

# ***Helicobacter pylori* Antral Density More Valuable than Corporal Density in Chronic Gastritis Patients**

Bernadetta Jonan\*, Willy Sandhika\*\*, Ummi Maimunah\*\*\*,  
Muhammad Miftahussurur\*\*\*

\*Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga/Dr. Soetomo General Hospital, Surabaya

\*\*Department of Anatomic Pathology, Faculty of Medicine

Universitas Airlangga/Dr. Soetomo General Hospital, Surabaya

\*\*\*Division of Gastroentero-hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga/Dr. Soetomo General Hospital, Surabaya

## **Corresponding author:**

Willy Sandhika. Department of Anatomic Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga/Dr. Soetomo General Hospital. Jl. Mayjen Prof. Dr. Moestopo No. 47 Surabaya Indonesia. Phone: +62-31-5030252; facsimile: +62-31-5026333. E-mail: willysand@fk.unair.ac.id

## **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** *Helicobacter pylori* has the capacity to colonize in stomach. In countries with a high prevalence of *H. pylori*, biopsy specimens must be obtained from corpus and antrum to elevate the accuracy. However, in a country with low prevalence of *H. pylori* such as Indonesia, the sensitivity of antrum specimen only is still questionable. We compared the density of *H. pylori* in the antrum and corpus of Indonesian gastric biopsy.

**Method:** We conducted a prospective, cross-sectional, and observational study in thirty-two samples of corpus and antrum biopsy tissues from Pathology Anatomy Laboratory. The samples were stained by Modified Giemsa or Diff Quik. Updated Sydney System is utilized to classify the density of *H. pylori*.

**Results:** Among 32 biopsy specimens from corpus and antrum, it has been statistically proven that *H. pylori* density in antrum and in corpus has a significant difference (65.5% vs. 15.6%,  $p < 0.001$ ). The density of *H. pylori* in antrum is mild predominant (43.8%), while the density in corpus is normal predominant (87.5%). Thus, the antral *H. pylori* infection was the predominant site. In 53.12% case, *H. pylori* was found in antrum but was not found in the corpus. In 6.24% case, *H. pylori* was found in both sites, but the density was higher in antrum. Importantly, no case with *H. pylori* infection in corpus only was found.

**Conclusion:** *H. pylori* density in antrum is higher than in corpus. Only a small advantage to use additional biopsy from corpus to detect *H. pylori* in Indonesia.

**Keywords:** density, gastric antrum, gastric corpus, *Helicobacter pylori*

## **ABSTRAK**

**Latar belakang:** *Helicobacter pylori* mampu mengkolonisasi gaster. Pada negara dengan prevalensi *H. pylori* yang tinggi, spesimen biopsi harus diambil dari antrum dan korpus untuk meningkatkan akurasi. Namun, pada negara dengan prevalensi *H. pylori* yang rendah seperti Indonesia, sensitivitas dari spesimen antrum saja masih dipertanyakan. Kami membandingkan densitas *H. pylori* pada antrum dan korpus dari biopsi gaster pasien di Indonesia.

**Metode:** Studi prospektif, potong lintang, dan observasi ini dilakukan pada tiga puluh dua sampel jaringan biopsi antrum dan korpus yang diperoleh dari Laboratorium Patologi Anatomi. Sampel diwarnai menggunakan

pewarnaan Modified Giemsa atau Diff Quik. Densitas *H. pylori* dinilai menggunakan Updated Sydney System.

**Hasil:** Dari tiga puluh dua spesimen antrum dan korpus, terdapat perbedaan yang signifikan secara statistik mengenai perbedaan densitas *H. pylori* pada antrum dan korpus (65.5% vs. 15.6%;  $p < 0.001$ ). Densitas *H. pylori* di antrum didominasi oleh skor mild (43.8%), sedangkan densitas *H. pylori* di korpus didominasi oleh skor normal (87.5%). Oleh karena itu, antrum merupakan lokasi yang dominan untuk infeksi *H. pylori*. Pada 53.12% kasus, *H. pylori* hanya ditemukan pada antrum, tetapi tidak pada korpus. Pada 6.24% kasus, *H. pylori* ditemukan baik pada antrum maupun korpus, tetapi densitasnya lebih tinggi di antrum. Hasil yang terpenting adalah tidak ditemukan kasus dimana *H. pylori* hanya ditemukan pada korpus.

**Simpulan:** Densitas *H. pylori* lebih tinggi pada antrum dibandingkan pada korpus. Hanya didapatkan sedikit keuntungan dengan penambahan spesimen biopsi dari korpus untuk mendeteksi *H. pylori* di Indonesia.

**Kata kunci:** densitas, antrum gaster, korpus gaster, *Helicobacter pylori*

## INTRODUCTION

Gastritis is a term for inflammation that occurs in gastric mucosa and is dominantly caused by infection of *H. pylori*.<sup>1,2</sup> The prevalence rates of *H. pylori* is 10-80%. The prevalence rates correlates with geographical location, age, and social and economic status.<sup>4</sup> The predisposition factor of *H. pylori* is hygiene. Therefore, the prevalence of *H. pylori* in Indonesia was forecasted to be high. However, the prevalence compared to the Indonesia population is only 11.2% in Mataram, 36.5% in Purwokerto, 8% in Jakarta, and 11.5% in Surabaya.<sup>5-8</sup> A study conducted in North Jakarta to assess the prevalence of *H. pylori* in the area culminated a low prevalence rate of infection result, especially in the Javanese, Betawi, and Sunda tribes. Washing hand routine is predicted to be fundamental in resulting the low prevalence *H. pylori* infection in Indonesia.<sup>9</sup>

*H. pylori* is capable to colonize corpus and antrum.<sup>10</sup> However, the environment in antrum is more suited for *H. pylori* survival. The histologic component of antrum has less parietal cell and more foveolar cell compared to the corpus. In corpus, it is the opposite as there are plenty of parietal cell. Foveolar cell in antrum contributes the mucous that might benefit *H. pylori* for its survival in the stomach. *H. pylori* survival chance in corpus is diminished by the acidic environment.<sup>10-13</sup> *H. pylori* also use its flagella to retain its place in the gastric mucous.<sup>14</sup>

As one of the predisposition factors of gastric cancer, it is imperative for the diagnosis of *H. pylori* to be done in prompt and precise diagnostic method. The diagnosis is executed by taking one gastric biopsy from incisura angularis, two from corpus, and two from antrum. The density of *H. pylori* will be evaluated by Updated Sydney System.<sup>15-18</sup> Evaluating and interpreting multiple biopsy specimen is very crucial in order to increase the accuracy of histological

diagnosis. By using an additional biopsy specimen from corpus, there will be an enhancement of the detection rate by 1-6% compared to using antral biopsy specimens only. The optimal biopsy site in patient with extensive atrophy is portrayed by greater curvature of the corpus because it yield a better sensitivity than the lesser curvature of the corpus or the antrum. In country with high prevalence of *H. pylori* such as Japan, this is especially important as a higher sensitivity was observed in the upper corpus gastric curvature, but not in antral biopsy. The Japanese guidelines recommends that biopsies should be performed on two sites. The sites are in the upper to middle part of the gastric corpus and the greater curvature of gastric antrum. One of the reason of this suggestion is the distribution of *H. pylori* in the stomach might be uneven. Another reason is that intestinal metaplasia might cause a false negative on the antral specimens.<sup>19-22</sup> This arise question in low prevalence of *H. pylori* countries such as Indonesia whether it is important to investigate multiple biopsy sites or it is enough to evaluate the antrum only.<sup>23</sup> We analyzed the difference of the *H. pylori* density in the gastric antrum compared to the gastric corpus.

## METHOD

A cross-sectional, observational, and prospective study was performed in the Pathology Anatomy Laboratory in Dr. Soetomo General Hospital. The study was conducted between 1<sup>st</sup> January 2017 until 31<sup>st</sup> December 2017. The population of the sample is the gastric biopsy of gastritis patients. Purposive sampling was chosen as the sampling method. The biopsy sample that have been examined for *H. pylori* were included. The sample have not underwent *H. pylori* examination were excluded. Using the Taro Yamane equation, the sample size is 32 with confidence interval (CI) 83%. This study has obtained approval of ethical clearance

from ethics commission of Faculty of Medicine Universitas Airlangga/Dr. Soetomo General Hospital Surabaya (No. 0408/KEPK/VII/2018).


The independent variable is the biopsy of antrum and corpus that has been differentiated by its histologic structure. The dependent variable is *H. pylori* density in the biopsy sites. The biopsy sites include both antrum and corpus. Using the Updated Sydney System, the density of *H. pylori* was graded ordinally by semiquantitative visual analogue scale as 0 “normal”, 1 “mild”, 2 “moderate”, and 3 “marked”.

The staining method that was used is Diff Quik or Modified Giemsa. The biopsy sample that previously had been stained with Modified Giemsa was deparaffinized with xylol for 2 x 15 minutes. The paraffin block was then inserted to methanol for 1 minutes. The paraffin block then was dried before stained by the red reagent for about two minutes.

After drying it, the paraffin block was stained by the blue reagent for about one minute. The paraffin block was inserted to clean water before being dried. The mounting medium was given after drying before closing the paraffin block with cover glass. The slide was air dried for about two hours. After that, the density of *H. pylori* was evaluated using Updated Sydney System through light microscope using x40 objective lens. *H. pylori* will be appeared in spiral form and blue-greyish colored. All high-power fields were examined.

The data of this study was analyzed with SPSS Statistics 17.0. Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test was selected to analyze the difference of *H. pylori* density between antrum and corpus.

**RESULTS**



**Figure 1.** *H. pylori* (red arrow) is stained by Modified Giemsa or Diff Quik

From 32 samples, we found that 65.6% antrum and 15.6% of corpus was infected by *H. pylori*. It is also found that 34.4% antrum and 84.4% corpus was not infected. (Table 1)

**Table 1. Sample infected with *H. pylori***

n (%)	Infected		Uninfected	
	Antrum	Corpus	Antrum	Corpus
	21 (65.6)	4 (15.6)	11 (34.4)	27 (84.4)

From 32 samples of antrum, the score of *H. pylori* density that was found was mainly “1” or *mild*, followed by “0” or *normal*, “2” or *moderate*, and “3” or *marked*. (Table 2)

**Table 2. *H. pylori* density in antrum**

Scale	n (%)
0 (normal)	11 (34.4)
1 (mild)	14 (43.8)
2 (moderate)	5 (15.6)
3 (marked)	2 (6.3)
Total	32 (100)

From 32 samples of corpus, the score of *H. pylori* density that was found was mainly “0” or *negative*, followed by “1” or *mild*, and “2” or *moderate*. (Table 3)

**Table 3. *H. pylori* density in corpus**

Scale	n (%)
0 (negative)	28 (87.5)
1 (mild)	3 (9.4)
2 (moderate)	1 (3.1)
3 (marked)	0 (0)
Total	32 (100)

Using ordinal scale and semi-quantitative research, the density between antrum and corpus was analyzed using non-parametric statistic, using the Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test. The test revealed that there was a significant difference between *H. pylori* density in antrum and corpus with p value 0.000 ( $p < 0.05$ ) and Z score -4.017.

**Table 4. Predominance of *H. pylori***

No.	Density in antrum	Density in corpus	n (%)
I	Not found	Not found	11 (34.4)
II	Found	Not found	17 (53.12)
III	Higher	Lower	2 (6.24)
IV	Same	Same	2 (6.24)
V	Lower	Higher	0 (0)
VI	Not found	Found	0 (0)
Total Case			32 (100)

There are some probabilities of result from observing and comparing the density of *H. pylori* in antrum and corpus. In 34.4% case, we did not found *H. pylori* in antrum and in corpus. In 53.12% case,

*H. pylori* was found in antrum but not in corpus. In 6.24% case, *H. pylori* was found in both antrum and corpus, but the density was higher in antrum. In another 6.24% case the density in antrum and corpus was the same. There is no case of *H. pylori* density was higher in corpus than in antrum. There is also no case of *H. pylori* was found in corpus only. We can conclude that there is an antral predominance of *H. pylori* infection.

## DISCUSSION

We found that *H. pylori* infected majority of the sample. The result of this study contradicts previous studies in Indonesia's result that stated the prevalence of infection was only 11.5% in Surabaya, 11.2% in Mataram, and 8% in Jakarta.<sup>5,8,9</sup> This difference is assumed due to the difference of the staining method. The previous study used Haematoxyllin-eosin as the staining method.<sup>24</sup> In this study, Modified Giemsa or Diff Quik was used as the staining method. Modified Giemsa is the combination between Giemsa and Warthin Starry. However, there is no difference of colour between *H. pylori* and the histologic structure of the gastric. This problem can be solved with an observation done by careful observers. Modified Giemsa staining method is also cheap, easy, and has high sensitivity.<sup>25</sup>

Another important result is that there is an extremely significant difference between *H. pylori* density in antrum and corpus. Previous study concluded that antral lesser curvature or near the incisura have a higher score of *H. pylori* density but there is no significant differences. It was also stated that in order to achieve 100% sensitivity in detecting *H. pylori*, two antral biopsy specimens is required. Those antral specimens are seized from the greater curvature and the lesser curvature. The usage of corpus specimen is for assessment of severity and distribution of gastritis. However, only if major intestinal metaplasia is present in antrum, corpus specimen increased the diagnostic yield.<sup>26</sup> In this study, we found that there is only small additional percentage of corpus infection. We can conclude that there is only a small advantage to use additional biopsy from corpus to detect *H. pylori* in Indonesia.

*H. pylori* is a spiral gram-negative bacterium that produce urease and survive optimally in pH 6.7-7.0. The urease of *H. pylori* produces ammonia and increase the buffer of the acid. In the pH of gastric lumen that revolves around 1.0-2.0, *H. pylori* will not survive.<sup>12</sup> There are four structures of gastric: cardia, pylorus,

corpus, and fundus. Antrum is a part of pylorus. Cardia and pylorus are histologically identic and in charge of producing mucus. Fundus and corpus are in charge of producing acid.<sup>27</sup> In corpus, it is found that the gastric pit is deeper. This result in the number of parietal cell in corpus is more than in antrum. However, there are more foveolar cell in antrum than in corpus.<sup>13,28</sup> We can draw a conclusion that *H. pylori* density in antrum is higher than in corpus because mucus in antrum enable *H. pylori* to survive and the acidic situation in corpus disable it survival.

The antral predominance can be assumed because the condition in antrum is more suited for the survival of *H. pylori*, the gastritis has progressively moved from antrum to corpus, and that atrophy of the antrum had not been occurred because atrophy in antrum lower the colonization of *H. pylori* in antrum and trigger *H. pylori* to colonize corpus.<sup>3,13,27,28,31</sup>

The eradication of *H. pylori* is imperative to be done in all infected individual because of *H. pylori* infection is one of the predisposition factor of gastric cancer. It is assumed that there is no difference of treatment method based on the density result. The eradication of *H. pylori* will preserve the risk of gastric cancer, escalate the function of the gastric mucous, intercept mucosal damage, resolve the mucosal inflammation, and reconstruct the normal mechanism of gastric acid secretion. However, maximum advantage of eradication will only be achieved in patient without atrophy.<sup>32</sup>

The limitation of this study is that the confidence interval is only 83% and the sample size is only 32. For the next research, it is suggested to increase the confidence interval until 90% and using the Diff Quik staining method to get more accurate result.

## CONCLUSION

The density of *H. pylori* in antrum is higher than in corpus with an extremely significant difference. Only a small advantage to use additional biopsy from corpus to detect *H. pylori* in Indonesia. Further study with a number big samples is needed to ensure the best amount of biopsy specimens to detect *H. pylori* infection in Indonesia.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank Dr Soetomo General Hospital who has given the opportunity to perform the study.

## REFERENCES

1. Ebule I, Longdoh A, Paloheimo I. *Helicobacter pylori* infection and atrophic gastritis. *Afr Health Sci* 2013;13:112-7.
2. Stolte M, Meining A. The updated Sydney system: Classification and grading of gastritis as the basis of diagnosis and treatment. *Can J Gastroenterol* 2001;15:591-8.
3. Ebule I, Longdoh A, Paloheimo I. *Helicobacter pylori* infection and atrophic gastritis. *Afr Health Sci* 2013;13:112-7.
4. Spurnić AR, Brmbolić B, Stojšić Z, Pekmezović T, Bukumirić Z, Korać M, et al. The increasing prevalence of HIV/ *Helicobacter pylori* co-infection over time, along with the evolution of antiretroviral therapy (ART). *Peer J* 2017;5:e3392.
5. Zhao Y, Wang J, Tanaka T, Hosono A, Ando R, Soeripto S, et al. Association Between HLA-DQ Genotypes and Haplotypes vs *Helicobacter pylori* Infection in an Indonesian Population. *Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev* 2012;13:1247-51.
6. Arinton IG. Adjustment of cut-off values in ELISA for detection of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Acta Med Indones* 2011;43:88-91.
7. Syam AF, Miftahussurur M, Makmun D, Nusi IA, Zain LH, Zulkhairi, et al. Risk factors and prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* in five largest islands of Indonesia: A preliminary study. *PLoS One* 2015;10:1-14.
8. Miftahussurur M, Shiota S, Suzuki R, Matsuda M, Uchida T, Kido Y, et al. Identification of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in symptomatic patients in Surabaya, Indonesia, using five diagnostic tests. *Epidemiol Infect* 2015;143:986–96.
9. Goto Y, Syam AF, Darnindro N, Hapsari FCP, Puspita Hapsari FC. Risk Factors for and Prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* Infection among Healthy Inhabitants in Northern Jakarta, Indonesia. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2016;17:4469-75.
10. Misra V, Misra S, Dwivedi M, Singh ÜPA, Bhargava V, Gupta SC. A topographic study of *Helicobacter pylori* density, distribution and associated gastritis. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2000;15:737-43.
11. Mescher AL, Junqueira LC. Junqueira's Basic Histology: Text and Atlas, Thirteenth Edition. 14<sup>th</sup> ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Education; 2016.p.307-14.
12. Caron TJ, Scott KE, Fox JG, Hagen SJ. Tight junction disruption: *Helicobacter pylori* and dysregulation of the gastric mucosal barrier. *World J Gastroenterol* 2015;21:11411–27.
13. Graham DY. History of *Helicobacter pylori*, duodenal ulcer, gastric ulcer and gastric cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014;20:5191–204.
14. Schreiber S, Konradt M, Groll C, Scheid P, Hanauer G, Werling H-O, et al. The spatial orientation of *Helicobacter pylori* in the gastric mucus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2004;101:5024–9.
15. Patel SK, Pratap CB, Jain AK, Gulati AK, Nath G. Diagnosis of *Helicobacter pylori*: What should be the gold standard? *World J Gastroenterol* 2014;20:12847–59.
16. Galit H, Frydman, Nick Davis, Paul L. Beck JGF. *Helicobacter pylori* Eradication in Patients with Immune Thrombocytopenic Purpura: A Review and the Role of Biogeography. *Helicobacter* 2016;1848:3047–54.
17. Khatoun J. Role of *Helicobacter pylori* in gastric cancer: Updates. *World J Gastrointest Oncol* 2016;8:147.
18. Minalyan A, Benhammou JN, Artashesyan A, Lewis MS, Pisegna JR. Autoimmune atrophic gastritis: current perspectives. *Clin Exp Gastroenterol* 2017;10:19-27.
19. Lee JH, Park YS, Choi K-S, Kim DH, Choi KD, Song HJ, et al. Optimal Biopsy Site for *Helicobacter pylori* Detection during Endoscopic Mucosectomy in Patients with Extensive Gastric Atrophy. *Helicobacter* 2012;17:405-10.
20. Kim CG, Choi IJ, Lee JY, Cho S-J, Nam B-H, Kook M-C, et al. Biopsy site for detecting *Helicobacter pylori* infection in patients with gastric cancer. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009;24:469-74.
21. Enomoto H, Watanabe H, Nishikura K, Umezawa H, Asakura H. Topographic distribution of *Helicobacter pylori* in the resected stomach. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 1998;10:473-8.
22. Satoh K, Kimura K, Taniguchi Y, Kihira K, Takimoto T, Saifuku K, et al. Biopsy sites suitable for the diagnosis of *Helicobacter pylori* infection and the assessment of the extent of atrophic gastritis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1998;93:569.
23. Syam AF. Current situation of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in Indonesia. *Med J Indones* 2016;25:263-6.
24. Saragih JB, Akbar N, Syam AF, Sirait S, Himawan S, Soetjahyo E. Incidence of *Helicobacter pylori* infection and gastric cancer : an 8-year hospital based study. *Acta Med Indones* 2005;39:79-81.
25. Rotimi O, Cairns A, Gray S, Moayyedi P, Dixon MF. Histological identification of *Helicobacter pylori*: comparison of staining methods. *J Clin Pathol* 2000;53:756 LP-759.
26. Genta RM, Graham DY. Comparison of biopsy sites for the histopathologic diagnosis of *Helicobacter pylori*: a topographic study of *H. pylori* density and distribution. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1994;40:342-5.
27. Mescher AL. Junqueira's basic histology : text and atlas. New York: McGraw-Hill Education; 2016.p.307-14.
28. Kusters JG, Van Vliet AHM, Kuipers EJ. Pathogenesis of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2006;19:449-90.
29. Dunne C, Dolan B, Clyne M. Factors that mediate colonization of the human stomach by *Helicobacter pylori*. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014;20:5610–24.
30. Takashima M, Furuta T, Hanai H, Sugimura H, Kaneko E. Effects of *Helicobacter pylori* infection on gastric acid secretion and serum gastrin levels in Mongolian gerbils. *Gut* 2001;48:765-73.
31. Ruiz B, Correa P, Fontham ETH, Ramakrishnan T. Antral atrophy, *Helicobacter pylori* colonization, and gastric pH. *Am J Clin Pathol* 1996;105:96-101.
32. Sugano K, Tack J, Kuipers EJ, Graham DY, El-Omar EM, Miura S, et al. Kyoto global consensus report on *Helicobacter pylori* gastritis. *Gut* 2015;64:1353-67.