# Haematuria and Colic Correlation as Clinical Predictors

by Tarmono Tarmono

**Submission date:** 22-Apr-2019 03:27PM (UTC+0800)

**Submission ID:** 1116795875

File name: Haematuria\_and\_Colic\_Correlation\_as\_Clinical\_Predictors.pdf (7.61M)

Word count: 3547

Character count: 18279

## EMATURIA AND COLIC CORRELATION AS CLINICAL PREDICTORS LETERAL STONES PATIENTS AT UROLOGY OUTPATIENT UNIT FIOMO HOSPITAL WITHIN JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2015 PERIOD

Same Laksmana, <mark>Tarmono Djojodimedjo,</mark> 'Johan Renaldo.

of Unclogy, Faculty of Medicine/Universitas Airlangga, Soctomo General Hospital, Surabaya.

#### ABSTRACT

Dr Soetomo Hospital within a period of five years. Material & methods: This study is a descriptive with the treatment of the sensitivity-specificity test with retrospective design. Data were collected from patient's medical areteral stone diagnosis at outpatient unit Dr Soetomo Hospital within 2011-2015. Results: Renal colic or the medical stone diagnosis at outpatient unit Dr Soetomo Hospital within 2011-2015. Results: Renal colic or the medical stone diagnosis at outpatient unit Dr Soetomo Hospital within 2011-2015 period. Haematuria without colic complaint had 29.37% and 17% specificity in the incidence of ureteral stones. Colic and haematuria compared with colic had sensitivity of 77.41% for the incidence of ureteral stones and 65.92% specificity. Colic and haematuria are complaints has a 58.77% sensitivity for ureteral stones incidence and 94.66% specificity. Conclusion:

The complaints has a 58.77% sensitivity for ureteral stones incidence and 94.66% specificity. Conclusion:

The conclusion of the ureteral stones incident that the complaints of colic without haematuria and the conclusion of the ureteral stones incident at Urology Outpatient Unit Dr Soetomo Hospital within 2011-2015 are consistent with the literature that mentions prominent complaint in the incidence of ureteral stones is their that the stone through the ureteral passage, and followed by haematuria for their mucosal surface injury.

enal colic, haematuria.

#### ABSTRAK

sengetahui hubungan antara gejala klinis kolik dan hematuria sebagai prediktor kejadian Batu Ureter di Secono Surabaya dalam kurun waktu lima tahun. Untuk dilihat kesesuaiannya dengan literatur-literatur yang 🚐 🐍 🚅 & cara: Penelitian ini menggunakan studi deskriptif-analitik menggunakan tes sensittfitas spesifisitas dengan Data dikumpulkan dari rekam medis pasien unit rawat jalan (poli) Urologi dengan diagnosis Batu Dr Soctomo Surabaya tahun 2011-2015. Hasil: Kolik Renal/Kolik Ureter saja tanpa Hematuria di Poli Sociomo Surabaya periode 2011-2015 memiliki spesifisitas 88.32% dan sensitivitas 53.07% dalam kejadian Rematuria saja tanpa keluhan kolik di Poli Urologi RS Dr Soetomo Surabaya periode 2011-2015 terhadap weter memiliki sensitifitas sebesar 29.37% dan spesifisitas sebesar 90.17%. Kolik disertai hematuria dengan kolik saja memiliki sensitivitas 55.76% untuk kejadian hatu ureter dan spesifisitas 70.09%. Bahagan kolik disertai hematuria dibandingkan dengan hematuria saja memiliki sensitivitas 77.41% untuk kejadian batu an sesifisitas 65.92%. Dan kolik disertai hematuria dibandingkan dengan keluhan selain kolik dan hematuria RS Dr Soetomo Surabaya periode 2011-2015 adalah prediktor klinis yang memiliki nilai yang lebih baik saja tanpa keluhan kolik saja tanpa hematuria dan hematuria saja tanpa kolik, dalam dugaan kearah kejadian batu 🚃 🗝 sesuai dengan literatur yang menyebutkan keluhan yang menonjol pada kejadian hatu ureter adalah adanya ang disebahkan karena pasase batu melalui ureter, akan diikuti dengan hematuria karena adanya perlukaan www.kosa oleh permukaan batu ureter saat pasase.

Bata ureter, kolik ureter, kolik renal, hematuria.

Wisnu Laksmana, e/o: Department of Urology, Faculty of Medicine/Universitas Airlangga, Soctomo General Hospital.

Prof. Dr. Moestopo 6-8, Surahaya 60286. Phone: +62 31 5501318; Fax: +62 31 5024971. Mobile phone: 081 23007233.

#### INTRODUCTION

Ureteral stones are generally present with acute renal colic or acute ureter colic when a stone through the ureter peristaltic. Another complaint also found is haematuria. In addition to these two main complaints, the other complaints that can be found are nausea, vomiting, dysuria, fever, UTI, body weakness and Anuria. 12

The definitive diagnosis of ureteral stones can only be completely upright after doing identification with imaging such as ultrasound or tomografi. 34.5 Nevertheless some recent studies indicate there was a significant proportion of patients with ureteral stones come with colic pain with or without haematuria. 40 Moreover, some patients complained of flank pain continued for several days after acute colic pain. 17

#### OBJECTIVE

We investigate the relationship between the onset of colic pain, haematuria and final diagnosis ureteral stones. (5.5)

#### MATERIAL & METHODS

This study is a descriptive-analytic study using the sensitivity-specificity test with retrospective design. We conduct his study at Urology Outpatients Unit Dr Soetomo Hospital Surabaya. The population of this study were all patients with urcteral stones diagnosis that were treated in the Urology Outpatient Unit, Dr Sctomo Hospital Surabaya within 2011-2015 period.

The data were collected from patient's medical records with Ureteral Stone diagnosis at Urology Outpatient Unit Dr Soctomo Hospital within 2011-2015 period. The data then will be grouped according to demographic data, the type of the anatomical diagnosis of ureteral stones,

haematuria complaints and colic. We analyzed correlation between colic, haematuria as elir symptoms in the incidence of ureteral stones. Al results of the grouping will be shown analytical the form of tables and narratives, and analyzed u the sensitivity-specificity test.

#### RESULTS

Patients with ureteral stones in Urol Outpatient Unit Dr Soetomo Hospital during 20 2015 period were 850 patients, with an averag 170 patients per year. The ureteral stones diagnwhich divided anatomically were found 427 patient proximal ureteral stones or approxymal 50.23% of the total ureteral stones patients, patients with middle ureteral stones as many 16.94% of total ureteral stones patients and 2 patients with distal ureteral stones or approxymat 32.82% of total ureteral stones patients at urole outpatient unit Dr Soetomo Hospital during period 2011-2015.

In this study, we collected data of patie with ureteral stones that came with complaints colic without haematuria within 2011-2015 peric We were not grouping the colic by side of colic, t nature and the intensity and duration of colic. Fro the results of the data collection, patients w complaints of colic without haematuria was found patients of the 172 patients with ureteral ston (30.23%) in the year 2011, 52 patients of the 16 patients with ureteral stones (30.95%) in 2012, patients of the 170 patients with ureteral ston-(24.70%) in 2013, 51 patients of 175 patients wi urcteral stones (29.14%) in 2014 and 45 patients i the 165 patients with ureteral stones (27.27%) 2015. While the total for 5 years, 242 patients from total of 850 patients with ureteral stones (28.47%).

Furthermore, we collected data from patient's medical records at Urology Outpatient Un for the years 2011-2015, we obtained that total of

Table 1. Description of ureteral stones patients with colic complaints.

Ureteral Stones Patients	Colic	Percentage (%)
172	1040-0140-01	refeemage (70)
		30.23
	52	30.95
	42	24.70
	51	29.14
165	45	27.27
850		28.47
	172 168 170 175 165	172 52 168 52 170 42 175 51 165 45

2. Description of patients with colic symptoms.

	Ureteral Stones (+)	Ureteral Stones (-)	Total
Colic (+)	242	286	528
Haematuria (-)			520

3. Description of ureteral stones patients with haematuria complaints.

Year	Ureteral Stones Patients	Haematuria	Percentage (%)
2011	172	22	12.79
2012	168	20	11.90
2013	170	15	8.82
2014	175	20	11 43
2015	165	12	7.27
Total	850	89	10.47

Label 4. Description of patients who present with haematuria.

	Ureteral Stone (+)	Ureteral Stone (-)	Total
Colic (-) Haematuria (+)	89	236	325

5. Description of urcteral stones patients who came with colic and haematuria complaints.

Year	Ureteral Stone Patients	Colic + Haematuria	Percentage (%)
2011	172	58	33.72
2012	168	65	38.69
2013	170	60	35.29
2014	175	64	36.57
2015	165	58	35.15
Total	850	305	35.88

who present with colic itself is 528 patients.
This data can be summarized in the table 2.

In this study, we also classify patients with stones with the chief complaint when it is to redness urine or macroscopic haematuria. It is this study, we only point at the total number of starts with symptoms of haematuria without searching the old complaint, the intensity of the starts and the drugs that had been consumed. It is the following data were obtained patients with starts of haematuria from ureteral stones medical records at the Urology Outpatient medical records at the Urology Outpatient soctom Hospital within 2011-2015 period.

We obtained 22 patients out of 172 patients with ureteral stones (12.79%) in 2011, 20 patients at of a total of 168 patients with ureteral stones (50%) in 2012, 15 patients of the 170 patients out treteral stones (8.82%) in 2013, 20 patients out 15 patients with ureteral stones (11.43%) in 2014

and 12 patients of the 165 patients with ureteral stones (7.27%) in 2015. Total for 5 years ureteral stone patients who present with haematuria without colic is 89 patients out of a total of 850 patients with ureteral stones (10.47%).

Furthermore, we collected data from patient's medical records at Urology Outpatient Unit for the years 2011-2015, total patients who present with haematuria itself is 325 patients. This data can be summarized in the table 4.

Patients also grouped into colic complaints with haematuria. In this study, we did not separate the data between macroscopic and microscopic haematuria as a broadcaster of colic. We only choose ureteral stones patients with only microscopic haematuria and colic. From the data collected, ureteral stone patients at Urology outpatient unit of Dr Soetomo Hospital during 2011-2015 that came with complaints colic and haematuria, obtained in

Table 6. Description of patients with colic and haematuria complaints.

	Ureteral Stone (+)	Ureteral Stone (-)	Total
Colic (+)	305	122	427
Haematuria (+)			

the year 2011 as many as 58 patients of the 172 patients with ureteral stones (33.72%), 65 patients of the 168 patients with ureteral stones (38.69%) in 2012, 60 patients of the 170 patients with ureteral stones (35.29%) in 2013, 64 patients obtained from 175 patients with ureteral stones (36.57%) in 2014 and 58 patients of the 165 patients with ureteral stones (35.15%) in 2015. And a total of 5 years ureteral stone patients who present with colic and haematuria is 305 patients from a total of 850 patients with ureteral stones (35.88%).

Furthermore, we collected data from patient's medical records at Urology Outpatient Unit for the years 2011-2015, total of patients who present with colic and haematuria were 427 patients. This data can be summarized in table 6.

The data mentioned above then regrouped for analysis and bench marking, with tabled to test the sensitivity and specificity. This can be explained in the table below.

Table 7. Data grouping for the sensitivity and specificity test of colic complaint without haematuria.

	Ureteral Stone (+)	Ureteral Stone (-)
Colic (+)	242	286
Colic (-)	214	2164

Specificity =  $[2164/(286+2164)] \times 100\% = 88.32\%$ Sensitivity =  $[242/(242+214)] \times 100\% = 53.07\%$ 

Table 8. Data grouping for the sensitivity and specificity test of haematuria incidence without colic.

	Ureteral Stone (+)	Ureteral Stone (-)
Haematuria (+)	89	236
Hacmaturia (-)	214	2164

Specificity =  $[2164/(236+2164)] \times 100\% = 90.17\%$ Sensitivity =  $[89/(89+214)] \times 100\% = 29.37\%$ 

Table 9. Data grouping for the sensitivity and specificity test of colic and haematuria compared with the incidence of haematuria without colic.

	Ureteral Stone (+)	Ureteral Stone (-)
Colic (+), Haematuria (+)	305	122
Colic (-), Haematuria (+)	89	236

Specificity =  $[236/(236+122)] \times 100\% = 65.92\%$ Sensitivity =  $[305/(305+89)] \times 100\% = 77.41\%$ 

Table 10. Data grouping for the sensitivity and specificity test of colic and haematuria compared to the incidence of colic without haematuria.

	Ureteral Stone (+)	Ureteral Stone (-)
Colic (+), Haematuria (+)	305	122
Colic (+), Haematuria (-)	242	286

Specificity =  $[286/(286+122)] \times 100\% = 70.09\%$ Sensitivity =  $[305/(305+242)] \times 100\% = 55.76\%$ 

#### DISCUSSION

During the period January 2011 until December 2015 there were 850 cases of ureteral stones are handled in the Urology Outpatient Unit Dr. Soetomo Hospital, with the average per year is 170 patients. The ureteral stones diagnosis which divided anatomically were found 427 patients (50.23% of the total ureteral stones patients), 144 patients middle ureteral stones (16.94% of total ureteral stones patients) and distal ureteral stones with 279 patients (32.82% of total ureteral stones patients). The number of male patients were 486 patients (57.17%) and female patients were 359 patients (42.83%). While the percentage of ureteral stones incidence over a period of 5 years is 28.20% out of the total

Urology Outpatient Unit which reach the state of 3014 patients for 5 years. Data distribution is not much different from that we get the state says that the average incidence of stones is 20% of all cases within a period dears observation. All Male patients is more than patients with a ratio according to the say much as 1.47 times, although it is more than the last 3 years the range of patients stones in women experiencing significant stones in women experiencing significant acceptance, it can be said that the distribution of stones new cases at Urology Outpatient Unit Section Hospital is the same from the existing the said outpatient unit stones new cases at Urology Outpatient Unit Section Hospital is the same from the existing the said outpatient unit stones new cases at Urology Outpatient Unit Section Hospital is the same from the existing the said of the said of the said that the distribution of the said th

ıd

ia

3-

al

We were not grouping the colic by side of metic, the nature, the intensity and duration of colic in this study. We counts the total number of patients with colic complaints because of the incomplete medical records that From the data collected, patients with colic complaints without haematuria was found 52 passents of the 172 patients with ureteral stones (50.23%) in the year 2011, 52 patients of the 168 patients with ureteral stones (30.95%) in 2012, 42 patients of the 170 patients with ureteral stones (24 70%) in 2013, 51 patients out of 175 patients with ureteral stones (29.14%) in 2014 and 45 patients at the 165 patients with ureteral stones (27.27%) in While the total for 5 years was about 242 patients from a total of 850 ureteral stones patients (28.47%). Total patients who present with colic complaint only is 628 patients. From the data above, we analyzed using tables and calculation of specificity and sensitivity tests. We obtained colic without haematuria had a specificity 88.32% and a ensitivity 53.07%, this means that when a complaint abtained without ureteral colic then the probability it s true that an incident ureteral stones is 53.07%, whereas in patients who did not have colic complaint 88.32% probability of occurrence is not a meteral stone. This indicates colic complaint with the absence (absence) haematuria and actions need to further investigation on the truth of ureteral stones incidence, and the possibility of another diagnosis mill need to be considered. It is appropriate with some literature indicating that the absence of baematuria in the colic complaint indicates that the areteral stone is not the main idea and the need to better diagnosis consideration.4681

We obtained data from the medical records of ureteral stones patients in the Outpatient

Department of Urology Hospital Dr Soetomo period 2011-2015, that patients with haematuria complaints in 2011 was 22 patients of the 172 ureteral stones patients (12.79%), 20 patients of the total 168 ureteral stones patients (11.90%) in 2012, 15 patients of the 170 ureteral stones patients (8.82%) in 2013, 20 patients out of 175 ureteral stones patients (11.43%) in 2014 and 12 patients of the 165 ureteral stones patients (7.27%) in 2015. Total ureteral stone patients who present with haematuria without colic is 89 patients out of a total of 850 ureteral stones patients (10.47%) for 5 years. Total patients who present with haematuria only is 325 patients. From the data above, we analyzed with tables and calculation of specificity and sensitivity tests. It showed that haematuria without colic complaint in the ureteral stones incidence had sensitivity 29.37% and specificity 90.17%. This means that when a patients only have haematuria complaint without colic is possible to 29.37% for ureteral stones incidence, and if the patient is not have haematuria complaint so the possibility 90.17% it is not ureteral stone incidence. In this part of the study, it can be concluded that haematuria without colic is not a good predictor into suspicious towards ureteral stones, which should be considered another diagnosis is more likely to occur before finally discovered ureteral stones after further examination. It is appropriate with some literature that haematuria without colic complaint is not a good predictor of urinary tract stones incidence in general because another diagnosis is more likely to be suited (e.g. cancer) when only haematuria complaint occur. Therefore, when we found haematuria without colie, the ureteral stone incidence is not our first consideration until we discover it by further examination later.

We grouped the data separately from ureteral stones patients who present with microscopic haematuria or colic complaint only. We obtained the ureteral stones patients data with colic and haematuria complaints 58 patients of the 172 patients with ureteral stones (33.72%) in the year 2011, 65 patients of the 168 patients with ureteral stones (38.69%) in 2012, 60 patients with ureteral stones (35.29%) in 2013, 64 patients with ureteral stones (35.29%) in 2013, 64 patients obtained from 175 patients with ureteral stones (35.15%) in 2015. Total ureteral stone patients who present with colic and haematuria is 305 patients from a total of 850 patients with ureteral stones (35.88%) for 5 years.

From the medical records at Urology Outpatient Unit for the years 2011-2015, total patients who present with colic and haematuria is 427 patients. We sorted and grouped the data again for comparison respectively: the significancy of colic and haematuria compared to colic only; the incidence of colic and haematuria compared to haematuria only; the incidence of colic and haematuria compared to other complaints on the ureteral stones patient, we study and compare which one is really clinically significant as predictors of the ureteral stones incidence.

Colic and haematuria compared to colic only in the clinical significance have a sensitivity 55.76% and a specificity 70.09% for incident ureteral stones at Urology Outpatient Unit Dr Soetomo Hospital within 2011-2015 period. It means when we found patients complaining of colic and haematuria then 55.76% is probably ureter stones, whereas for colic alone will have the possibility of ureteral stones as much as 44.24%. Furthermore, specificity means when a complaint is colic only compared to colic and haematuria complaint, 70.09% is not probably ureteral stones. This indicates that the colic and haematuria complaint have more clinically significant as predictors of the occurrence of ureteral stones compared with colic complaints only. This is consistent with the literature that the presence of haematuria as a broadcaster in colic gripe would be more meaningful in narrowing suspicion towards ureteral stones incident compared to a colic complaint only. 4,9,14,17 Colic without haematuria should get more extensive differential diagnosis prior suspicion towards urcteral stones that will be proved by further examination.3,12,4

After we analyzed the data, it was found that colic and haematuria compared with haematuria only have sensitivity 77.41% and specificity 65.92% for ureteral stones incident. It means when we found patients complaining of colic and haematuria then 77.41% is probably ureteral stones, whereas for haematuria alone will have the possibility of ureteral stones as much as 22.59%. The specificity means that when patient is only complaning haematuria only compared to colic and haematuria together, 65.92% is not true ureteral stones. This indicates that the colic and haematuria complaint have more clinically significant as predictors of the occurrence of ureteral stones compared to haematuria complaints only. This is consistent with the literature that the presence of haematuria as a broadcaster in

colic gripe would be more meaningful in narrowing suspicion towards ureteral stones incident compared to haematuria only complaint. <sup>13,14,16</sup> Haematuria without colic should get more differential diagnosis for example malignancy, prior suspicion towards ureteral stones that will be proved further examination. <sup>12,19</sup>

#### CONCLUSION

Colic and haematuria are clinical predictors that have a better value than the complaints of colic without haematuria and haematuria without colic, in the ureteral stones incident at Urology Ou 1 tient Unit Dr Soetomo Hospital within 2011-2015 period. This is consistent with the literature that mentions prominent complaint in the incidence of ureteral stones is their colic pain caused by the stone through the ureteral passage, and followed by haematuria for their mucosal surface injury.

#### REFERENCES

- Leavitt Da. Ureteral calculi. Campbell-Walsh Urology, 11\* Ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier Inc; 2016. p. 1705-6.
- Florens W. The silence of the stones: Asymptomatic ureteral calculi. American Urological Association. J Urol. 2007; 128: 1341-7.
- Masarani, Dimmen. Ureteric colic: New trends in diagnose and treatment. Post Grad Med J. 2007; 83: 469–72.
- Hoppe H. Alternate or additional findings to stone disease on unenchanched computerized tomography for acute plank pain can impact management. American Urological Association. J Urol. 2006; 175: 1725-30.
- Narendravyas. Urolithiasis and its management. Der Pharmacca Lettre. USA. 2010; 2(1): 457

  –66.
- Kobayashi T. Clinical characteristics of ureteral calculi detected by nonenchanched computerized tomography after unclear plain radiography and ultrasonography. American Urological Association. J Urol. 2003; 170(3): 799–802.
- Teichman J. Acute renal colic from ureteral calculus. N Engl J Med. 2004; 350: 684–93.
- Song Y. How often are obstructing ureteral stone associated with normal urine dipstick and serum WBC. American Urological Association. J Urol. 2015; 193(4): e1019.
- Moore LC. Derivation and validation of a clinical prediction role for uncomplicated ureteral stone-the stone score: Retrospective and prospective observational cohort study. BMJ. 2014; 348: g2191.
- 10. Eisner BH. Ureteral stone location at emergency

- resented with colic. American Urological
- S. Fluid bolus for renal colic: Current American Urological Association. J Urol. 35 2/5): 239–43.

d

a

S

S

- MJ. The role of computerized tomography in the evaluation of haematuria. American ceal Association. J Urol. 2007; 177(2): 644-8.

  Reexamining the value of haematuria test in with acute flank pain. American Urological
- MR. Validity of microscopic haematuria as a modector of urinary calculi. Pakistan. J Surg. 2009;

Association. J Urol. 1999; 162: 685-7.

- Lang EK. Computerized tomography tailored for the assessment of microscopic haematuria. American Urological Association. J Urol. 2002; 167(2): 547-54.
- 16. Li J. Absent haematuria and expensive computerized tomography: Case characteristics of emergency urolithiasis. American Urological Association. J Urol. 165(3): 782-4.17. Mansour A. Haematuria: Is it useful in predicting renal or ureteric stone in patients present to emergency departement with flank pain. American Urological Association. J Urol. 2013; 189: 4S.
- Semins M. Evaluation of acute renal colic: A comparison of non contrast computerized tomography versus 3-tesla non contrast magnetic resonance urography. American Urological Association. J Urol. 2010; 183(4): e834–5.
- Kobayashi T. Impact of date of onset on the absence of haematuria in patients with acute renal colic. American Urological Association. J Urol. 2003; 170: 1093-6.

### Haematuria and Colic Correlation as Clinical Predictors

**ORIGINALITY REPORT** 

SIMILARITY INDEX

INTERNET SOURCES

**PUBLICATIONS** 

STUDENT PAPERS

**PRIMARY SOURCES** 



juri.urologi.or.id

Internet Source

Exclude quotes

On

Exclude matches

Off

Exclude bibliography

On