


Journal of Applied Ecology

ECOLOGY

ENVIRONMENT & CONSERVATION

0971-765X

Vol. 17
No. 62
2011



ISSN: 0971-765X

Ecology, Environment and Conservation

Country [India - IIII SIR Ranking of India](#)

Subject Area and Category [Agricultural and Biological Sciences](#)
[Ecology, Evolution, Behavior and Systematics](#)

[Environmental Science](#)
[Ecology](#)
[Nature and Landscape Conservation](#)

11
H Index

Publisher [EM International](#)

Publication type [Journals](#)


ISSN [0971765X](#)

Coverage [1997-ongoing](#)

Scope [EOOLOGY, ENVIRONMENT AND CONSERVATION](#) is one of the leading International environmental journal. It is widely subscribed in India and abroad by Institutions and Individuals in education and research as well as by Industries, Govt. Departments and Research Institutes.

- [Homepage](#)
- [How to publish in this journal](#)
- [Contact](#)
- [Join the conversation about this journal](#)


Quartiles




SJR




Citations per document



Total Cites




External Cites per Doc




% International Collaboration



Citable documents



Cited documents



Ecology, Environment and Conservation

Q4 Ecology best quartile

SJR 2018 0.12

powered by scimagojr.com

Show this widget in your own website

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

```
<a href="https://www.sci
```

Chief Editor

Prof.(Dr.) R.K.Trivedy

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

1. Dr. Teresa Ferreira, Portugal
2. Dr. Michael Ukwuru, Nigeria
3. Dr. Moses Inbaraj, Chennai
4. Dr. D.J. Lee, Taiwan
5. Dr. Christial Paul P.delacruz, Phillipnes
6. Dr. T. Bahorun, Mauritius
7. Dr. Linda Blackwell, Australia
8. Dr. G. Zellner, Netherlands
Dr. Wilson S. Tisera, Kupang,
9. Indonesia
10. Dr. M.F. Hamoda, Kuwait
11. Dr. H.A.Abrahamse, South Africa
12. Dr. Arulmozhiyal R., Salem
13. Dr. Hassan Ibrahim Ali, Sudan
14. Dr. A.R.Ghosh, Burdwan, India
15. Prof. M. Zaman, Bangladesh
Dr. Marcantonio Bragdin, Venice,
16. Italy
17. Dr. Z. Fuat Topark, Turkey
18. Dr. Z. Li. Bonn, Germany
19. Dr. A. Olawale, Nigeria
Dr. Ing. Agr. Mario Ridardo Sabbatini,
20. Argentina
21. Dr. Philip C. Reid, U.K.
22. Dr. Bajcinovci, B. Kosovar, Bosnia
23. Dr. Mohd. Yusuf, Malaysia
24. Dr. Oswaldo A. Feernandez, Argentina
25. Dr. Ms. Mirela Tulik, Warsaw, Poland
26. Dr. L.L. Chukwu, Nigeria
27. Dr. Azni Idris, UPM, Malaysia
28. Dr. G. Suresha, Saudi Arabia
Dr. Amresh Chandra Pandey, Jharkhand,
29. India
30. Dr. Shambhu Sharan Kumar, Ranchi, India
31. Dr. A.K. Panigrahi, Berhampur, India
32. Dr. Ahmed EI Mahmoudi, Saudi Arabia
33. Dr. Seyed Mohammad Tajbakhsh, Iran
34. Dr. Amin L. Setyo, Indonesia
35. Dr. Francis Gbogbo, Ghana
36. Dr. S. Shabanlou, Iran

ECOLOGY, ENVIRONMENT AND CONSERVATION

VOL. 25 (April Suppl. Issue) : 2019

CONTENTS

- S1–S5 Effect of pH and light intensity on the growth and biomass productivity of microalgae *Scenedesmus* sp.
—*Radin Maya Saphira Radin Mohamed, Najeeha Apandi, Muhammad Safwan Miswan, Paran Gani, Adel Ali Saeed Al-Gheethi, Amir Hashim Mohd. Kassim and Nurina Fitriani*
- S6–S9 Accumulation of Pb, Cd and Zn in the bullet tuna (*Auxis rochei*) caught from Bali Strait Indonesia
—*Fitroh Dwi Aprilawan Hariyanto, Agoes Soegianto and Dewi Hidayati*
- S10–S13 Mapping seagrass beds diversity distribution in substrates on Sirondo Beach – Baluran National Park using GIS
—*Thin Soedarti, Aulia Fikar Fadila, Sucipto Hariyanto, Ditya Putri Safitri and Suwono*
- S14–S17 The authority of environment management by the local government in Indonesia
—*Wafia Silvi Dhesinta and Muhammad Insan Tarigan*
- S18–S23 RAPD fingerprinting of snakehead fish (*Channa striata*) in Brantas Watershed, East Java, Indonesia
—*Muhammad Hilman Fu'adil Amin, Yulia Rahmawati, Sugiharto and Bambang Irawan*
- S24–S31 Enhance biogas production from anaerobic reactor using combination activated carbon and zeolite as media for ammonia removal
—*Nur Indradewi Oktavetri, Eko Prasetyo Kuncoro, Mufrihatul Hayati and Hery Purnobasuki*
- S32–S39 Range finding phytotoxicity test of salinity on *Avicennia marina* as the first step on bio-desalination technology
—*Harmin Sulistiyaning Titah, Herman Pratikno, Ipung Fitri Purwanti and Rachmi Layina Chimayati*
- S40–S45 DNA barcoding for identification of commercial fresh and processed mushroom-based products in Surabaya
—*Intan Ayu Pratiwi, M. Hilman F. Amin and Bambang Irawan*
- S46–S49 Oxidative stress responses in gills of tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* Linnaeus, 1758) after cadmium exposure
—*Kiki Syaputri Handayani and Agoes Soegianto*
- S50–S56 The Effect of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* Moench) pods extract on malondialdehyde and cholesterol level in STZ-induced diabetic mice
—*Saikhu Akhmad Husen, Sri Puji Astuti Wahyuningsih, Arif Nur Muhammad Ansori, Suhailah Hayaza, Raden Joko Kuncoroningrat Susilo, Win Darmanto and Dwi Winarni*
- S57–S61 **Morphometric variations of fish from Brantas river, East Java, Indonesia**
—***Alfiah Hayati***, *Muhammad Fadhil Mirza Rasyad, Imam Dary Supriyadi Putra, Tri Nurhariyati, Muhammad Hilman Fu'adil Amin, Trisnadi Widyleksono Catur Putranto, Aken Puti Wanguyun, Sugiharto and Mochammad Affandi*
- S62–S66 Estimation of dissolved oxygen using spatial analysis based on ordinary kriging method as effort to improve the quality of Surabaya's river water
—*Karina Bias Rachmawati, Istiqlal Abadiyah Sukma, Alfika Triamartha, Khabibah Puspa Dela and Nur Chamidah*
- S67–S69 Length–weight relationships of fish species caught by seine net from the northern waters of Madura Island, Indonesia
—*Trisnadi Widyleksono Catur Putranto, Mat Saleh and Agoes Soegianto*

- S70–S75** Recovery process of multilayer plastic waste by thermal-catalytic on variations of temperature and dosage of catalyst
—*Hamdi Wahyudi, Enri Damanhuri and Haryo Satriyo Tomo*
- S76–S80** GCMS analysis of bioactive compounds of chloroform extract of *Ganoderma lucidum*
—*Surahmaida and Tri Puji Lestari Sudarwati*
- S81–S85** Unsterilized tofu wastewater as media of *Chlorella vulgaris*: effect of dilution on cultivation —*Nur Indradewi Oktavetri, Fortunita Nindia Yustitia, Wahyu Budi Pratiwi and Dwi Ratri Mitha Isnadina*
- S86–S89** A study of EFB (Empty Fruit Bunch) for fuel of Indonesian biomass boiler —
Intan Ayu Pratiwi and Helmi Dadang Ardiansyah
- S90–S95** First report of *Amblyomma* sp. collected from *Varanus salvator* in Baluran National Park Identified by DNA Barcoding
—*Muhammad Hilman Fu'adil Amin, Aprillia Putri Andriyani, Shinta Tiara Sari, Intan Ayu Pratiwi, Listijani Suhargo and Bambang Irawan*
- S96–S100** Prediction of suspended and attached process behavior in anaerobic batch reactor using nonparametric regression model approach based on spline estimator
—*Nur Indradewi Oktavetri, Eko Prasetyo Kuncoro, Hery Purnobasuki and Nur Chamidah*
- S101–S106** Analysis of mistletoe host preference at Sector C Airlangga University, Surabaya, Indonesia
—*Siti Fadliyah, Nofalia Pebriani and Sucipto Hariyanto*
- S107–S112** Study of wastewater treatment of jeans laundry by chemical-physical methods in laboratory scale
—*Atiek Moesriati and Fahriza Utami*

Morphometric variations of fish from Brantas river, East Java, Indonesia

Alfiah Hayati*, Muhammad Fadhil Mirza Rasyad, Imam Dary Supriyadi Putra, Tri Nurhariyati, Muhammad Hilman Fu'adil Amin, Trisnadi Widyaleksono Catur Putranto, Aken Puti Wanguyun, Sugiharto and Mochammad Affandi

Biology Department, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

(Received 2 January, 2019; accepted 28 February, 2019)

ABSTRACT

The present study aimed to investigate the morphometric measurements and body weight of fish that live in the Brantas River, East Java. The sampling method of fish was done by capturing fish using nets, then morphometric measurements including fish weight. Sampling was carried out in two locations, including upstream and downstream of Brantas River in 2016. Furthermore, sampling for each location was repeated twice with 100 m distance differ. All data analysis were performed using SPSS version 21. The results showed that there was no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) from morphometric measurements to body weight of fish, except *Barbonymus gonionotus* and *Barbonymus balleroides* in both locations. In addition, the fish that live upstream of the river have a higher morphometric measurements than those living downstream of the Brantas river.

Key words: Morphometric, Fish, Upstream, Downstream, Brantas River

Introduction

Brantas River is located in East Java, Indonesia. This river is very important for the communities. The water from the river was used for many activities including a place to catch fish which can be consumed by the people. The river also becomes a water source for industries and public water supply. However, water quality of Brantas river was decline in the last few decades, both in the upstream (Karangkates reservoir) and downstream (flow to Surabaya) (Hayati *et al.*, 2017^a). A lot of anthropogenic pressures from settlements and industries along streams such as paper, ceramics, bicycles, nuts and bolts causing high concentrations of contaminants like heavy metals.

The heavy metals are toxic, thus disrupting the

health of freshwater fish (Jia *et al.*, 2017). The impact of exposure to heavy metals causes the damage and death (apoptosis) of fish cells and tissues that can lead to fish death. For example, Pb and Cr at low concentrations lead to liver cell necrosis, while at high concentrations cause damage to gills (Hayati *et al.*, 2017^b).

The contamination of heavy metals (Pb, Cr, Cu, and Cd) in Brantas River has exceeded the quality standards. Their concentrations were higher in downstream than in upstream (Hayati *et al.*, 2017^a). Several species of fish in the Brantas River were often found dead. The death of the fish in the river is suspected caused by dangerous chemicals produced by industries that was dumped on the river bank. Furthermore, the high contamination of heavy metals in the river can decrease the diversity of fish. Therefore,

*Corresponding author email: alfiah-h@fst.unair.ac.id

it was shown that there is a direct relationship between water quality and fish diversity. The presence of heavy metal pollution can affect the histological structure of fish tissues and organs (Hayati *et al.*, 2017^C) and contributes to the decrease in size, weight, and total length of fish. There were a positive relationship between the size of the fish and the concentration of heavy metals in the river and then negatively correlated with fish health (Yia *et al.*, 2012; Kasimoglu, 2014).

Fish have different morphometric characteristics (shapes, sizes and colors) depending on the species and habitat. Fish are very sensitive to environmental changes and quickly adapt to changing the necessary morphometric (Mojekwu and Anumudu, 2015). Morphometric of fish were very important way to understand the effect of metals pollution on health and abundance of fish. Morphometric studies were considered an easy and effective way to characterize the morphological of fish (Pant *et al.*, 2018). This study examined the morphometric characteristic of fish living in Brantas River where the threats of heavy metals contamination become a serious challenge to water quality.

Materials and Methods

Research area was in Brantas River, East Java. The fish were collected from upstream (Karangkates Reservoir) and downstream (Kali Surabaya) Brantas in 2016 (Figure 1).




Fig. 1. Sampling location up and downstream of Brantas River. A, upstream and B, downstream.

Collection and morphometric measurements of fish

Fish from Brantas River was collected by using fish nets. Fish samples were identified and morphometric measurements included body length (BL), body width (BW), total body length (TBL), and weight (W). Body length was measured along the axis of the body from the snout to the folding of the base of the caudal

fin. Body width was measured at the highest point between the dorsal and ventral parts. Total body length, taken along the anterior-posterior axis from the tip of the mouth to the tip of the caudal fin. All measurements of length were recorded in centimeters (cm) and taken by measured meter. Weight was measured using a weighing device in grams (g).

Data analysis

The fish identification was done descriptively based on the reference book of identification (Kottelat *et al.*, 1993), while the morphometric data were analyzed by analysis of variance and Pearson correlation (SPSS version 21).

Results

Fish Identification

Twenty five species of fish were found in Brantas River from both locations. There were some species that only found in upstream but not found in downstream and vice versa. Upstream area consisted of 14 species, while in downstream area was 21 species (Table 1). Moreover, only 10 fish species were noted in both locations i.e. *B. gonionotus*, *B. balleroides*, *O. mossambicus*, *O. hasseltii*, *C. striata*, *O. marmorata*, *M. aculeatus*, *H. planiceps*, *L. pardalis*, *O. mossambicus* and *T. trichopterus*.

Fish Taxonomic Classification

Based on taxonomic classification, there were four orders namely Cypriniformes, Synbranchiformes, Perciformes, and Siluriformes. It was predicted that there were many more types of fish that cannot be caught with a net, which live in both the upstream and downstream. However, we only identified ten species that able to adapt to environmental conditions contaminated by waste from households, rice fields, or industry. From the identified nine species, each species that has been caught varies (Figure 2). It showed that in upstream sampling locations was dominated by *O. mossambicus* followed by *B. balleroides* and *Barbonymus gonionotus*, respectively. For other seven fish species were found in relatively small number. Moreover, it appeared that *B. balleroides* became the highest fish species found in downstream sampling locations, followed by *Barbonymus gonionotus* and *O. hasseltii* while the other fish species were also found in small number.

Table 1. Fish species in upstream and downstream of the Brantas River.

No	Fish Species	Up stream	Down stream	No	Fish Species	Up stream	Down stream
1	<i>Barbonymus balleroides</i>	√	√	14	<i>Clarias batrachus</i>	0	√
2	<i>Barbonymus gonionotus</i>	√	√	15	<i>Liposarcus pardalis</i>	√	√
3	<i>Osteochillus hasseltii</i>	√	√	16	<i>Hemibragus nemurus</i>	0	√
4	<i>Systomus rubripinnis</i>	0	√	17	<i>Oreochromis mossambicus</i>	√	√
5	<i>Anabas testudineus</i>	0	√	18	<i>Amphilophus labiatus</i>	√	0
6	<i>Channa striata</i>	√	√	19	<i>Pangasius humeralis</i>	√	0
7	<i>Oxyeleotris marmorata</i>	√	√	20	<i>Trichogaster trichopterus</i>	√	√
8	<i>Notopterus notopterus</i>	0	√	21	<i>Rasbora argyrotaenia</i>	√	0
9	<i>Pseudolais micronemus</i>	0	√	22	<i>Osphronemus gourami</i>	√	0
10	<i>Macrogathus aculeatus</i>	√	√	23	<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>	0	√
11	<i>Hemibragus planiceps</i>	√	√	24	<i>Clarias gariepinus</i>	0	√
12	<i>Hampala macrolepidota</i>	0	√	25	<i>Lates calcalifer</i>	0	√
13	<i>Monopterus albus</i>	0	√				

Note: √ = found; 0 = not found




Fig. 2. The number of each fish species that found in both sampling locations (upstream and down-stream) of Brantas River. A, *Barbonymus gonionotus*; B, *B. balleroides*; C, *O. mossambicus*; D, *O. marmorata*; E, *C. striata*; F, *H. planiceps*; G, *O. hasseltii*; H, *T. trichopterus*; I, *L. pardalis*; and J, *M. aculeatus*

Morphometric Measurements

After fish collection and identification, the measurement of fish morphometric and body weight were performed. The results were showed in Table 2.

Table 3 showed the morphometric measurements of ten fish species found in upstream-downstream. Total length, body length, and body width of fish appear to have significant differences ($P < 0.05$) in each sampling location. However, compared to fish body weight, there was no significant difference ($P > 0.05$), except for *B. balleroides* and *B. gonionotus* which have a significant difference ($P < 0.05$). The morpho-metric measurements and fish weight of both species

were found higher in the upstream than in the downstream.

Discussion

Based on the results of sampling, it can be seen that there were differences in the number of fish species found in the upstream and downstream of the river (Table 1). Four species of fish (*A. labiatus*, *P. humeralis*, *R. argyrotaenia*, and *O. gourami*) were not found in the downstream but only found in the upstream. They live in shallow water with a lot of plants, clear water, slow water flows, and rocky rivers (Baensch and Fischer, 2007). This was compatible with the habitat

in upstream of the river. This is due to the different types of waters upstream and the downstream of the River. Upstream is a type of standing water, while on the downstream is a type of flowing waters. On the contrary, eleven species were found only in downstream. For *S. rubripinnis* and *H. macrolepidota* were not found in the upper reaches of standing water because both of species prefer to live in river with heavy current. Adaptation to strong currents are performed with the ability for fast swimming. The ability to swim fast is owned by species like Cyprinidae. This fish capable to resist the current and strong enough to pass the fish ladder. The cyprinidae fish has an aerodynamic body shape to be able to move its position in a heavy current to get food in the form of in-vertebrates that drift with water (Cummins and Wilzbach, 2008).

In this study, it was found that only ten species found in both upstream and downstream (Fig. 3).

Table 2. The fish morphometric in upstream and downstream of Brantas River

Fish Species	Upstream of Brantas River				Downstream of Brantas River			
	Total length (cm)	Body length (cm)	Body width (cm)	Body Weight (g)	Total length (cm)	Body length (cm)	Body width (cm)	Body Weight (g)
<i>B. balleroides</i>	32±2	24±2	8 ± 1	337± 40	28±2	26±1	7 ± 1	263± 40
<i>B. gonionotus</i>	35±2	29±1	10±1	473± 51	27±6	26±5	7 ± 1	257 ± 102
<i>O. mossambicus</i>	28±4	20±5	7 ± 1	217 ± 100	32±2	26±3	8 ± 2	335± 85
<i>O. marmorata</i>	20±0	15±0	4 ± 0	70± 0	26±1	26±2	5 ± 0	168± 32
<i>C. striata</i>	63±12	28±11	5 ± 1	500 ± 183	30±4	26±1	4 ± 0	220± 57
<i>H. planiceps</i>	27±0	23±0	6 ± 0	230±0	17±0	12±0	4 ± 0	40± 0
<i>O. hasseltii</i>	20±0	15±0	4 ± 0	100±0	20±3	15±3	5 ± 1	87±15
<i>T. trichopterus</i>	16±0	13±0	4 ± 0	50± 0	15±0	13±0	4 ± 0	40± 0
<i>C. striata</i>	35±0	26±0	5 ± 0	290±0	35±9	26±8	5 ± 1	313 ± 141
<i>O. mossambicus</i>	26±4	26±5	7 ± 2	183± 73	21±0	26±0	6 ± 0	120±0
<i>L. pardalis</i>	29±0	15±0	4 ± 0	80± 0	34±0	28±0	10±0	580±0
<i>B. balleroides</i>	32±2	26±2	9 ± 1	353± 37	27±5	26±5	7 ± 2	257 ± 102
<i>B. gonionotus</i>	33±3	26±3	8 ± 2	390 ± 108	31±3	26±3	8 ± 2	357± 80
<i>O. mossambicus</i>	26±4	26±5	7 ± 2	183± 73	21±0	26±0	6 ± 0	120±0
<i>O. marmorata</i>	20±2	26±2	4 ± 1	73±25	24±4	26±4	5 ± 0	133± 58
<i>C. striata</i>	35±0	26±0	5 ± 0	290±0	35±9	26±8	5 ± 1	313 ± 141
<i>H. planiceps</i>	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	17±1	14±2	4 ± 1	45± 7
<i>O. hasseltii</i>	25±3	21±3	6 ± 1	210± 85	24±6	19±5	6 ± 2	200 ± 125
<i>T. trichopterus</i>	14±0	10±0	4 ± 0	30± 0	17±0	14±0	4 ± 0	55± 0
<i>L. pardalis</i>	38±0	33±0	13±0	700±0	23±4	27±4	11±1	530 ± 113

Fish belonging to the order have fan-shaped fins, no or have scales, can adapt to almost all aquatic ecosystems. *B. gonionotus* and *B. balleroides* (Cyprinidae) are most widely found in the downstream, this is because these species are adaptable and can survive in extreme environments. In contrast, *L. pardalis* and *M. aculeatus* were immigrant fish which less able to adapt with polluted waters than other species. However, the ten fish species which survive in a river environment were contaminated by heavy metals, although there is a decreased in morphometric measurements in both upstream and downstream (Table 3).

Furthermore, it was clear that there was a relationship between Brantas river waters contaminated with metals and morphometric measurements of fish. At high concentration, metals can reduce growth and metabolic activity of fish cells. It even can cause fish death. Continuation of metals accumulation can occur, and a positive relationship can be seen between animal size and the level of heavy metals contamination in water. Morphometric reduction was occurred in all (ten) fish species which live in both sampling locations (upstream and downstream). This was due to heavy metal toxicity (Hayati *et al.*, 2017^b; Yia and Zhang, 2012). Based on statistical

tests it was known that morphometric of fish that live in upstream was higher than downstream. Although the two sampling locations were contaminated by metals, the highest concentration was in the downstream. There was a negative correlation between the levels of metals with fish morphometric.

Conclusions

The morphometric of fish in Brantas River had significant differences in both sampling locations and total length, body length, and body width fish on the upstream were higher than downstream.

References

- Cummins, K.W., and Wilzbach, M.A., 2008, Rivers and streams:ecosystem dynamics and integrating paradigms, *Encyclopedia of Ecology*, 5 : 3084-3095
- Hayati A., N.Tiantono, MF. Mirza, ID. Supriyadi Putra, MM. Abdizen, RS. Antien, BM. Solikha, N. Maulidyah, MH. Fu'adil, TWC. Putranto, M. Affandi, and Rosmanida, 2017^a, Water quality and fish diversity in the Brantas River, East Java, Indonesia, *Journal Of Biological Researches*, 22 (2): 43-49. 2017.

Hayati A., MM. Abdizen, RS. Antien, BM. Solikha, N.

- Maulidyah, N.Tiantono, H. Widyana, I. Restinastiti, D. Ziky, Sugiharto, and D. Winarni, 2017^b, Bioaccumulation of Heavy Metals in Fish (*Barbodes* sp.) Tissues in the Brantas River, Indonesia, *J. Applied Environmental and Biological Sciences*, 7(3):139-143
- Hayati A., H. Pratiwi, I. Khoiriyah, and D. Winarni. 2017^c. Histopathological Assessment of the Effect of Cadmium on Testis and Kidney of *Oreochromis Niloticus* in Different Salinity. *AIP Conference Pro-ceedings, International Biology Conference*. 1854, 020014
- Jia, Y. Wang L. Qu Z. Wang C. and Yang Z, 2017. Effects on heavy metal accumulation in freshwater fishes: species, tissues, and sizes. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int* ;24(10):9379-9386.
- Kasimoglu C., 2014. The Effect of Fish Size, Age and Condition Factor on the Contents of Seven Essential Elements in *Anguilla anguilla* from Tersakan Stream Mugla (Turkey). *J Pollut Eff Cont*; 2:123
- Kottelat, M., Whitten, A.J., Kartikasari, S.N., and Wirjoatmodjo, S., 1993, *Freshwater Fishes of Western Indonesia and Sulawesi*. Periplus Editions Ltd., Indonesia
- Mojekwu TO and Anumudu CI., 2015. Advanced Techniques for Morphometric Analysis in Fish, *Journal of Aquaculture Research and Development*, 6:8
- Pant B., R. Kaur, V. Lohani and RN. Ram. 2018. Morphometric characteristics of silver carp (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*) under captive conditions. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*; 7(2): 17-20
- Yia, YJ. and SH. Zhang. 2012. The relationships between fish heavy metal concentrations and fish size in the upper and middle reach of Yangtze River. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*, 13, 1699 – 1707.