The PHILIPPINE JOURNAL OF Veterinary Medicine

Volume 55

Special Issue

December 2018

Published by the College of Veterinary Medicine University of the Philippines Los Baños

ISSN 0031-7705



The 2ndVeterinary Medicine International Conference Surabaya, Indonesia 4-5 July 2018

The Philippine Journal of Veterinary Medicine

Volume 55 Special Issue

December 2018

The Philippine Journal of Veterinary Medicine is a peer-reviewed international journal of basic and applied research in veterinary medicine and science. It is published semi-annually, for the periods January-June and July-December each year, by the College of Veterinary Medicine, University of the Philippines Los Baños. All articles are subjected to double-blind review.

Authors of articles appearing in the journal are solely responsible for opinions expressed therein. All rights reserved. No article of the journal may be reproduced in any form and by any means without a written permission from the publisher or the Editor-in-Chief.

EDITORIAL BOARD:	
Jezie A. Acorda, DVM, MAgr, PhD <i>Editor-in-Chief</i>	
Dennis V. Umali, DVM, PhD Associate Editor	
Joseph F. dela Cruz, DVM, MS, PhD Remil L. Galay, DVM, PhD <i>Technical Editors</i>	
Jesalyn L. Constante, DVM, MS <i>Business Manager</i>	
SUPPORT STAFF: Ms. Jocelyn E. Arcinas Mr. Fernando P. Micosa	

The annual subscription price is US\$100.00 (net) for foreign subscribers (inclusive of mailing cost) and Philippine PhP1,500.00 plus mailing cost for local subscribers. Prices for current single issue and back issues are available on request. Subscriptions are accepted on a prepaid basis only and are entered on a calendar year basis. Issues are sent by air delivery to foreign subscribers.

All communications should be addressed to:

The Editor-in-Chief Philippine Journal of Veterinary Medicine College of Veterinary Medicine University of the Philippines Los Baños Laguna, Philippines 4031 Telefax Nos. +63-49-536-2727, +63-49-536-2730 Email: pjvm1964@gmail.com, vetmed_uplb@yahoo.com.ph

This journal is Abstracted/Indexed by: SCOPUS, Biological Abstracts, Focus on: Veterinary Science & Medicine, Zoological Records, CAB Abstracts, Index Veterinarius, Veterinary Bulletin, Parasitology Database, Helminthological Abstracts, Protozoological Abstracts, Review of Medical and Veterinary Entomology, EBSCO, ASEAN Citation Index, Prescopus Russia, *i*-journals (www.ijournals.my), *i*-focus (www.ifocus.my), *i*-future (www.ifocus.my), Philippine E-Journals (https://ejournals.ph) and UPLB Journals Online (http://journals.uplb.edu.ph/index.php/PJVM).

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2018 College of Veterinary Medicine, University of the Philippines Los Baños

The Philippine Journal of Veterinary Medicine

Volume 55

Special Issue

December 2018

CONTENTS

Original Articles

<u>Medicine</u>
Viability of Rabbit Adipocyte Stem Cells Cultured Under Different Oxygen
Concentrations In Vitro1
E Safitri, P Srianto, TV Widiyatno, W Sandhika and RH Prasetyo
Microbiology
Antigenic Site of Glycoprotein Encoding Gene in Rabies Virus Isolate from
Indonesia9
J Rahmahani, S Suwarno and FA Rantam
Characterization of Newcastle Disease Virus Lentogenic Strain Infected
Native Chickens from Surabaya, Indonesia17
FA Rantam, R Ernawati, AP Rahardjo, IL Rahmawati, D Kartika,
NS Widjaja and J Rahmahani
Nutrition
Effect of Concentrate to Forage Ratio on Milk Urea Nitrogen, Milk Production
and Reproductive Performance of Dairy Cows25
S Utama, S Mulyati, W Wurlina and I Mustofa
Pathology
Toxicity, Stability and Renal Histopathology of Alkaloid of Jarong (Achyranthes
aspera Linn.) (Caryophyllales: Amaranthaceae) Leaf on Mice
DK Meles, W Wurlina, I Mustofa, S Zakaria, A Basori, M Hariadi, E Safitri,
DKSC Putri and N Suwasanti
Histochemical Expression of Transforming Growth Factor Beta and Tumor
Necrosis Factor Alpha in Rabbits Infected with Sarcoptes scabiei
SM Rizki, LT Suwanti and NDR Lastuti
<u>Pharmacology</u>
Effect of Alkaloid of Achyrantes aspera Linn. (Caryophyllales: Amaranthaceae) on
Increasing Caspase 9, Caspase 3 and Apoptosis in Mice with Breast Cancer51
W Wurlina, DK Meles, I Mustofa, E Safitri, S Zakaria, A Basori, DKSC Putri
and N Suwasanti
Theriogenology
Effect of Aluminum Silicate on the Spermatozoa, Plasma Membrane and
Seminiferous Tubules of Mice Exposed to Fusarium graminearum
(Sordariomycetes: Hypocreales: Nectriaceae)
Samik, S Mulyati, T Hernawati and E Safitri

Research Notes

<u>Microbiology</u> Isolation and Identification of Lactic Acid Bacteria from the Digestive Tract of Kampung Chicken (<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>)67 <i>B Yulianto, WP Lokapirnasari</i>
In Vitro pH Tolerance, Bile Salt Resistance and Antimicrobial Activity of Lactobacillus plantarum Isolated from Crossbred Cattle
<u>Nutrition</u> Amino Acid Sequence of Signal Transducers and Activators Transcription Proteins From Broilers79 <i>A Ma'ruf, NMR Widjaja, N Hidajati and R Damayanti</i>
<u>Parasitology</u> Antigenic Protein Profile of <i>Anisakis</i> spp. Larvae Isolated from Mackerel Tuna Fish (<i>Euthynnus</i> sp.)
Morphological Detection of the Intestinal Parasite <i>Blastocystis</i> sp. in Fresh and Cultured Feces of Pet Sugar Glider (<i>Petaurus breviceps</i>) in Surabaya, Indonesia
<u>Pathology</u> Comparative Histopathologic Changes in Rabbit (<i>Oryctolagus cuniculus</i>) Skin in Relation to Degree of Infestation with <i>Sarcoptes scabiei</i>
 <u>Pharmacology</u> Effect of Sapogenin from Sambiloto (<i>Andrographis paniculata</i>) (Lamiales: Acanthaceae) on Creatinine and BUN Levels and on Gentamicin-Induced Nephrotoxicity in Rats
<u>Public Health</u> Identification of Shiga Toxin-Producing <i>Escherichia coli</i> in Raw Milk Samples from Dairy Cows in Surabaya, Indonesia109 <i>MH Effendi, N Harijani, SM Yanestria and P Hastutiek</i>
Tetracycline Resistance Gene in <i>Streptococcus agalactiae</i> Isolated from Bovine Subclinical Mastitis in Surabaya, Indonesia115 <i>MH Effendi, A Oktavianto and P Hastutiek</i>
<u>Theriogenology</u> Bacterial Isolates from the Cervical Mucus of Dairy Cattle at Follicular and Luteal Phases

Human Chorionic Gonadotropin (hCG) from Urine of Pregnant Women for <i>In Vitro</i> Maturation of Madura Cattle Oocytes <i>HA Hermadi, RTS Adikara, M Hariadi and E Safitri</i>	127
 HA Hermaal, KIS Aalkara, M Harlaal and E Sajiri Effect of Bovine Seminal Protein on the Quality of Frozen Spermatozoa from Goats S Susilowati, IN Triana, TW Suprayogi, A Arimbi and W Wurlina 	133
Editorial Policies	139
Guidelines for Authors	141

ISOLATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF LACTIC ACID BACTERIA FROM THE DIGESTIVE TRACT OF KAMPUNG CHICKEN (Gallus gallus domesticus)

Berny Yulianto and Widya Paramita Lokapirnasari*

Department of Animal Husbandry, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to isolate and identify lactic acid bacteria (LAB) derived from the digestive tract of Kampung chicken or Indonesian native chicken (*Gallus gallus domesticus*). This study used ten 20-week old male native chicken with an average body weight of 1 kg, slaughtered and processed in accordance with Halal methods. Ten-centimeter sections of the esophagus, crop, proventriculus and ventriculus were obtained, stored in sterile bottles and placed in an icebox. LAB were isolated from the chickens' gastrointestinal tract. LAB identification was done through microscopic morphology, gram staining, catalase test and biochemical tests. Isolates Were gram positive, negative on catalase test, non-motile and rod-shaped. Isolates YL 117, YL 217, and YL 317 can ferment glucose, sucrose and lactose; isolate YL 117 can ferment xylose, sorbitol, arabinose and raffinose; and isolate YL 317 can ferment malonate, arabinose and raffinose. This study suggests the presence of three LAB isolates from the gastrointestinal tract of *Gallus gallus domesticus*: *Lactobacillus plantarum, L. acidophilus* and *L. casei*.

Key words: Gallus gallus domesticus, Kampung chicken, lactic acid bacteria

INTRODUCTION

balance of non-beneficial The and beneficial bacteria in chicken gastrointestinal tract (GIT) is important. When a microbiota balance exists, maximum growth efficiency is likely to be attained. However, when animals are subjected to stressful conditions, the beneficial microbiota tend to decrease, and this can lead to high susceptibility to disease (Kabir, 2009). To maintain balance of beneficial microbiota, it is necessary to supplement feeding with lactic acid bacteria derived from livestock. The presence of *Lactobacillus* spp., a common microbiota in chicken broiler gastrointestinal tract, is essential to maintaining ecological balance in the Philipp. J. Vet. Med., 55(SI): 67-72, 2018

microbiota (Kokosharov, 2001). In the cecum of healthy broilers, the most commonly found bacterial species is *Lactobacillus salivarius* (Gusils *et al.*, 1999). According to Mitsuoka (2002), the dominant lactic acid microbiota in the GIT of broilers are *Lactobacillus reuteri*, *L. salivarius*, *L. agilis* and *L. acidophilus*.

Probiotics can help cultivate beneficial microflora population in the intestines and eliminate pathogenic bacteria. These beneficial bacteria also release several enzymes which aid in digestion of food (Fioramonti *et al.*, 2003). The use of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) provides many benefits to humans and livestock. These microorganisms can balance the microflora in the digestive tract, improve health and provide protection against pathogenic bacteria, such as *Eschericia coli* and *Salmonella typhimurium*.

^{*}FOR CORRESPONDENCE:

⁽email: widyaparamitalokapirnasari@gmail.com)

Lactobacillus species known to have probiotic properties are L. casei, L. acidophilus and L. reuteril L. fermentum (Klein et al., 1998). The genus is one the most commonly observed lactic acid bacteria in human and animal stomachs. Lactobacillus can be as much as 10- 19×10^7 CFU/ml in the small intestine (Manin et al., 2010) and 10^{10} to 10^{11} cell/g in the large intestine. Adding probiotics to feeds can maintain microflora balance in the digestive tract and inhibit pathogenic bacteria, increase digestive enzyme activity, decrease ammonia production, improve feed intake and digestion, neutralize enterotoxins and stimulate the immune system (Manin et al., 2010).

The microenvironment of GIT affects nutrition, feed conversion and host disease; thus, it is important to maintain a healthy gut microbiome (Guarner and Malagelada, 2003). When animals experience stress, illness, or antibiotic treatment, the gastrointestinal flora often changes, which tend to support the growth of harmful bacteria, causing diarrhea or loss of appetite (Cremonini *et al.*, 2002; Harish and Varghese, 2006).

Various microbiome of the digestive tract can have different interactions, such as competition, cooperation and antagonism (Pan and Yu, 2014). GIT in poultry is an ideal habitat for microorganisms, but it does not provide unlimited support for microbial growth or proliferation, since bacteria compete for limited nutrients and attachment sites – a common phenomenon in gastrointestinal ecosystems (Soler *et al.*, 2010).

To cause infection in chickens, pathogenic bacteria must first be attached to and penetrate the intestinal epithelial barrier. In healthy birds, the community of commensal bacteria in the GIT colonizes the intestinal mucosa and forms a layer of bacteria that covers the mucosal surface. By occupying a different row of adjacent niches along the GIT, layers composed of dense and complex microbial communities can effectively inhibit the attachment and colonization of pathogenic bacteria that attack the gastrointestinal tract (Lan *et al.*, 2005; Lawley and Walker, 2013).

Indonesian native chickens have inherently low productivity level due to genetic factors, so that reaching their optimal weight takes a long time. Likewise, feeds given are of poor quality, contributing to their slow growth. This study then intends to address the need to supplement feeds with probiotics derived from natural bacteria of local chicken GIT to boost their productivity. However, the use of probiotics for chickens is still inefficient. This is because probiotics used are not derived from indigenous bacteria capable of surviving in the GIT. In fact, the probiotic bacteria are eliminated before they even reach the small intestine. Thus, as a countermeasure, isolation and identification of lactic acid bacteria will be obtained from the chicken's upper digestive tract, since it is more tolerant to low pH and bile salts.

This study seeks to find new strains of lactic acid bacteria which can be used as probiotics to improve chicken productivity. Indonesian native chickens are deemed to be a potent source of lactic acid bacteria. In line with this, the present study aims to isolate and identify lactic acid bacteria from the upper digestive tract of Kampung or Indonesian native chickens (*Gallus gallus domesticus*), with the assumption that they are free-range, and their microflora are more diverse than broiler or laying chicken breeds whose feeds are often supplemented with antibiotics (Harimurti *et al.*, 2007).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Ten 20-week old male Kampung chickens, with average body weight of ± 1 kg, and are typically not given antibiotic-supplemented feeds, were obtained from Tembok Dukuh Surabaya traditional market. The digestive tract of chickens, specifically the esophagus, crop, proventriculus and ventriculus were collected and processed.

Isolation and identification of lactic acid bacteria

Lactic acid bacteria were collected from 10 samples of digestive tract of Kampung chicken (*Gallus gallus domesticus*) based on a modified method adopted from Torshizi et al. (2008). Ten-centimeter section of esophagus, crop, proventriculus and ventriculus wastes samples were placed in sterile polythene bags immediately after the chickens were slaughtered and placed in a an icebox A mucosal scraping of 1 g each from the esophagus, crop, proventriculus and ventriculus was aseptically removed and taken for bacteriological examinations. Samples were serially homogenized in diluted 0.85% NaCl solution (Merck, Germany) up to 10⁵ dilutions and plated onto de Man Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) agar and incubated for 72 h at 37°C. After incubation, isolates were subcultured in MRS broth (Merck, Germany) at 37°C for 18-24 h under microaerophilic conditions. Samples in enrichment medium showing rod-shaped bacteria (from microscopic observation) were scratched on MRS agar then incubated at facultative medium. anaerobic conditions at 37°C for 48 h for each serial dilution. The colonies of all morphologies were taken and purified until a single colony was obtained using the same medium as the subculture. Isolate considered as presumptive LAB is gram-positive and catalase-negative. The pure LAB isolates were then stored in 15% glycerol at -80°C. For all subsequent tests, isolates were activated in the same medium at 37°C for 48 h and sub-subcultured under the same conditions. These colonies were separated and further purification and identification were done to determine the species. LAB characterization procedures involved morphology, gram staining and catalase assay (Blajman et al., 2015) with some modifications.

Biochemical test was performed with Microbact[™] Identification Kits (Microbact[™] GNB 12 A/B, 24 E |Oxoid, Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK), following the manufacturer's protocol. The Microbact is a substrate system which is capable of identifying the majority of commonly encountered routine laboratory isolates to at least the genus level. Organism identification is based on pH change and substrate utilization (Onwenefah and Adedeji, 2013).These systems consist of dehydrated substrates contained in a microtitre tray to which saline suspensions of organisms to be tested are added. After the purity of the

cultures was established, an inoculum was prepared for each system as recommended by the manufacturer. Each system was prepared, inoculated, overlaid with oil where necessary, and incubated as stated. Characteristics of isolates obtained were analyzed and adjusted based on Oxoid instruction manual and Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology. The results of the biochemical test are shown in Table 1.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium, among the many microorganisms used as probiotics, are the most commonly exploited. Probiotics are living microorganisms thought to have beneficial effects on host organisms. According to the definition now adopted by FAO/WHO, probiotics are living microorganisms, which, when administered in sufficient quantities, provide health benefits to the host. In addition, nonpathogenic species belonging to Saccharomyces, Streptococcus and Lactococcus are also used as probiotics (Fioramonti et al., 2003). In the case of chickens, probiotics from potential bacteria can bring about balance in GIT microflora, so that normal microflora can thrive. Endurance against pathogenic bacterial attacks in chickens is higher when there is a balance in microbial populations (Harimurti et al., 2007). Results of isolation and identification of lactic acid bacteria in Kampung chicken are shown in Table 2.

This study was able to identify three *Lactobacillus* isolates from the gastrointestinal tract (esophagus, crop, proventriculus and ventriculus) of *Gallus gallus domesticus*. Isolated LABs were gram-positive, catalase-negative, non-motile and rod-shaped. Isolates YL 117, YL 217, and YL 317 can ferment glucose, sucrose and lactose. Isolate YL 117 can ferment xylose, sorbitol, arabinose and raffinose, and isolate YL 317 can ferment malonate, arabinose and raffinose.

Based on biochemical tests, LAB isolates from the esophagus were *L. plantarum*, *L. acidophilus* and *L. casei* from the proventriculus; *L. plantarum* and *L. casei* from the crop; and *L. plantarum*, *L. acidophilus* and

	YL 117	m YL217	YL 317
Shape	Rod shape	Rod shape	Rod shape
Gram	Positive	Positive	Positive
Catalase	-	-	-
Lysine	-	-	-
Ornithine	+	-	-
H_2S	-	-	-
VP	-	-	-
ONPG	+	+	+
Indole	-	-	-
Urease	-	-	-
Mannitol	+	-	-
Arginin	-	-	-
Citrate	+	-	-
TDA	-	-	-
Gelatin	-	-	-
Malonate	+	-	+
Inositol	-	-	-
Adonitol	-	-	-
Sorbitol	+	-	-
Glucose	+	+	+
Xylose	+	-	-
Rhamnose	+	+	-
Sucrose	+	+	+
Lactose	+	+	+
Arabinose	+	-	+
Raffinose	+	-	+
Salisin	-	+	-

Table 1. Biochemical test results of lactic acid bacteria isolated from the gastrotestinal tract of Kampung chicken.

Table 2. Identification of lactic acid bacteria from the gastrointestinal tract of Kampung chicken.

	L. plantarum	L. acidophilus	L. casei
Esophagus	+	_	—
Crop	+	+	+
Proventriculus	+	+	+
Ventriculus	+	_	+

L. casei from the ventriculus. These findings concur with other studies. Lactobacilli settle in the crop after a few days of feeding. Depending on the length of the stationary feed in the crop, lactobacilli may have an effect on the fermentation process (Barnes, 1979). The path from the crop to the small intestine involves a drastic change in the luminal environment. Proventriculus of birds plays an important role as a chemical barrier against pathogens through variations in pH and enzymatic actions. In fact, *E. coli* and *Campylobacter* are found in higher numbers in crop than in gizzards (Smith and Berrang, 2006). Chicken GITs are inhabited by various bacteria (Qu *et al.*, 2008), methanogenic archaea and fungi (Okulewicz and Zlotorzycka, 1985; Saengkerdsub *et al.*, 2007). Protists are less commonly found and are usually regarded as pathogens (Okulewicz and Zlotorzycka, 1985). The gastrointestinal tract of an adult chicken can hold as much as 10^{13} bacteria (Apajalahti and Kettunen, 2006).

Another study showed that L. johnsonii F-6 and L. crispatus F-59 were isolated from broiler chickens (Kim et al., 2015). According to Taheri et al. (2009), lactic acid bacteria in the gastrointestinal tract can reduce the number of microbial pathogens through the production of organic acids, hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) and bacteriocins.

Microbiota in the GIT of chickens have extensive metabolic potential that affects the nutrition and health of the host. These microbial communities, collectively termed as microbiomass, play an important role in the growth and development of GIT, including production of energy-rich short-chain fatty acids; increased villus morphology of GIT; use of nutrients; reduction of luminal viscosity, deconstruction of feed polysaccharides. absorption of nutrients; and detoxification (Apajalahti and Kettunen, 2006; Yeoman et al., 2012).

GIT microbiota are important because they provide resistance against non-beneficial enteric pathogens through some known mechanisms i.e., competitive exclusion, bacterial antagonism, barrier effect and bacterial interference or colonization resistance. Specifically, the mechanisms by which indigenous intestinal bacteria inhibit pathogens include competition for nutrients, competition for colonization sites, production of toxic compounds, or stimulation of the immune system (Patterson and Burkholder, 2003).

The composition of the gastrointestinal microbiome reflects the co-evolution among host microbial, genetic, immune, and host metabolic interactions, and environmental influences. Microbes can be found along the gastrointestinal tract, and their populations show spatial variation in biogeographic composition between luminal and mucosal populations (Gong et al., 2007).

This study isolated and identified three lactic acid bacteria (*Lactobacillus plantarum*, *L. acidophilus* and *L. casei*) from the gastrointestinal tract (esophagus, crop, proventriculus, and ventriculus) of Kampung chickens (*Gallus gallus domesticus*). These findings necessitate further research to determine the potential of the isolates in improving livestock productivity through tests on acidity, bile salt, and antimicrobial activity.

REFERENCES

- Apajalahti J and Kettunen A. 2006. Microbes of the chicken gastrointestinal tract. Avian Gut Function in Health and Disease 28: 124-137.
- Barnes EM. 1979. The intestinal microflora of poultry and game birds during life and after storage. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 46(3): 407-419.
- Blajman J, Gaziano C, Zbrun MV, Soto L, Astesana D, Berisvil A, Scharpen AR, Signorini M and Frizzo L. 2015. *In vitro* and *in vivo* screening of native lactic acid bacteria toward their selection as a probiotic in broiler chickens. *Research in Veterinary Science* 101: 50-56.
- Cremonini F, Di Caro S, Nista EC, Bartolozzi F, Capelli G, Gasbarrini G and Gasbarrini A. 2002. Meta-analysis: the effect of probiotic administration on antibiotic-associated diarrhoea. *Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics* 16(8): 1461-1467.
- Fioramonti J, Theodorou V and Bueno L. 2003. Probiotics: what are they? What are their effects on gut physiology? Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology 17(5): 711-724.
- Gong J, Si W, Forster RJ, Huang R, Yu H, Yin Y and Han Y. 16S rRNA gene-based analysis of mucosa associated bacterial community and phylogeny in the chicken gastrointestinal tracts: from crops to ceca. *FEMS Microbial Ecology* 59(1): 147-157.
- Guarner F and Malagelada JR. 2003. Gut flora in health and disease. *The Lancet* 361(9356): 512-519.
- Gusils C, Chaia AP, Gonzalez S and Oliver G. 1999. Lactobacilli isolated from chicken intestines: potential use as probiotics. *Journal of Food Protection* 62(3): 252-256.
- Harimurti S, Nasroedin ES, Nasroedin N and

Kurniasih K. 2007. Lactic acid bacteria isolated from the gastro-intestinal tract of chicken: Potential use as probiotic. *Animal Production* 9(2): 82-91.

- Harish K and Varghese T. 2006. Probiotics in humans-evidence based review. *Calicut Medical Journal* 4(4): e3.
- Kabir SM. 2009. The role of probiotics in the poultry industry. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 10(8): 3531-3546.
- Kim JY, Young JA, Gunther NW and Lee JL. 2015. Inhibition of Salmonella by bacteriocinproducing lactic acid bacteria derived from US kimchi and broiler chicken. Journal of Food Safety 35(1): 1-2.
- Klein G, Pack A, Bonaparte C and Reuter G. 1998. Taxonomy and physiology of probiotic lactic acid bacteria. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 41(2): 103-125.
- Kokosharov T. 2001. Some observations on the caecal microflora of the chickens during experimental acute fowl typhoid. *Revue de Médecine Vétérinaire* 152(7): 531-534.
- Lan Y, Verstegen MWA, Tamminga S and Williams BA. 2005. The role of the commensal gut microbial community in broiler chickens. World's Poultry Science Journal 61(1): 95-104.
- Lawley TD and Walker AW. 2013. Intestinal colonization resistance. *Immunology* 138(1): 1-11.
- Manin F, Hendalia E and Aziz A. 2010. Isolation and production of lactic acid bacteria and *Bacillus* sp. from gastro intestinal non ras chicken origin Gambut land as source of probiotic. *Scientific Journal of Animal Science* 8(5): 221-228.
- Mitsuoka T. 2002. Research in intestinal flora and functional foods. *Journal of Intestinal Microbiology* 15: 57-89.
- Okulewicz A and Zlotorzycka J. 1985. Connections between Ascaridia galli and the bacterial flora in the intestine of hens. Angewandte Parasitogie 26(3): 151-155.
- Onwenefah M and Adedeji OB. 2013. Bacterial flora of cultured catfish fed with poultry

hatchery waste from selected farms in Ibadan southwestern Nigeria. *New York Science Journal* 6(7).

- Pan D and Yu Z. 2014. Intestinal microbiome of poultry and its interaction with host and diet. *Gut Microbes* 5(1): 108-119.
- Patterson JA and Burkholder KM. 2003. Application of prebiotics and probiotics in poultry production. *Poultry Science* 82(4): 627-31.
- Qu A, Brulc JM, Wilson MK, Law BF, Theoret JR, Joens LA, Konkel ME, Angly F, Dinsdale EA, Edwards RA and Nelson KE. 2008. Comparative metagenomics reveals host specific metavirulomes and horizontal gene transfer elements in the chicken cecum microbiome. *PLoS ONE* 3(8): e2945.
- Saengkerdsub S, Anderson RC, Wilkinson HH, Kim WK, Nisbet DJ and Ricke SC. 2007. Identification and quantification of methanogenic archaea in adult chicken ceca. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 73(1): 353-356.
- Soler JJ, Martín-Vivaldi M, Peralta-Sánchez, JM and Ruiz-Rodríguez M. 2010. Antibioticproducing bacteria as a possible defence of birds against pathogenic microorganisms. *Open Ornithology Journal* 3: 93-100.
- Taheri HR, Moravej H, Tabandeh F, Zaghari M and Shivazad M. 2009. Screening of lactic acid bacteria toward their selection as a source of chicken probiotic. *Poultry Science* 88(8): 1586-1593.
- Torshizi MK, Rahimi S, Mojgani N, Esmaeilkhanian S and Grimes JL. 2008. Screening of indigenous strains of lactic acid bacteria for development of a probiotic for poultry. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences* 21(10): 1495-1500.
- Yeoman CJ, Chia N, Jeraldo P, Sipos M, Goldenfeld ND and White BA. 2012. The microbiome of the chicken gastrointestinal tract. *Animal Health Research Reviews* 13(1): 89-99.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- The editorial staff wishes to thank the following who served as evaluators on an ad-hoc capacity for their critical review of manuscripts submitted to the journal:
- Dr. Marietta C. Amatorio, College of Veterinary Medicine, Benguet State University, Philippines
- Dr. Edwin C. Atabay, Philippine Carabao Center at Central Luzon State University (CLSU), Philippines
- Dr. Ayasan, Çukurova Agricultural Research Institute, Turkey
- Dr. Vasudevan Bakthavatchalu, Division of Comparative Medicine, Massachusets Institute of Technology, USA
- Dr. Jose Arceo N. Bautista, Animal and Dairy Sciences Cluster, College of Agriculture, UPLB
- Dr. Esmeraldo M Cabana, College of Veterinary Science and Medicine, CLSU
- Dr. Gerry A. Camer, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Eastern Philippines
- Dr. Joseph F. Dela Cruz, College of Veterinary Medicine, UPLB
- Dr. Rio John T. Ducusin, College of Veterinary Medicine, UPLB
- Dr. Salcedo L. Eduardo, College of Veterinary Medicine, UPLB
- Dr. Marianne Leila S. Flores, College of Veterinary Medicine, UPLB
- Dr. Gemerlyn G. Garcia, College of Veterinary Science and Medicine, CLSU
- Dr. Mary Joy N. Gordoncillo, OI Sub-Regional Representation for South-East Asia
- Dr. Hiromitsu Katoh, Osaka Prefecture University, Osaka, Japan
- Dr. Balasubramanian Manickam, Seventh Wave Laboratories LLC, Chesterfield, Montana, USA
- Dr. Carmencita D. Mateo, College of Agricultural and Food Science, UPLB
- Dr. Claro T. Mingala, Philippine Carabao Center National Headquarters and Gene Pool, Philippines
- Dr. Noraine P. Medina, College of Veterinary Science and Medicine, CLSU
- Dr. Anantharaman Muthuswamy, Wisconsin National Primate Research Center, Wisconsin, USA
- Dr. Mildred A. Padilla, College of Veterinary Medicine, UPLB
- Dr. Michelle Grace V. Paraso, College of Veterinary Medicine, UPLB
- Dr. Alessandra Pelagalli, Facoltà di Medicina Veterinaria, Università degli Studi di Napoli "Federico II", Napoli, Italy
- Dr. Antonio A. Rayos, Animal and Dairy Sciences Cluster, College of Agriculture, UPLB
- Dr. Frances C. Recuenco, Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine, Sapporo, Hokkaido, Japan
- Dr. Cesar C. Sevilla, College of Agricultural and Food Science, UPLB
- Dr. Luzviminda T. Simborio, College of Veterinary Medicine, CMU
- Dr. Guangliang (Johnny) Wang, John Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, USA

INDEXES TO VOLUME 55, Special Issue, December 2018

Keyword Subject Index

Achyranthes aspera, 35, 51 alkaloid, 51, 69 aluminum silicate, 59 amino acid, 9 Anisakis spp., 85 antigenic protein, 85 antigenic site, 9,17 antimicrobial activity, 73 apoptosis, 103 apoptotic cell, 51 bile salt, 73 Blastocystis sp., 91 bovine, 19, 133 breast cancer, 51 broiler, 79 caspase 3, 51 caspase 9, 51 congestion, 69 culture medium, 91 cytokine, 43 dairy cattle, 121 degeneration, 35 dog, 9 Escherichia coli, 109 Euthynnus sp., 85 follicular phase, 121 frozen spermatozoa, 19, 133 Fusarium graminearum, 59 Gallus gallus domesticus, 67 gentamicin, 103 G-gene, 9 goat, 19, 133 hcg, 127 histopathologic changes, 97 hyperoxia, 1 hypoxic preconditioning, 1 immunohistochemistry, 43 in vitro maturation, 127 Indonesia, 9, 17

Kampung chicken, 67 kidney, 35 lactic acid bacteria, 67, 73 lentogenic strain, 17 luteal phase, 121 Madura beef cattle, 127 mice, 35 multiplex PCR, 109 milk urea nitrogen, 25 native chicken, 17 NCD, 17 necrosis, 35, 103 non-specific bacteria, 121 oocvtes, 127 pH tolerance, 73 plasma membrane, 59 protozoan, 91 rabbit, 1, 97 rabies virus, 9 r-ASCs, 1 renal tubular cells, 103 reproductive efficiency, 25 sambiloto, 103 Sarcoptes scabiei, 43, 97 scabies, 43, 97 seminal protein, 19, 133 seminiferous tubule, 59 shiga toxin, 109 smallholder dairy farmers, 25 sperm, 59 spermatozoa quality, 121, 133 staining, 57 STAT-1, 79 STAT-3, 79 Streptococcus agalactiae, 115 stx2 gene, 109 staining, 91 subclinical mastitis, 115 sugar glider, 91

synthetic protein, 79 T-cell epitopes, 17 tetO gene, 115 tetracycline resistance, 115 TGF-6, 43 third-stage larvae, 85 TNF-α, 43 toxicity, 35 urine of pregnant women, 127 viability, 1

Author Index

Adikara RTS, 127 Afikasari D, 73 Arimbi, 97, 121, 133 Azhimah A, 97 Basori A, 35, 51, 103 Damayanti R, 79 Effendi MH, 97, 109, 115 Ernawati R, 17, 51, 85 Hariadi M, 35, 91, 103, 127 Harijani N, 97, 109 Hariyati I, 73 Hastutiek P, 97, 97, 109, 115 Hermadi HA, 127 Hernawati T, 59 Hidajati N, 79 Kartika D, 17 Koesdarto S, 51, 57, 85, 91 Kusnoto, 91 Lastuti NDR, 43, 85 Legowo D, 97 Lokapirnasari WP, 67, 73 Ma'ruf A, 79 Madyawati SP, 121 Maslachah L, 73 Meles DK, 35, 51, 103 Mufasirin, 51, 85 Mulyati S, 59 Mustofa I, 25, 35, 51, 103 Natalia F, 91 Oktavianto A, 115 Prasetyo RH, 1 Pribadi TB, 73 Putri DKSC, 35, 51, 103

Rahardjo AP, 17 Raharjo HM, 51, 85 Rahmahani J, 9, 17 Rahmawati IL, 17 Rantam FA, 9, 17 Rimayanti R, 121 Rizki SM, 43 Safitri E, 1, 35, 51, 59, 103, 127 Sahidu AM, 73 Samik A, 59 Sandhika W, 1 Soepranianondo K, 73 Srianto P, 1, 57, 91 Sudrajad K, 121 Suprayogi TW, 121, 133 Suprihati E, 57 Susilowati S, 91, 103, 133 Suwanti LT, 43, 85, 91 Suwarno, 9 Suwasanti N, 35, 51, 103 Triana IN, 133 Tyasningsih W, 121 Utama S, 25 Wastomi ZN, 51, 85 Widiyatno TV, 1 Widjaja NMR, 79 Widjaja NS, 17 Widodo OS, 121 Wurlina, 25, 35, 51, 103, 133 Yanestria SM, 109 Yulianto AB, 67, 73 Yustinasari LR, 97 Zakaria S, 35, 83, 91, 103

The Philippine Journal of Veterinary Medicine

Volume 55

Special Issue

December 2018



The 2nd Veterinary Medicine International Conference Surabaya, Indonesia 4-5 July 2018

