

ARTICLE

People equity model as an effort to increase employees' intention to stay

Tatin Wahyanto,^{1,4} Stefanus Supriyanto,² Nyoman Anita Damayanti,² Sri Hartini,³ Retno Lestari^{1,5}

¹Doctoral Program of Public Health, University of Brawijaya, Malang; ²Department of Health Policy and Administration Faculty of Public Health; ³Faculty of Economic and Business, Universitas Airlangga, Mulyorejo; ⁴Muji Rahayu General Hospital, Surabaya; ⁵Study Program of Nursing Science, Faculty of Medicine, University of Brawijaya, Malang, Indonesia

Abstract

Background: The high turnover rate in the healthcare industry needs serious attention since it influences hospital service quality. So, there is a need to develop a new framework known as people equity, that can be used to manage intangible assets and reduce employees' intention to leave. The purpose of this study therefore was to develop a model of people equity as a strategy for enhancing employees' intention to stay.

Design and methods: An analytical cross-sectional design was used to measure the associations between variables. 154 respondents were selected from stratified random sampling technique. Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM) was used to analyze the measurement model.

Results: The results indicated that people equity was influenced by organizational factors (P=0.210), individual factors (P=0.183), and occupational factors (P=0.141). In addition to this, predictors for employees' intention to stay were people equity (P=0.432), individual factors (P=0.308), and environmental factors (P=0.117). Conversely, working and marital status, environment, and workload have no significant effect on people equity and intention to stay.

Conclusions: People equity was influenced by organizational, individual, and occupational factors through the implementation of the Human Resources System. People equity model increased employees' intention to stay by improving organizational factors.

Introduction

The complex roles and functions of hospitals require a more consistent leader and competent Human Resources. Organizations that focus on managing talented employees are more likely to become business leaders in the next wave of growth. A shift in mindset from human resources to human capital is needed as an approach to measure progress and to make these intangible assets effective to provide more value and increase people equity (equity of human capital). This is a new paradigm for managing human

capital.¹⁻³ People equity improves the organization's financial and non-financial performance. Based on research by Schiemann in 70 hospitals, people equity score was strongly related to hospital turnover and financial performance.⁴

Excellent performance can be disrupted by various employees' behaviors, such as the decision to leave the workplace (turnover). This decision is usually motivated by their desire to change occupations. New institutions often provide better career opportunities to employees, increasing the intensity of turnover in the hospitals. Turnover indirectly reduces the level of productivity in a hospital and leads to other financial losses due to the the additional costs of recruiting new employees. Some managers are frustrated in learning that the recruitment process was ultimately vain because the newly recruited staff chose to work in another hospital. Studies showed that managers' behaviors affected the productivity level of employees. Managers should make their working environment more engaging as this is the key to a better organizational performance.⁵⁻⁷

The annual turnover rate in the health industry was range from 28.8% to 49.6%, and nurses were accounted for the highest proportion of employees' turnover. Based on a survey conducted by the American Organization of Nurse Executive, the national average turnover rate for Registered Nurse (RN) in 2000 was 21.3%. The national study by the Hodes Group (2005) on 138 recruiters in the health sector showed that the average turnover rate of RN was 13.9%. Also, the prevalence of nurse turnover events in the world ranged from 10% to 21% per year.⁸ Despite the fact that individual factors were the causes of high turnover rates in the hospital, organizational factors should also be considered when developing the human capital.⁹

In Indonesia, the data obtained from Surabaya Surgical Hospital also showed high turnover rates over the past four years, precisely 29.6%, 18.72%, 10.29%, and 9.71% from 2011 to 2014, respectively. There was an average turnover rate of around 12.22% in these years. The employee turnover rate at Muji Rahayu Private Hospital, Surabaya, from 2013 to 2015 was around 19.6%. Additionally, in the past three years, the rate of both alignment and capability were lower than that of engagement

Significance for public health

High turnover rates decrease overall productivity in a hospital indirectly and it leads to other financial losses because of the additional costs of recruiting new employees. Managing human capital is not easy as the hospital must improve the organizational learning process, employees' skills, and provide a positive work environment. Limited studies explained about human capital in private hospital. This study analyzes people equity model as a strategy for enhancing employees' intention to stay in private hospital.

of 67%, 68%, and 74%, respectively. High employees' engagement at the hospital occurred after the provision of rewards in the form of loans to employees during emergencies. With this loan facilitations, the employees might overcome their financial problems.

Previous studies stated that companies often ignore important things that make employees stay. These include the desire to understand the vision, mission and values and the urge to have both learning and developing opportunities, which are parts of alignment and capability.¹⁰⁻¹² Further, a new leadership model should be developed to manage hospital employees' intention to stay and keep turnover down.¹³ The high turnover rate in the healthcare industry needs serious attention since it influences hospital service quality. So, there is a need to develop a new framework that can be used to manage intangible assets and reduce employees' intention to leave, known as people equity.¹⁴ The purpose of this study therefore was to develop a model of people equity as a strategy for enhancing employees' intention to stay.

Design and methods

An analytical cross-sectional design was used to measure the associations between variables. This study examines the views of respondents in a hypothetical of equity model. In particular, it describes views with regards to hospital employees' intention to stay. Here, the total population is stratified according to hospital units – Emergency Department, Polyclinic Outpatient, High Dependency Unit, Child Inpatient Care, Adult Inpatient Care, and Inpatient Gynaecology. 154 respondents were selected from stratified random sampling technique. Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM) was used to analyze the measurement model.

Results

The characteristics of respondents were based on their demographic characteristics, including age, gender, degree level, marital, and working status, as shown in Table 1. The highest age of respondents were 26-35 years (37.7%), many being however above 35 years. The female were by far higher in number than males, specifically 149 (96.8%), respondents with Diploma degree were 127 (82.4%), 124 (80%) of the respondents were married, and 87% were permanent employees.

Model Analysis with PLS (Partial Least Square)

Model analysis with PLS consists of two parts, including the evaluation of the outer and the inner models. The evaluation of the outer model is performed to determine the validity and reliability connecting indicators with latent variables, while the inner one determines the influence or causality relationship between variables in a study.

The test of the outer model in the indicators for each latent variable are presented in Table 2, which shows some invalid loading factors valued less than 0.5, including work status, marital status, workload, and other jobs opportunity. The loading factors valued less than 0.5 needs to be removed from the model.

Table 3 presents a valid structural model for the values of loading factors of each question item for research variables. It shows that the loading factor of each item for all variables is more than 0.5. Therefore, they fulfilled the convergent validity.

The results of the model test of the indicators for each latent

variable are presented in Table 4. Structural or inner model measurement is used to determine the relationship between variables through a bootstrapping process. Individual reflective size is said to be valid in case it has an *t*-statistic value greater than 1.96 (two-sided test). If the statistical significance of a variable is less than 1.96, then the variables do not affect each other. Variables which are not significant excluded from the model test, and the bootstrapping process is conducted 25 times to determine the results of the model test of the relationships between substantial variables. The complete test results of the final model are presented in Table 5. The variables have a positive and significant effect, as shown by the path coefficients marked positive with *T*-statistic values greater than the *T*-table value ($T=1.96$).

Discussion

Organizational factors

Organizational factors contribute to people equity. These factors consist of the Human Resources (HR) System, which includes training and development, reward, and career development. The results of the study indicate that these factors directly contributed to people equity. People equity consists of Alignment, Capability, and Engagement, which are parts of the HR system, it is the most critical people equity factor in managing and developing employees. The function of the HR system is to help organizations achieve their mission, objectives, and strategies.^{4,15}

The results show that reward has the highest influence on people equity compared to training and and career development. It affects people equity because it is one of the factors that trigger engagement, which relates to satisfaction. This study is in line with previous studies which stated that compensation, career development, and work motivation have a positive and significant effect on satisfaction.^{16,17} A fair and decent reward system increases employee satisfaction since one of the main reasons a person works is to fulfill life needs. In order to make employees

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents.

Characteristics	Frequency N=154	%
Age (years)		
16 – 25	27	17.5
26 – 35	58	37.7
36 – 45	45	29.2
46 – 55	23	14.9
>56	1	0.6
Gender		
Male	5	3.2
Female	149	96.8
Degree Level		
Diploma of Nursing/Midwifery	127	82.4
Bachelor of Nursing/Midwifery	28	18.2
Marital Status		
Married	124	80.5
Single	30	19.5
Working status		
Permanent employee	134	87
Temporary employee	20	13

stay in an organization, they need to be supported by an effective reward system. Reward systems are strong predictors of turnover intention, thus management needs to build a good and balanced reward system, both financial and non-financial aspects.^{18,19} A supportive training and development need to be improved so employees will gain new knowledge and skills needed in the future. Training and development focuses on changing or improving knowledge, skills, and attitudes – known as capability. Employees' satisfaction is an indicator that affects engagement, and therefore, training and development affect people equity, including capability and engagement.^{20,21}

Individual factors

Individual factors which influence people equity and intention to stay are knowledge of organizational and service objectives, and attitudes at work. Working and marital status do not influence people equity and intention to stay as most employees are married and have permanent status. Married employees have lower absenteeism and turnover rates, as well as higher job satisfaction compared to unmarried workers.²² Additionally, permanent status does not affect the intention to stay because the employees have an attachment to the hospital, unlike those working on a temporary job basis. In case the work period runs out, these employees can either renew their contract if they have excellent performance or move to another hospital. This study shows that employees have high knowledge of organizational and service objectives, as well as

attitudes at work, which are important for creating employee commitment. Commitment is an important behavioral dimension can be used to assess the tendency of employees to stay in the organization and willingness to strive to achieve organizational objectives.²³

Occupational factors

Occupational factors are formed by happiness at work and workload, which contributes to people equity. However, workloads do not affect people equity. Happiness at work is a new factor in this study that can affect people equity. By increasing the level of happiness, people equity in hospitals improves as well. Happiness at work has many contributions, both for organizations and individuals. Employees feel happy when they trust employers, if the people they work with make them feel comfortable.²⁴

Environment factors

Environmental factors contribute to the intention to stay. These factors emanated from other job opportunities and family, which affect the intention to stay. The results of the study show that job opportunity is in the moderate category, while the intention to stay is high. The findings are related to the high chances of being accepted in other hospitals, though employees feel they do not have a better alternative job. The absence of other employment alternatives facilitates the intention to leave.

Families have influence on the intention to stay due to the need

Table 2. Initial values of outer loading on the outer model of people equity in an effort to increase the intention to stay of hospitals' employees.

Variables	Path coeff	T-statistics	Remarks
Organizational factors (HR System)			
Training and development	0.722	9.047	Significant
Reward	0.862	19.675	Significant
Career development	0.821	13.787	Significant
Individual factors			
Working status	0.055	0.271	Not significant
Marital status	-0.126	0.651	Not significant
Knowledge of organizational and service objectives	0.833	16.458	Significant
Attitudes at work	0.823	12.615	Significant
Occupational factors			
Happiness at work	0.996	9.168	Significant
Workload	-0.040	0.124	Not significant
Environmental factors			
Other career opportunity	-0.539	0.853	Not significant
Family	0.848	1.348	Significant
People equity			
Alignment	0.828	31.162	Significant
Capability	0.889	40.798	Significant
Engagement	0.902	58.959	Significant
Intention to stay			
Intention to work from the beginning until now	0.924	52.302	Significant
Future intention to work	0.953	84.374	Significant
Intention to continue working	0.956	89.178	Significant
Intention to continue as an employee	0.938	52.420	Significant

Table 3. Values of outer loading on the outer model of people equity in an effort to increase the intention to stay of hospitals' employees.

Variables	Path Coeff	T-statistics	Remarks
Organizational factors (HR system)			
Training and development	0.723	7.563	Significant
Reward	0.862	13.746	Significant
Career development	0.821	10.961	Significant
Individual factors			
Knowledge of organizational and service objectives	0.840	17.815	Significant
Attitudes at work	0.835	14.050	Significant
Occupational factors			
Happiness at work	1.000		Significant
Environmental factors			
Family	1.000		Significant
People equity			
Alignment	0.827	24.584	Significant
Capability	0.890	46.652	Significant
Engagement	0.902	59.816	Significant
Intention to Stay			
Intention to work from the beginning until now	0.923	52.859	Significant
Future intention to work	0.952	78.981	Significant
Intention to continue working	0.957	91.977	Significant
Intention to continue as an employee	0.939	39.992	Significant

Table 4. T-statistics values on the inner model of people equity in an effort to increase the intention to stay of hospitals' employees.

No.	Hypothesis	Path Coefficient	T-Statistics	Remarks
1	Organizational factors (HR system) → People equity	0.210	3.047	Significant
2	Individual factors (knowledge of organizational and service objectives, and attitudes at work) → People equity	0.183	2.778	Significant
3	Individual factors (knowledge of organizational and service objectives, and attitudes at work) → Intention to stay	0.326	4.526	Significant
4	Occupational factor (happiness at work) → People equity	0.141	2.168	Significant
5	Occupational Factor (happiness at work) → Intention to stay	-0.043	0.670	Not significant
6	Environmental factor (family) → Intention to stay	0.121	2.079	Significant
7	People equity (alignment, capability, engagement) → Intention to stay	0.438	7.044	Significant

Table 5. T-statistics values on the inner model of people equity in an effort to increase the intention to stay of hospitals' employees.

No.	Hypothesis	Path Coefficient	T-Statistics	Remarks
1	Organizational factors (HR System) → People equity	0.210	2.573	Significant
2	Individual factors (knowledge of organizational and service objectives, and attitudes at work) → People equity	0.183	2.489	Significant
3	Individual factors (knowledge of organizational and service objectives, and attitudes at work) → Intention to stay	0.308	4.665	Significant
4	Occupational factor (happiness at work) → People equity	0.141	2.188	Significant
5	Environmental factor (family) → Intention to stay	0.117	2.084	Significant
7	People equity (alignment, capability, engagement) → Intention to stay	0.432	5.676	Significant

for support among employees. The role of the family is vital and significantly affects individuals at work. The problem surrounding organizational commitment is associated with the absence of social and family support. Behavior and consequences in working are related to family life and the environment. The family, as the closest social sphere of an individual, has a vital role in working success.²⁵

People Equity and Intention to Stay

People equity, which consists of alignment, capability, and engagement has a significant influence on the intention to stay. The results showed that alignment had the lowest path coefficient value of 0.827. In case the organization has a low alignment, it cannot be detected by ordinary observers. Employees have strong involvement and attachments and the right capabilities. The organization has to create a clear line of insight for objectives and strategies to avoid wastage resources.

The element of people equity with the highest path coefficient value is engagement with 0.902. Engagement is the strongest predictor of employee turnover. Intuit, a software company, surveyed engagement and concluded that employees with high attachments had a performance of 1.3 times higher than employees lacking attachment, and were five times more likely to stay in the organization. However, self-attachment is not enough. Engagement is often affected by alignment and capability. Even when the attachment is high, low harmony and capability have negative consequences for the organization. In other words, attachment decreases over time if it is not supported by strength in the other two fields.²⁶

People equity model (alignment, capability, engagement) as an effort to increase intention to stay

This study shows that the people equity model increase

intention to stay, as shown in Figure 1. This model focuses on improving HR systems of an organization, including training and development, reward and career development, on enhancing people equity and the intention to stay. This model was applied to private hospitals by reviewing factors according to the theory of people equity by Schiemann and intention to stay proposed by Cowden & Cumming,^{4,13} which covered organizational, individual, occupational, and environmental factors. The results of this study explain the new scientific findings, including (1) Adding individual and occupational factors as aspects that influence people equity; (2) Adding people equity as a variable that influences the intention to stay; (3) Organizational factors do not directly affect intention to stay, but through people equity; (4) Environmental factors affect the intention to stay.

This model finds new indicators that influence people equity, including career development, knowledge of organizational and service objectives, attitudes, and happiness at work. On the other hand, organizational factors, specifically the HR system, including training and development, reward, and career development, are factors that need detailed study since they have the greatest values. Detailed and in-depth studies related to training and development, reward, and career development help increase people equity and the employees' intention to stay.

Conclusions

In conclusion, people equity was influenced by organizational, individual, and occupational factors through the implementation of the Human Resources System. People equity model increased employees' intention to stay by improving organizational factors.




Figure 1. Development of People Equity Model as an Effort to Increase Employees' Intention to Stay.

Correspondence: Nyoman Anita Damayanti, Department of Health Policy and Administration, Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Airlangga, Jl. Mulyorejo, Surabaya, Jawa Timur 60115, Indonesia. Tel.: +6231592094 - Fax: +62315924618 E-mail: nyoman.ad@fkm.unair.ac.id.

Key words: People Equity Model; intention to stay; employees; turnover.

Contributions: The authors contributed equally. TW, SS, NAD designed the conceptual model and analysed the data, SH performed the calculations. TW, SS, NAD, RL wrote the manuscript with input from all authors. NAD, RL conceived the study and were in charge of overall direction and planning.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding: This study was financially supported by Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Airlangga.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank our colleagues from Department of Health Policy and Administration, Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Airlangga who provided insight and expertise that greatly assisted the research.

Clinical trials: There were no clinical trials in this study.

Conference presentation: Part of this paper was presented at the 4th International Symposium of Public Health, 2019 October 29-31, Griffith University, Gold Coast, Australia.

Received for publication: 6 March 2020.

Accepted for publication: 13 June 2020.

©Copyright: the Author(s), 2020

Licensee PAGEPress, Italy

Journal of Public Health Research 2020;9:1843

doi:10.4081/jphr.2020.1843

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution

NonCommercial 4.0 License (CC BY-NC 4.0).

References

- Schiemann WA. From talent management to talent optimization. *J World Bus* 2014;49:281–8.
- Schiemann WA, Seibert JH, Blankenship MH. Putting human capital analytics to work: Predicting and driving business success. *Hum Resour Manag* 2017;57:795–807.
- Schiemann WA, Guth LA. Three Crucial Ingredients For Success: Agile Alignment, Customer-Driven Priorities, And People Equity. *Cost Manag* 2014;28:26-34.
- Schiemann WA. People equity: A new paradigm for measuring and managing human capital. *Hum Resour Plann* 2006;29:34–44.
- Al Mamun CA, Hasan Md.N. Factors affecting employee turnover and sound retention strategies in business organization: aconceptual view. *Problems and Perspectives in Management* 2017;15:63-71.
- Reina CS, Rogers KM, Peterson SJ, et al. Quitting the Boss? The Role of Manager Influence Tactics and Employee Emotional Engagement in Voluntary Turnover. *J Leaders Organ Stud* 2017;25:5–18.
- Kurnat-Thoma E, Ganger M, Peterson K, et al. Reducing Annual Hospital and Registered Nurse Staff Turnover—A 10-Element Onboarding Program Intervention. *SAGE Open Nursing* 2017;3:1-13.
- El-Jardali F, Dimassi H, Dumit N, et al. A national cross-sectional study on nurses' intent to leave and job satisfaction in Lebanon: implications for policy and practice. *BMC Nurs* 2009;8:3.
- Collini SA, Guidroz AM, Perez LM. Turnover in health care: the mediating effects of employee engagement. *J Nurs Manag* 2013;23:169–78.
- Shahid A. Employee Intention to Stay: An Environment Based on Trust and Motivation. *J Manag Res* 2018;10:58.
- Stokes P, Larson M, Balasubrahmanyam S, et al. Who stays with you? Factors predicting employees' intention to stay. *Int J Organizat An* 2013;21:288-312.
- Schiemann B. Measuring People Equity. *Leadership Excellence* 2007;24:17.
- Cowden TL, Cummings GG. Nursing theory and concept development: A theoretical model of clinical nurses' intentions to stay in their current positions. *J Adv Nurs* 2012;68:1646-67.
- Diharto AK, Budiyanto B, Muafi M. A causality model of people equity, VRIN resource, social capital, innovation capability and SMEs performance. *JBRMR* 2017;11:124-30.
- Mardiana T, Muafi M. The Relationship Pattern of High Performance Work System (HPWS), People Equity and Intention To Turnover. *IJRMT* 2012;2:456-62.
- Tobing D. The effect of compensation, career development, work-family support on job satisfaction. *Polish Journal of Management Studies* 2016;14:206-13.
- Waspodo AA, Dharmawan R, Handaru AW. The Influence of Compensation, Motivation, and Career Development on Employees Job Satisfaction at PT Nikko Cahaya Electric. *JRMSI - Jurnal Riset Manajemen Sains Indonesia* 2017;8:58-83.
- Ekaterini G, Georgakopoulos G, Sotiropoulos I, et al. The effect of reward system on job satisfaction in an organizational chart of four hierarchical levels: a qualitative study. *Int J Hum Sci* 2011;8:484-519.
- Admassie GA. Impact of Rewards Management System on Employees' Satisfaction in Case of DebreBirhan University Administrative Staffs. *J Invest Manag* 2019;8:16-24.
- Bashir N, Jehanzeb K. Training and Development Program and Its Benefits to Employee and Organization: A Conceptual Study. *Eur J Bus Manag* 2013;5:243-52.
- Shafiq S, Hamza S. The Effect of Training and Development on Employee Performance in Private Company, Malaysia. *International Journal of Education, Learning and Training* 2017;2:42-56.
- Emiroğlu BD, Akova O, Tanrıverdi H. The Relationship Between Turnover Intention and Demographic Factors in Hotel Businesses: A Study at Five Star Hotels in Istanbul. *Proc Soc Behav Sci* 2015;207:385-97.
- Cohen A. Commitment before and after: An evaluation and reconceptualization of organizational commitment. *Hum Res Manag Rev* 2007;17:336-54.
- Fisher CD. Happiness at Work. *Int J Manag Rev* 2010;12:384–412.
- Ahmad A, Mustapha N, Uli J, et al. Antecedents of Intention to Stay with the Mediating Effects of Work-Family Factors among Single Mothers. *Eur J Soc Sci* 2011;22:262-79.
- Alagaraja M, Shuck B. Exploring Organizational Alignment-Employee Engagement Linkages and Impact on Individual Performance: A Conceptual Model. *Hum Res Develop Rev* 2015;14:17 –37.