-403.
- Haun DW, Kettner NW: Spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis: a narrative review of etiology, diagnosis, and conservative management. J Chiropr Med 2005; 4(4): 206–217.
- Camara JR, Keen JR, Asgarzadie F: Functional Radiography in Examination of Spondylolisthesis. AJR 2015; 204: 461-469.
- Passias PG, Poorman CE, Yang S, Boniello AJ, Jalai CM, Worley N, Lafage V: Surgical Treatment Strategies for High-Grade Spondylolisthesis: A Systematic Review. International Journal of Spine Surgery 2015; 9 (50): 1-21.
- Cummins J, Lurie JD, Tosteson TD, Hanscom B, Abdu WA, Birkmeyer NJO, Herkowitz H, Weinstein J: Descriptive Epidemiology and Prior Healthcare Utilization of Patients

- in the Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial's (SPORT)
- Three Observational Cohorts. Spine 2006; 31(7): 806–814. Simmonds AM, Rampersaud YR, Dvorak MF, Dea N, Melnyk AD, Fisher CG: Defining the inherent stability of degenerative spondylolisthesis: a systematic review. J Neurosurg Spine 23:178-189, 2015
- Serhan H, Mhatre D, Defossez H, Bono CM. Motionpreserving technologies for degenerative lumbar spine: The past, present, and future horizons. SAS Journal 2011;5:75-89.
- Majid K, Fischgrund JS. Degenerative Lumbar Spondylolisthesis: Trends in Management. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2008;16:208-215.
- Rihn JA, Hilibrand AS, Zhao W, Lurie JD, Vaccaro AR, Albert TJ, Weinstein J: Effectiveness of Surgery for Lumbar Stenosis and Degenerative Spondylolisthesis in the Octogenarian Population. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2015:97:177-85.
- Backstrom KM, Whitman JM, Flynn TW: Lumbar spinal stenosis-diagnosis and management of the aging spine. Manual Therapy 2011; 16:308-317.
- Abdu WA, Lurie JD, Spratt KF, Tosteson ANA, Zhao W, Tosteson TD, Herkowitz H, Longely M, Boden SD, Emery S, Weinstein JN: Degenerative Spondylolisthesis: Does Fusion Method Influence Outcome? Four-Year Results of the Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial. Spine 2009; 34(21): 2351-2360.
- Mashaly H, Paschel EE, Khattar NK, Goldschmidt E, Gerszten PC: Posterior lumbar dynamic stabilization instead of arthrodesis for symptomatic adjacent-segment degenerative stenosis: description of a novel technique. Neurosurg Focus 2016; 40 (1):E5.
- Kalichman L, Hunter DJ: Diagnosis and conservative management of degenerative lumbar spondylolisthesis. Eur Spine J 2008; 17:327-335.
- Sadiq S, Meir A, Hughes SPF:Surgical management of spondylolisthesis overview of literature. Neurology India 2005;53(4):506-511.
- Metzger R, Chaney S: Spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis: What the primary care provider should know. Journal of the American Association of Nurse Practitioners 2014; 26: 5-12.
- Wang YXJ, Ka'pla'r Z, Deng M, Leung JCS: Lumbar degenerative spondylolisthesis epidemiology: A systematic review with a focus on gender-specific and age-specific prevalence. Journal of Orthopaedic Translation 2017; 11: 39-52
- Gillea O, ChallierV, Parent H, Cavagnac R, Poignardd A, Falinee A, Fuentes S, Ricart O, Ferrero E, Sliman MO: Degenerative lumbar spondylolisthesis. Cohort of 670 patients, and proposal of a new classification. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 2014; 1027: 1-5.
- Leonidou A, Lepetsos P, Pagkalos J, Antonis K, Flieger I, Tsiridis E,Leonidou O: Treatment for spondylolysis andspondylolisthesis in children. Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery 2015;23(3):379-82.
- Ahn K, Jhun HJ: New physical examination tests for lumbar spondylolisthesis and instability: low midline sill sign and interspinous gap change during lumbar flexion-extension motion.BMC

- Jiang G, Ye C, Luo J, Chen W: Which is the optimum surgical strategy for spondylolisthesis: Reduction or fusion in situ? A meta-analysis from 12 comparative studies. International Journal of Surgery 2017; 42:128-13.
- Arts M, Pondaag W, Peul, Thomeer R: Nerve root decompression without fusion in spondylolytic spondylolisthesis: long-term results of Gill's procedure. Eur Spine J 2006; 15(10):1455-63.
- Pasha IF, Qureshi MA, Haider IZ, Malik AS, Tahir UB: Surgical Treatment In Lumbar Spondylolisthesis Experience With 45 Patients. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2012;24(1): 75-78.
- Tebet MA: Current concepts on the sagittal balance and classification of spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis. Rev bras ortop 2014;49(1):3-12.
- Eismont. FJ, Norton RP, Hirsch BP: Surgical Management of Lumbar Degenerative Spondylolisthesis. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2014; 22: 203-213.
- Motley G, Nyland J, Jacobs J, Caborn DNM: The Pars Interarticularis Stress Reaction, Spondylolysis, and Spondylolisthesis Progression. Journal of Athletic Training 1998;33(4):351-358.
- Moller H, Sundin A, Hedlund R: Symptoms, Signs, and Functional Disability in Adult Spondylolisthesis. Spine 2000; 25 (6): 683-689.
- Chaitanya M, Mittal A, Rallapalli R, Teja R, Prasad YS: Surgical Management of Spondylolisthesis by Pedicular Screw Rod System and Postero-Lateral Fusion. Open Journal of Orthopedics 2015; 5: 163-174.
- Roussouly P, Meyrat RB: High-Grade Spondylolisthesis: Partial Reduction. Spinal Surgery; 6(65): 638-645.
- Denard PJ, Holton KF, Miller J, Fink HA, Kado DM, Marshall LM, Yoo JU:Back Pain, Neurogenic Symptoms, and Physical Function in Relation to Spondylolisthesis among Elderly Men. Spine J 2010; 10(10): 865-873.
- Mobbs RJ, Phan K, Malham G, Seex K, Ra PJ: Lumbar interbody fusion: techniques, indications and comparisonof interbody fusion options including PLIF, TLIF, MI-TLIF, OLIF/ATP, LLIF and ALIF. J Spine Surg 2015;1(1):2-18
- Kalpakcioglua B, Altınbilekb T, Senelc K: Determination of spondylolisthesis in low back pain by clinical evaluation. Journal of Back and Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation 2009; 22: 27-32.
- Nau E, Hanney WJ, Kolber MJ: Spinal Conditioning for Athletes With Lumbar Spondylolysis and Spondylolisthesis. National Strength and Conditioning Association 2008; 30  $(2) \cdot 43 - 52$
- Francois J, Lauweryns P, Fabry G: Treatment of high-grade spondylolisthesis by posterior lumbosacral transfixation with transdiscal screws: Surgical technique and preliminary results in four cases. Acta Orthop. Belg 2005; 71: 334-341.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution

### Degenerative spondylolisthesis: the preferable surgical technique

**ORIGINALITY REPORT** 

SIMILARITY INDEX

11%

12%

2%

INTERNET SOURCES

**PUBLICATIONS** 

STUDENT PAPERS

#### **PRIMARY SOURCES**

theins.org Internet Source

Amir Abbas Ghasemi. "Transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion versus instrumented posterolateral fusion In degenerative spondylolisthesis: An attempt to evaluate the superiority of one method over the other", Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery, 2016

- Publication
- Submitted to iGroup Student Paper

2%

www.science.gov Internet Source

Omidi-Kashani, Farzad, Ebrahim Ghayem 5 Hasankhani, and Amir Ashjazadeh. "Lumbar Spinal Stenosis: Who Should Be Fused? An Updated Review", Asian Spine Journal, 2014.

**Publication** 

7	www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov Internet Source	1%
8	jss.osspress.com Internet Source	<1%
9	European Surgical Orthopaedics and Traumatology, 2014.  Publication	<1%
10	bmcmusculoskeletdisord.biomedcentral.com Internet Source	<1%
11	Marcos Antonio Tebet. "Current concepts on the sagittal balance and classification of spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis", Revista Brasileira de Ortopedia (English Edition), 2014 Publication	<1%
12	lib.bioinfo.pl Internet Source	<1%
13	lib.post.ca.gov Internet Source	<1%
14	link.springer.com Internet Source	<1%
15	www.jpma.org.pk Internet Source	<1%
16	Xuedong Bai, Jiahai Chen, Liyang Liu, Xiaochuan Li, Yaohong Wu, Deli Wang, Dike	<1%

Ruan. "Is reduction better than arthrodesis in situ in surgical management of low-grade spondylolisthesis? A system review and meta analysis", European Spine Journal, 2016 Publication

17	www.trialsjournal.com Internet Source	<1%
18	Mark Arts. "Nerve root decompression without fusion in spondylolytic spondylolisthesis: long-term results of Gill's procedure", European Spine Journal, 10/11/2006 Publication	<1%
19	repository.unair.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
20	www.moa-home.com Internet Source	<1%
21	Hiroyuki Inose, Tsuyoshi Kato, Masato Yuasa, Tsuyoshi Yamada et al. "Comparison of Decompression, Decompression Plus Fusion, and Decompression Plus Stabilization for Degenerative Spondylolisthesis", Clinical Spine Surgery, 2018 Publication	<1%
22	"Advanced Concepts in Lumbar Degenerative	<1 <sub>0′</sub>

Disk Disease", Springer Nature America, Inc,

Publication

2016

Exclude quotes	On	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	On		

## Degenerative spondylolisthesis: the preferable surgical technique

_		
	GRADEMARK REPORT	
	FINAL GRADE  1100	GENERAL COMMENTS  Instructor
	/ 100	
	PAGE 1	
	PAGE 2	
	PAGE 3	
	PAGE 4	
	PAGE 5	